University of Arkansas

Water Resources Research Center

ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES PRODUCED BY COLD-WATER OUTLETS FROM THREE ARKANSAS RESERVOIRS

By

Carl E. Hoffman and Raj V. Kilambi

Fayetteville, Arkansas

1970

ABSTRACT

Water qualities of two natural streams (Buffalo and Kings Rivers), one new cold-tailwater (Beaver), and two old cold-tailwaters (Norfork and Bull Shoals) in northwestern Arkansas were studied from July 1965 through October 1968.

The essential difference between the old cold-tailwaters and natural streams is a change in water quality which allows the development of a new productive ecological environment. Features which typify the old tailwaters are as follows: (1) relatively homioithermal temperatures; (2) stream beds scoured by strong hydoelectric power generation currents; (3) abundant phytoplankton and benthic macroinvertebrates; and (4) absence of warm water game fishes.

Environmental factors characterizing natural streams are as follows: (1) high summer temperatures; (2) seasonal and individual current fluctuations at the various stations; (3) a greater variety of benthic macroinvertebrates and ichthyofauna; (4) abundant zooplankters; and (5) a tendency toward an equal distribution of the phyla Chrysophyta, Cyanophyta, and Chlorophyta.

By October 1968, the new Beaver cold-tailwater had lost all of its warm-water characteristics but had not developed the biotic features of the old tailwaters.
KEYWORDS/*cold-tailwater/*temperature/*current/*trout/phytoplankton/zooplankton/benthic macroinvertebrates/Chironomidae/Oligochaeta/Isopoda/Amphipoda/Trichoptera/Ephemeroptera/Chrysophyta/Cyanophyta/Chlopophyta/*Fish/.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

LIST OF TABLES

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work upon which this publication is based was supported in part with funds provided by the Office of Water Resources Research, U. S. Department of the Interior, under grant number A-001-ARK as authorized under the Water Resources Research Act of 1964, P. L. 88-379 as amended by P. L. 89-404.

Special thanks are extended to Dr. Leo L. Van Scyoc, English Department, University of Arkansas, for reading and editing the limnological portions of this manuscript. The authors are indebted to Dr. William L. Evans, Zoology Department, University of Arkansas, for cooperation and beneficial criticisms. Thanks are also due to Mr. Jack E. Atkins for photograph on cover page, Mrs. Mary Lou Bruton for drawing graphs,and to Mrs. Martha Hall for typing the manuscript.

FOREWORD

This Bulletin is published in accordance with the Water Resources Research Act of 1964. This report is the fifth in a series of publications summarizing research information on water resources in the state of Arkansas.

This research was designed to determine abiotic and biotic changes in Arkansas streams affected by the construction of a series of impoundments on the White River. These impoundments offered a unique opportunity to compare not only old and new tailwaters on this stream and its tributary (North Fork River) but also to compare these with two natural streams, the Buffalo and Kings Rivers.

The Buffalo River country, because of its sparse population and scenic environment, appears to resemble a natural unpolluted Arkansas stream. For further information concerning the Buffalo and Kings Rivers the reader is referred to Smith (1967) and Anonymous (1969).

Six graduate students (listed on page 4) have received training as scientists in the field of aquatic biology and the factors which affect water. In addition, three other graduate students and nine graduate classes have benefited from equipment made available through the Water Research Act of 1964.

For the convenience of the reader, graphs in this Bulletin are expressed to the nearest whole number throughout the text. Exact numerals of the data are presented in the Appendix.

INTRODUCTION

Part of the White River drainage system in northern Arkansas has been transformed by three hydroelectric dams into a series of large, sprawling reservoirs and cold-tailwaters. These tailwaters still resemble natural free-flowing streams, but certain changes are obvious. Water used for generating electricity is drawn from the depths of these reservoirs, and as a result water temperatures in the tailwaters remain quite low throughout the year. Seasonal variations in flow have been replaced by daily fluctuations caused by power generation.

Each of these cold-tailwaters offers an unique opportunity to study the changes in a stream brought about by the construction of dams. The tailwater below Beaver Dam, which was completed in 1963, provides a chance to follow such changes. Tailwaters below Norfork and Bull Shoals dams, completed in 1944 and 1951 respectively, provide study areas that probably have become biologically stabilized. The Beaver Dam tailwater also differs from the other two in that it empties into a reservoir a few miles below the dam. The Norfork Dam tailwater covers 4.5 miles before entering the Bull Shoals tailwater; the latter might be considered to end at the first lock at Batesville, some 120 miles below Bull Shoals Dam (Figure 1).

Prior to this study, limited information concerning physico-chemical conditions of cold-tailwaters was available; however, Pfitzer (1962) conducted a similar physico-chemical study of several tailwaters in the TVA impounded, and to compare these values with those obtained from the established tailwaters of Norfork and Bull Shoals reservoirs.

During this investigation the following factors were analyzed as possible indices for water quality: physico-chemical, plankton, benthic macroinvertebrates, and ichthyofauna.

The objectives of the physico-chemical studies were threefold: (1) to obtain general patterns of changes of physico-chemical conditions at various stages during the aging of the tailwater of the newly created Beaver Reservoir; (2) to determine physico-chemical conditions in the established tailwaters of Bull Shoals and Norfork reservoirs; and (3) to compare these results with physico-chemical data obtained from two natural warm-water streams, the Buffalo and Kings Rivers.

The objectives of the plankton studies were fourfold: (1) to follow the development of plankton communities that have resulted from the formation of a cold-tailwater below Beaver Reservoir; (2) to determine plankton communities present in established cold-tailwaters below Bull Shoals and Norfork reservoirs; (3) to follow the fate of lake plankton after they are introduced into the cold-tailwater below Beaver Reservoir; and (4) to compare the plankton collected in the tailwaters described above with those found in two natural warm-water streams, the Buffalo and Kings Rivers.

The objectives of the bottom fauna studies are as follows: (1) to study changes in benthic communities that have resulted from the creation of a cold-tailwater below Beaver Dam; (2) to study established benthic communities in the old cold-tailwaters of Bull Shoals

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREAS

The White River originates in the Ozark Mountains in western Arkansas and flows in a northeasterly direction. It enters Missouri in Carroll County and re-enters Arkansas in Boone County. The stream flows southeasterly from Missouri and unites with the Arkansas River near its confluence with the Mississippi River. It is 690 miles long and drains an area of 28,000 square miles.

The Buffalo River rises in the western part of Newton County, Arkansas and empties into the White River a few miles below Buffalo City in Marion County, Arkansas. It is about 148 miles long and drains an area of 1,338 square miles.

The North Fork, a tributary of the White River, begins in southern Missouri and courses south to its confluence with the White River in Baxter County, Arkansas. It is about 70 miles long.

The stream sections studied lie in the Ozark Plateau. The most abundant rocks in this region are limestone, dolomite, sandstone, and shale, with the first two predominating (Branner, 1927). River basins are narrow and steep-sided, and entrenched meanders are numerous. Many stream bends have limestone bluffs on the outside with gradual slopes on the inside. Stream beds consist primarily of bedrock, rubble, gravel, and sand, with silt occurring in areas of slight current. Ozark streams are typically spring-fed, clear, broken by numerous rapids and riffles, and, if undammed, subject to extreme seasonal variation in temperature and flow.

from each other. Kings River stations were KR1 and KR2. KR1 was approximately 0.25 of a mile upstream from the Highway 68 bridge or 0.50 of a mile east of Marble, Arkansas. Station KR2 was located beneath the same bridge.


N
S
W
E
SCALE
MILES
0
10
20
MISSOURI
ARKANSAS
TABLE ROCK
BULL SHOALS RESERVOIR
NORTH FORK RIVER
NORFORK RESERVOIR
BEAVER RESERVOIR
KINGS RIVER
WHITE RIVER
BUFFALO RIVER
WHITE RIVER
B1
B2
B3
KR1
KR2
BS
C1
C2
C3
C4
C5
C6
N1
N2

TABLE I. PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF BEAVER, BULL SHOALS, AND NORFORK RESERVOIRS, DAMS, AND TAILWATERS (DENNIE, 1967)

PHYSICAL FEATURES	BEAVER	BULL SHOALS	NORFORK
Drainage area, mi.	1,186	6,036	1,806
Height of dam, ft	228	258	226
Outlets, power number	2	8	2
Outlet depth, ft	140	110	100
Avg. ann. discharge, cfs	1,550	6,130	1,900
Storage begun	1964	1951	1943
Maximum depth, ft	216	201	177
Maximum discharge, cfs	5,000	7,500	2,900
Minimum discharge, cfs	60	50	20
Tailrace elevation, ft	916.6	449.7	372.6
Max. rise of tailwater, ft (max. discharge)	10	9	7
Width of tailwater, ft (below dam)	200	600	50-100
Max. tailwater depth, ft (min. discharge)	5-6	7	8

hydroelectric units. Minimum and maximum discharges are 50 cfs and 7,500 cfs, respectively. Tailrace elevation is 449.7 feet during periods of minimum discharge, and maximum generation results in depth increases of up to nine feet. Stream width just below the dam approaches 600 feet. Maximum depths in this area do not exceed nine feet during periods of minimum discharge (Table I).

Confluence of the White and Buffalo Rivers

The Buffalo River empties into the White River about 25 miles below Bull Shoals Dam. The Buffalo River is still a natural free-flowing stream and is, therefore, more characteristic of Ozarkian streams in the days prior to large impoundments. Stream width varies from 75 to 250 feet. Pool depths approach 15 feet.

The White River is large and rapid near its confluence with the Buffalo River. Buffalo Shoals, one of the largest on the White River, is located about 1.5 miles upstream from the mouth of the Buffalo River. Here the river elevation drops 10.5 feet in 1.7 miles (Gladson, 1911). Stream width approaches 375 feet. Some pools below Buffalo Shoals are as much as 15 feet deep.

Water temperatures taken in the White River downstream from the mouth of the Buffalo River indicate that water discharged from the Buffalo River flows downstream on its side of entry while original White River water flows on the opposite side. This condition exists for several miles downstream depending upon physical and thermal conditions and respective flows (Brown, Liston, and Dennie, 1967).

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Sampling Techniques

Sampling stations were established during July and August 1965 in the tailwaters below Beaver, Bull Shoals, and Norfork reservoirs, and near the mouth of the Buffalo River. These stations are shown in Figure 1.

In order to assure random sampling, each station below Beaver Dam was sub-divided into areas and assigned a number. The specific area of a station sampled on each collecting trip was determined by drawing a number from a hat.

This investigation involved different approaches and the stations mentioned can be located by referring to Figure 1.

Beginning July 1965 through December 1966 collecting trips were made weekly to the tailwater below Beaver Reservoir, and monthly to both the tailwaters below Bull Shoals and Norfork reservoirs and to the Buffalo River. In the 1967-1968 study, collecting trips were made bimonthly to the Beaver tailwater and to the Kings River.

To differentiate between the three Beaver stations during the 1965-1966 and 1967-1968 investigation periods, the earlier samplings are referred to as B1L, B2L, and B3L; the latter are referred to as B1, B2, and B3.

On each collecting trip air and water temperatures were taken with mercury thermometers. The thermometer used for taking water were transported from the field to the laboratory in glass quart jars. With the exception of silica and methyl orange alkalinity the above determinations were performed using a Bausch and Lomb "spectronic-20" colorimeter-spectrophotometer. Determinations for silica concentrations were performed with a Hach chemical kit, model SI-2. Chloroform (2-3 drops) was added to the samples in the field to inhibit decomposition. As an added precaution against bacterial activity, water samples were placed in a refrigerator (4 C) until the various determinations could be performed.

A Surber Square Foot Sampler, as described by Lagler (1956), was used for bottom fauna collections. This sampler consists of a collapsible metal frame and a tapered nylon net. In use, the metal frame is placed on the substrate, and organisms are dislodged from within the square foot area by washing the bottom material with the hand. The current carries the organisms and detritus into the tapered net, and the material is concentrated by rigorously swishing the net back and forth through the water. The organisms and detritus were placed in quart jars containing 5% formalin. These samples were transported back to the laboratory, separated from the detritus, sorted according to taxonomic category, counted, and weighed to the nearest milligram on an analytical balance. Organisms were separated in a white porcelain pan illuminated by a fluorescent light equipped with a built-in magnifying glass. Wet weight was determined after placing the organisms on filter paper and allowing the formalin to drain off. The organisms were then placed into a 70% ethanol solution and stored for later study.

Fishes were collected by two methods. Pools were seined with a 6 x 20-foot straight seine with one-eighth square inch mesh. A variation of the electro-seining procedure was used to collect in riffles. Two crew members, using the 20-foot seine, blocked the lower end of the riffle being sampled while two other members worked electrodes back and forth across the upper part of the riffle. A gasoline-powered generator with 115 volt, 1,000 watt capacity supplied electrical power. Stunned fish were washed into the seine by the current and were removed after cessation of the electrical current. Each collection was separated, and the various species identified, counted, and recorded. The nomenclature used followed that recommended by the American Fisheries Society (1960) with certain modifications. All specimens collected were placed in the Tulane University Museum.

Table II

AVERAGES AND RANGES OF PHYSICAL DATA FOR ALL THE STATIONS IN BOTH THE 1965-1966 AND THE 1967-1968 STUDIES BASED UPON DATA FROM DENNIE (1967), AND BLANZ (1970).

STATION		CURRENT (Ft./Sec.)	WATER TEMP (^{o}C)	DEPTH (In.)	WIDTH (Ft.)
B1L	A	2.7	8.2	5	34
	R	4.2 - 1.4	10.0 - 6.2		
B2L	A	1.9	9.8	8	64
	R	3.0 - 1.1	16.2 - 5.1		
B3L	A	3.8	11.2	11	26
	R	5.0 - 2.4	23.0 - 4.2		
B1	A	2.6	9.4	6	45
	R	5.6 - 0.5	10.5 - 6.0		
B2	A	1.6	10.7	8	64
	R	2.5 - 1.1	15.0 - 5.0		
B3	A	1.2	11.9	14	18
	R	4.0 - 0.3	17.9 - 6.5		
BS	A	2.6	10.6	7	45
	R	3.2 - 1.8	15.4 - 7.1		
C1	A	2.8	12.5	8	300
	R	3.6 - 1.4	17.3 - 5.7		
N1	A	2.6	11.1		
	R	3.3 - 1.8	15.4 - 6.1	9	30
N2	A	2.9	13.0		
	R	4.0 - 1.6	16.5 - 8.0	8	35
C5	A	2.6	18.5	8	35
	R	3.7 - 1.0	32.0 - 1.0		
C6	A	2.7	18.2	6	35
	R	4.3 - 1.5	32.9 - 1.0		
KR1	A	4.1	16.1	11	31
	R	6.6 - 1.5	26.0 - 4.0		
KR2	A	2.8	16.2	12	24
	R	5.0 - 1.2	26.3 - 6.0		



FIGURE 2a. MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES AND RIFFLE SPEEDS AT ALL COLLECTING SITES



FIGURE 2c. MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM FREE CARBON DIOXIDE AND SILICA IN PPM



FIGURE 2e. MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM NITRATE-NITROGEN AND ORTHO-PHOSPHATE IN PPM

species (Fox, Simmons and Washburn, 1935). All riffle velocities recorded in the 1965-1966 investigation were well above the one-half foot per second suggested by Whitford and Schumacher (1961).

Records for the range and average comparisons of chemical factors in the 1965-1966 investigation are presented in Table III. Ranges for the more significant chemical values are illustrated in Figures 2b through 2e.

Of all of the tailwaters, the average amount of dissolved oxygen was found to be highest at station number one below Bull Shoals Dam and lowest below Norfork Dam. Average dissolved oxygen values of the Beaver tailwater remained fairly constant throughout the course of the stream. However, an increase was noted in the average amount of dissolved oxygen as the tailwater proceeded downstream from the Norfork dam. Summers (1954), in a survey of the Illinois River below Tenkiller Reservoir, concluded that low dissolved oxygen values and high temperatures during summer months would render the stocking of trout impossible. Moffett (1942) in a study of the Colorado River below Boulder Dam, found that the temperature did not vary significantly; and that the amount of dissolved oxygen was abundant at all times. Burdick, Lipschuetz, Dean, and Harris (1954) demonstrated lethal oxygen concentrations of 1.34 ppm for rainbow trout after a period of 48 hours at 60 F. In the course of the 1965-1966 investigation, temperatures and values of dissolved oxygen were generally adequate for the survival of trout with regard to the parameters set forth by Burdick, Lipschuetz, Dean, and Harris (1954).

The average amount of free carbon dioxide was lowest below Bull Shoals Dam and highest below Norfork Dam, whereas, the average pH value was highest below Bull Shoals Dam and lowest below Beaver Dam. The Buffalo River exhibited the least amount of average free carbon dioxide of all study areas and also had a high average pH value. The tailwater immediately below Norfork Reservoir displayed the widest range of carbon dioxide (Figure 2c). Current tends to keep the carbon dioxide from accumulating (Welch, 1952). Consequently, the average amount of free carbon dioxide decreased as the water moved downstream from the dams, while the pH value, in general, tended to increase slightly.

The values of the methyl orange alkalinity determinations varied widely in the tailwaters directly below the dams (Table III and Figure 2d). The water below Norfork Reservoir exhibited the highest average alkalinity value and the water below Beaver Reservoir the lowest average value. Station 1 (N1) below Norfork Reservoir showed the greatest variation in methyl orange alkalinity, while the first station below Beaver Reservoir exhibited the least variation. Methyl orange alkalinity is closely associated with productivity. Tarzwell (1937) stated that streams which have a high methyl orange alkalinity invariably have a high food production. Ruttner (1953) attributed this phenomenon to the buffer effect of the carbonates and bicarbonates in the water. Pfitzer (1962), in a survey of several tailwaters in the TVA system, found that all pre-impoundment methyl orange alkalinity values were considerably higher than in the post-impoundment tailwater area. The post-impoundment methyl orange alkalinity values in the tailwater area below Beaver Reservoir were lower than the values reported by Horn and Garner (1965) in their pre-impoundment study in the Beaver Reservoir area. The data represented in Figure 2d graphically illustrate ranges in methyl orange

Investigation Period from September 1967 through October 1968[1]

A summary of ranges and averages of physical factors for this period of investigation are presented in Table II. Figure 2a graphically shows the ranges of water temperatures and riffle speeds (current) for this period.

Stream plankton (potamoplankton) observed in this study are under the influence of several environmental factors which they do not encounter in other aquatic habitats. The most important of these factors is current. Current induced problems are as follows: maintenance of position, lack of stratification, a large variety of substrates, and an alteration of chemical characteristics (Reid, 1961). Whitford and Schumacher (1961) found that a current velocity of 0.5 feet per second greatly increases nutrient uptake thus satisfying the need for a rapid exchange of material with the water that lotic organisms need. Average riffle speeds for all stations monitored in this investigation, with the exception of one, were above the suggested 0.5 feet per second velocity (Table II); station Beaver 3 (B3) had a minimum range of 0.3 feet per second for a short period of time.

Figure 3 graphically represents seasonal fluctuations in riffle speeds at the three stations along the tailwater below Beaver Reservoir and at the two stations along the Kings River. During the

---

1 Information compiled from thesis of Gray (1970).

months of maximum generation, March to May of 1968 (Figure 4), riffle speeds at station B1 were at their lowest. As generation times decreased, June to October of 1968, riffle speeds at B1 increased. At station B2, riffle speeds did not appear to be as directly correlated to monthly discharges as at station B1. At station B2, riffle speeds remained relatively constant, showing a range of only 1.4 feet per second. Considering riffle speeds, it appears that station B3 changed from a fast riffle to a quiet pool after the maximum discharge months of March to May of 1968. Average riffle speeds, after the period June to October 1968, were 0.6 feet per second compared to 2.5 feet per second for the period of October 1967 to May 1968.

Station KR1 exhibited a consistently higher riffle speed than station KR2. The period of July through September of 1968 had a marked decrease in riffle speed at both stations. The average riffle speed during this three month period was 2.6 to 2.0 feet per second for stations KR1 and KR2, respectively, while average riffle speed for the three months previous to this period was 3.1 and 3.8 feet per second for the same stations.

Seasonal temperature fluctuations are shown in Figure 5. Station B1 exhibited the smallest variation (4.5C) in temperature of the three tailwater stations studied. With the exception of December 1967 through February 1968, monthly temperature averages were within 1.0 C of the over-all investigational average of 9.4 C.



FIGURE 5 SEASONAL TEMPERATURE FLUCTUATIONS AT THREE STATIONS ALONG THE TAILWATER BELOW BEAVER RESERVOIR AND KINGS RIVER (MODIFIED FROM GRAY, 1970)

temperatures. During the course of this investigation, temperatures ranged from 4.0 C at station KR1 in January 1968 to 26.3 C at station KR2 in August 1968.

The Report of the Committee on Water Quality Criteria (FWPCA, 1968) states that free carbon dioxide concentrations should not exceed 25 ppm. The average amount of free carbon dioxide at all stations was well below this specified maximum (Table IV). In the Beaver cold-tailwaters, the highest average concentration of free carbon dioxide was found at station B1 and the lowest at station B3. This difference may be explained by the fact that, in limestone regions, the presence of large amounts of soluble carbonates, which readily combine with carbon dioxide, tend to lower the concentration of free carbon dioxide (Reid, 1961). Welch (1952) stated that current tends to keep carbon dioxide from accumulating.

In most productive fresh-water streams, the hydrogen ion concentration ranges from 6.5 to 8.5 (FWPCA, 1968). The minimum and maximum pH values for all stations studied in this investigation fell within this specification (Figure 2d and Table IV). Average pH values increased slightly from station B1 to B2. Limestone substrates which add large quantities of carbonate ions tend to shift the pH value to the basic range of the scale (Reid, 1961).

Dissolved oxygen concentrations are represented graphically in Figure 2b and Table IV. In the Beaver cold-tailwater, dissolved oxygen concentrations increased downstream from the dam (Table IV). The lower dissolved oxygen concentration at station B1 might be due to anaerobic conditions in the hypolimnion of Beaver Reservoir. The per cent of oxygen saturation in relation to average temperature also

TABLE IV. (CONTINUED)

	KR1	KR2
Riffle Speed, Ft./Sec.	A4.1 R1.5-6.6	2.8 1.2-5.0
Depth, in	A10.7 R3.0-22.0	12.0 3.0-32.0
Temperature, C	A16.1 R4.0-26.0	16.2 6.0-26.3
pH	A7.3 R7.0-7.6	7.3 7.1-7.8
CO_2, ppm	A2.1 R0.8-4.8	2.1 0.4-5.2
D. O., ppm	A8.5 R4.5-20.5	8.7 5.0-20.0
M. O. Alkalinity, ppm	A59.5 R38.0-108	59.3 40.0-106
Ammonia-Nitrogen, ppm	A0.3 R0.0-2.0	0.5 0.0-3.6
Nitrate-Nitrogen, ppm	A0.6 R0.2-1.0	0.6 0.3-1.1
Ortho-phos., ppm	A0.07 R0.0-0.1	0.06 0.0-0.1
Silica, ppm	A3.5 R0.0-6.0	3.2 0.0-5.5
Riffle Width, ft	31.1	24.5

other metabolic processes indirectly (McCombie, 1953). Prescott (1956) stated that calaphilic habitats have a more profuse algal growth due to high reserves of carbon dioxide used in plant metabolism.

Below Beaver Reservoir, methyl orange alkalinity increased from stations B1 to B3. This increase, with the comparable pH increase mentioned earlier, indicates an addition of carbonate ions as the water flows downstream. Methyl orange alkalinity estimates at both the Kings River stations were similar. Although the cold-tailwater stations had higher average methyl orange alkalinity readings, those at the Kings River stations exhibited a wider range, possibly attributable to seasonal fluctuations.

Average ammonia-nitrogen concentrations for all cold-tailwater samples were the same, 0.1 ppm; station KR1 had a lower amount of ammonia-nitrogen than station KR2. Water Quality Criteria (FWPCA, 1968), states that, generally, ammonia-nitrogen concentrations at pH levels of 8.0 and above should not exceed 1.5 ppm. Beaver Reservoir samples did not exceed this recommended limit. Maximum concentrations for the Kings River samples were above the specified amount (Table IV). However, average ammonia-nitrogen values for both Kings River stations were below the Water Quality Criteria limit.

The average nitrate-nitrogen concentrations decreased downstream from stations B1 to B2 below Beaver Dam. The high values at B1 may be related to high nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in the Beaver Lake hypolimnion (Applegate and Mullan, 1967). Maximum nitrate-nitrogen amounts were only slightly higher at station KR 2 (1.1 ppm) than KR1 (1.0 ppm). Average ortho-phosphate values remained constant at the Beaver Reservoir stations (0.1 ppm). The two Kings River stations were essentially the same.

Phytoplankton

Investigation Period from July 1965 through October 1968[1]

INTRODUCTION

During this investigation period the phytoplankton of two natural streams, the Buffalo and Kings Rivers, and the cold-tailwaters below three reservoirs were studied (Figures 6 through 9).

Standing crop (biomass) is defined by Reid (1961) as the instantaneous quantity of organisms. Water Quality Criteria (FWPCA, 1968), defines standing crop as biota present in an environment on a selected date. The average standing crop of net phytoplankton enumerated at each collecting station during this investigation is shown diagrammatically in Figure 6a through 6e. The standing crop is broken down into its percentage composition of major algal phyla. These data are also presented numerically in Appendix E. Minor phyla of phytoplankton (Euglenophyta, Pyrrhophyta, and Rhodophyta) were grouped together because of their infrequent occurrences and their small percentage composition of the total standing crop. Due to their apparent minor importance these phyla will not be discussed in relation to standing crops.

During the 1965-1966 study period, 100 genera of net phytoplankton were identified from all areas investigated. The tailwater of Beaver Reservoir exhibited the largest number of genera (82), and the tailwater below Bull Shoals Reservoir showed the least number of genera of phytoplankton (68). In the tailwater of Norfork Reservoir, 75 genera were observed, while the Buffalo River displayed 79 genera of phytoplankton. The genera of phytoplankton present in the samples

[1] Information compiled from field data and thesis of Dennie (1967) and thesis of Gray (1970).

percentage of the fresh-water diatoms are coldwater organisms and are, therefore, especially common in spring and autumn (Smith, 1950). Williams and Scott (1962) found that the diatoms constituted the largest group of plankton in the major waterways of the United States, both in number of individuals and number of species, except in some impoundments during warm seasons at which time the blue-green algae predominated. Kofoid (1908) found the predominating group of phytoplankton in the Illinois River to be the Chlorophyceae (Chlorophyta) with the diatoms ranking second. A possible explanation for the preponderance of diatoms in lotic environments, according to Reinhard (1931), is that rivers, in general, contain more silt than lakes and, consequently, present a greater amount of available silica for diatom utilization. In a year-round study of Boulder Creek, Colorado, Pennak (1943) found that the net phytoplankton was limited to Ulothrix and diatoms.



FIGURE 6b. STANDING CROPS OF DOMINANT PHYTOPLANKTON EXPRESSED AS PER CENT OF AVERAGE CELLS PER LITER. STATIONS ON THE BEAVER TAILWATER.



FIGURE 6d. STANDING CROPS OF DOMINANT PHYTOPLANKTON EXPRESSED AS PER CENT OF AVERAGE CELLS PER LITER. STATIONS ON THE BUFFALO RIVER AND THE NORFORK TAILWATER.

NATURAL STREAMS

Buffalo and Kings Rivers

The Buffalo River, because the area is sparsely populated and most of the watershed is in wilderness, comes as close as any river in Arkansas to resembling a natural unpolluted stream. Some examples of pollutants in Arkansas streams are: poultry farms, vegetable farms, cattle grazing fields, canning factories, oil wells, paper mills, coal mines, bauxite mines, and municipal sewage disposal plants. When all collecting sites studied from 1965-1968 are considered, the Buffalo River ranks seventh in productivity as expressed in phytoplankton cells per liter, while the two Kings River stations have the lowest phytoplankton productivity. Figures 6d and 6e graphically represent the average standing crops in cells per liter for both the 1965-1966 and 1967-1968 investigations. The number of cells in thousands per liter is approximately six times greater in the Buffalo River (C5 & C6) than in the Kings River stations (KR1 and KR2). Although there appears to be a tendency towards an equal distribution among the three phyla, the Cyanophyta occur in larger numbers in the Buffalo River and the Chrysophyta in larger numbers in the two Kings River stations.

In the Kings River stations the average standing crop of net phytoplankton was less at station KR1 than at KR2 (Figure 6e and Appendix E). The major algal phylum at KR1 was the Chrysophyta (47%). Chlorophyta comprised 30% and Cyanophyta 22% of the standing crop of net phytoplankton at this station. At station KR2 the Chrysophyta also comprised the major part (43%) of the standing crop of net phytoplankton. The per cent composition of other algal phyla was Chlorophyta 24% and Cyanophyta 30%.

33% of the Chrysophyta. Other abundant yellow-greens at KR2 were Fragilaria (17%), Tribonema (12%) and Dinobryon (10%).

The composition of blue-green algae at the Kings River stations is presented in Figure 8d or Appendix G. The most abundant genus was Oscillatoria (KR1 87%, KR2 85%). Anacystis was the second most abundant (KR1 10%, KR2 5%).



FIGURE 7b. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF CELLS PER LITER OF CHRYSOPHYTA AND OF EACH MAJOR GENUS REPRESENTED AND ITS RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES. STATIONS ON THE BEAVER TAILWATER.



FIGURE 7d. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF CELLS PER LITER OF CHRYSOPHYTA AND OF EACH MAJOR GENUS REPRESENTED AND ITS RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES. STATIONS ON THE KINGS RIVER.

the 1967-1968 investigation. The phytoplankton cells at station B1L in the earlier study were six and one-half times greater than those of the later study; at station Beaver 2 (B2L) standing crops were about one and one-half times greater; and the number of cells at Beaver station 3 (B3L) were of a 6:5 ratio, with B3 the larger. There appeared to be a tendency towards a more even distribution of the three phyla at the Beaver 2 station during the 1967-1968 study period (Figures 6a and 6b).

The Cyanophyta, or blue-green algae, were the dominant plankters in the two downstream stations of the Beaver tailwater (B2L and B3L). They represented slightly more than one-third of the total net phytoplankton collected at station B1L in the Beaver tailwater. At station B1L, the blue-green algae were abundant only in the midsummer of 1965. The maximum Cyanophyta density reached during this time was over 120,000 cells per liter. At this time Beaver Reservoir was filling, and the organic matter was quite abundant (Applegate and Mullan, 1967).

The average number of cells per liter of blue-green algae decreased about one-fourth from station B1L to station B2L; nevertheless, they comprised 64% of the total net phytoplankton at this station. There was a 23% increase in the average number of blue-green cells per liter from station B1L to station B3L; this phylum formed 77% of the total phytoplankton at station B3L (Figure 6a).

In general, blue-green algae in lakes occur in abundance in the warm months of the year when the organic matter is high (Welch, 1952). However, certain species may become abundant during the winter months (Smith, 1920 as cited in Smith, 1950). There is evidence that some of portion (49%) of net phytoplankton. The yellow-green algae (Chrysophyta) composed 30% and the blue-green algae (Cyanophyta) 20% of the average standing crop at B1. Downstream, at station B2, the Chlorophyta decreased to 23% of the average standing crop. Both the Chrysophyta and Cyanophyta increased to 42 and 32%, respectively. At station B3 the Chlorophyta increased to 35%, the Chrysophyta decreased to 18%, while the Cyanophyta increased to 46% of the average standing crop.

An over-all consideration of the Beaver Reservoir cold-tailwater stations shows a net per cent decrease of Chlorophyta in relation to standing crops of net phytoplankton from B1 to B2 downstream from the dam. Station B2 exhibited the lowest per cent composition of Chlorophyta. The Chrysophyta per cent composition of net phytoplankton was similar (i.e., decreasing downstream from the dam, except for station B2 which showed the greatest per cent composition of yellow-green algae). The Cyanophyta continued to increase at each downstream station.

At station B1 the three major genera of Chlorophyta were *Chaetophora* (26%), *Cladophora* (24%), and *Ulothrix* (15%) (Figure 9b and Appendix H). Downstream, at station B2, *Chaetophora* comprised 53% of the Chlorophyta. Other abundant genera at B2 were *Pediastrum* (15%) and *Ulothrix* (8%). The major genera of Chlorophyta at station B3 consisted of *Chaetophora* (65%), *Stigeoclonium* (20%), and *Ulothrix* (6%). *Cladophora* and *Ulothrix* decreased in per cent composition downstream from the dam, while *Chaetophora* increased.

The per cent composition of major genera of Chrysophyta for the Beaver cold-tailwater and Kings River is shown in Figures 7b and 7d and the numerical data in Appendix F. The majority of Chrysophyta at the Beaver tailwater stations consisted of two genera, *Fragilaria* and *Tabellaria*.



FIGURE 8a. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF CELLS PER LITER OF CYANOPHYTA AND OF EACH MAJOR GENUS REPRESENTED AND ITS RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES. STATIONS ON THE BEAVER TAILWATER.



FIGURE 8c. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF CELLS PER LITER OF CYANOPHYTA AND OF EACH MAJOR GENUS REPRESENTED AND ITS RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES. STATIONS ON THE BUFFALO RIVER AND NORFORK TAILWATER.

OLD TAILWATERS

Norfork and Bull Shoals Tailwaters

As a taxon, the Chrysophyta represented by far the most abundant group of phytoplankton in the tailwater samples of Bull Shoals (BS) and Norfork stations 1 (N1), with the former having a slightly larger percentage. The Chrysophyta comprised 78% of the phytoplankton at Bull Shoals tailwater, 74% in Norfork 1 (N1), but only 36% in Norfork station 2 (N2) (Figures 6c and 6d and Appendix E). Diatoms constituted 99.6% of the Chrysophyta in the Norfork tailwater (N1) and 98.95% in the tailwater of Bull Shoals Reservoir. The slight increase in the percentage of Chrysophyta in the Bull Shoals tailwater was due to a pulse of Dinobryon (1,622 cells per liter) in mid-spring of 1966. The dominant genera at station one in the tailwater samples of both Bull Shoals (BS) and Norfork (N1) were Fragilaria and Melosira. Asterionella comprised 13% of the total Chrysophyta in the Norfork (N1) tailwater, while Achnanthes formed 11% in the tailwater at Bull Shoals (BS). Norfork station 2 (N2) had an abundance of Melosira (50%) and Achnanthes (35%) (Figure 7c and Appendix F).

The Cyanophyta represented approximately one-eighth and one-fifth of the total net phytoplankton collected in the tailwater stations directly below the Bull Shoals and Norfork dams, respectively; the blue-greens comprised approximately one-half of the total phytoplankton at Norfork station 2. Range of densities of blue-green algae was greater for the Buffalo River (140 to 17,328 cells per liter) than for the tailwater of the Norfork (263 to 8,733 cells per liter) and Bull Shoals (159 to 4,358 cells per liter) reservoirs. The dominant



FIGURE 9a. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF CELLS PER LITER OF CHLOROPHYTA AND OF EACH MAJOR GENUS REPRESENTED AND ITS RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES. STATIONS ON THE BEAVER TAILWATER.



FIGURE 9c. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF CELLS PER LITER OF CHLOROPHYTA AND OF EACH MAJOR GENUS REPRESENTED AND ITS RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES. STATIONS ON THE BUFFALO RIVER AND NORFORK TAILWATER.

Zooplankton

Investigation Period from July 1965 through October 1968[1]

INTRODUCTION

A total of 40 genera of net zooplankton was identified during the 1965-1966 investigation period from all areas; in addition, nauplii, rotifers of the class Digononta (Pennak, 1953), and one ostracod were observed. The tailwater of Beaver Reservoir and the Buffalo River showed the largest number of genera (36) and Bull Shoals tailwater exhibited the lowest number (25). The Norfork tailwater displayed 27 genera of zooplankton. Also, creeping rotifers (Digononta) and nauplii were observed in all areas studied. One ostracod occurred in a sample from the Buffalo River. *Actinosphaerium*, *Scaridium*, and *Bosminopsis* were identified only in samples from the Buffalo River. A list of the taxa of zooplankton present in samples from the various collecting areas is presented in Appendix B.

During the 1967-1968 study period a total of 35 genera was found in the Beaver tailwater and the Kings River. The Rotifera had the largest number of genera (18), and the Copepoda had the least (two each). The taxon Cladocera was represented by six genera. Thirty-two genera were identified from the Kings River and 26 from the Beaver tailwater stations (Appendix D).

[1]Information compiled from thesis and field data of Dennie (1967) and thesis of Gray (1970).



FIGURE 10a. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF DOMINANT ZOOPLANKTON EXPRESSED AS AVERAGE ORGANISMS PER LITER. STATIONS ON BEAVER TAILWATER.



FIGURE 10c. STANDING CROPS OF DOMINANT ZOOPLANKTON EXPRESSED AS AVERAGE ORGANISMS PER LITER. STATIONS NUMBER 1, JUST BELOW THE DAMS ON BULL SHOALS, NORFORK, AND BEAVER TAILWATERS.



FIGURE 10e. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF DOMINANT ZOOPLANKTON EXPRESSED AS AVERAGE ORGANISMS PER LITER. STATIONS ON THE KINGS RIVER.

cold-tailwater stations (Figures 10b and 10e); in KR1 and KR2 organisms of the phylum Rotifera were well represented (Appendix I). At station KR1, 57.8% and at KR2, 65.3% of the standing crops of zooplankton were composed of rotifers. These data correlate with the findings of Williams (1966). Copepods were the most abundant organisms in the three Beaver tailwater stations during the 1967-1968 study.

The most prevalent rotifer was Keratella. Williams (1966) found Keratella and Polyarthra to be the most numerous rotifers in the streams he examined. In the Kings River the Copepoda comprised 21.5% and 20.9% of the zooplankton at stations KR1 and KR2, respectively (Appendix I). Nauplii were the most frequently recorded copepods. Protozoa were more abundant at station KR1 (19.7%) than at station KR2 (9.2%). Arcella was the most commonly observed protozoan. Cladocerans constituted 1.0% (KR1) and 1.3% (KR2) of the zooplankton. The most common cladoceran was Bosmina. The one ostracod was found at station KR2.

from station B2L to station B3L (Appendix I). In late summer and early fall of 1966, the protozoans presented maximum densities at all three stations. These pulses were due almost entirely to *Difflugia*, except for a pulse in early fall of 1966, at station B3L for which *Epistylis* was primarily responsible. *Difflugia* was the most abundant genus in the Beaver tailwater in which it comprised over 70% of the protozoans.

Rotifers were the most abundant group of zooplankton at all stations in the 1965-1966 study of the tailwater of Beaver Reservoir (Figure 10a). The maximum densities of rotifers appeared in mid- and late winter 1966, at all stations in the Beaver tailwater (Dennie, 1967). From station B1L to station B2L there was a 25% decrease in the average number of rotifers per liter, 30% increase from station B2L to station B3L, and a 5% increase from station B1L to station B3L. During early fall of 1965, there was a progressive increase in the average number of rotifers per liter of 20% from station B1L to station B2L and a 17% increase from station B2L to station B3L. The dominant genera in the Beaver tailwater samples were *Filinia*, *Kellicottia*, *Keratella*, and *Synchaeta* (Figure 11a). *Keratella* decreased rapidly as it traveled downstream. *Synchaeta*, *Keratella*, and *Filinia* were abundant at all three stations. *Kellicottia* was most abundant at station B2L, but was relatively numerous at the other stations. *Notholca* was recorded about eight times more frequently at station B3L than at the other two stations (Dennie, 1967).

The copepods were the second most abundant type of zooplankton in the samples from B2L and B3L in the Beaver tailwater (Figure 10a). Maximum densities occurred at all stations in late fall 1966. The percentage relationship of this taxa remained the same at all three stations. Nauplii were by far the most abundant organisms of this



FIGURE 11b. AVERAGE STANDING CROPS OF ROTIFERA EXPRESSED AS ORGANISMS PER LITER OF EACH MAJOR GENUS REPRESENTED AND ITS RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES. STATIONS ON THE BUFFALO RIVER AND NORFORK TAILWATER.

The per cent compositions of other zooplankton at this station were Protozoa, 8.2% and Cladocera, 6.1%. At station B2, the copepods comprised 45.2% and rotifers, 21.4% of the standing crop. The Cladocera and Protozoa comprised 21.4 and 11.9% of the net zooplankton. Station B3 had a net zooplankton composition of the following: Copepoda, 55.5%; Rotifera, 22.2%; Cladocera, 18.2%; and Protozoa, 4.1%.

During the 1967-1968 study, copepods were the most frequently recorded zooplankton at all Beaver tailwater stations. The dominance of this taxon was due to large numbers of immature copepods (nauplii). There was a slight over-all decrease in copepod composition of net zooplankton downstream from Beaver Dam station B1 to B2. Ordinarily, representatives of the phylum Rotifera are the most abundant net zooplankters (Williams, 1966). However, in this study the rotifers were the second most numerous zooplankters in the Beaver cold-tailwaters. There was a slight decrease in composition of Rotifera in relation to standing crop downstream from the impoundment (Appendix I). Beach (1960), in his work with planktonic rotifers in the Ocqueoc River system, found a decrease in rotifers downstream from the outlets of lakes and impoundments. The most common rotifer in the later investigation period was Keratella. Protozoa were most abundant at station B2 and least abundant at station B3. The low protozoan composition may be explained in that many delicate ones lose their identity when they die or are preserved (Williams, 1966). Therefore, it is appropriate to note that the most abundant protozoan was the test-encased Difflugia. Cladocerans became a greater part of the zooplankton as the cold-tailwater moved downstream from Beaver Dam. The most frequently recorded cladocerans at all stations were Daphnia.

maximum rotifer densities in the mid-spring of 1966. The following taxa were dominant in the tailwaters of Bull Shoals and Norfork reservoirs: Digononta, Keratella, and Synchaeta (Figure 11b). Of the above, Keratella was the most abundant. It exhibited a maximum density in both tailwaters in late winter of 1966 of 11 organisms per liter (Norfork) and 14 organisms per liter (Bull Shoals). The dominant genera in the Buffalo River samples were Keratella and Filinia. Keratella pulsed in early spring of 1966, exhibiting 82 organisms per liter, while Filinia pulsed during this same period displaying 30 organisms per liter.

The copepods were the second most abundant group of zooplankton at stations number one in the tailwaters of Bull Shoals and Norfork reservoirs; but next to the Protozoa, they were the second least abundant major group of zooplankton in the Buffalo River (Appendix I). Of all the areas investigated during 1965-1966, the Norfork and Bull Shoals tailwaters were the most abundant in copepods with those in the Buffalo River being the least abundant. Maximum copepod densities were displayed in the tailwater of Bull Shoals Reservoir in late spring of 1966, and in the Norfork tailwater in late winter of 1966, while the Buffalo River presented its maximum density in early spring, 1966. In all of the above study areas, nauplii comprised about 75% of the Copepoda. Maximum densities of 17 organisms per liter occurred in late winter of 1966 in the tailwater of Norfork Reservoir, while the Bull Shoals tailwater exhibited 13 organisms per liter in late spring, 1966 (Dennie, 1967).

The cladocerans formed about one-seventh and one-eighth of the zooplankton at stations number 1 in the Norfork and Bull Shoals tailwaters.

Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Investigation Period from July 1965 through October 1968[1]

INTRODUCTION

The relative abundances of the dominant taxa in the Beaver, Bull Shoals, and Norfork tailwaters and the Kings and Buffalo Rivers are presented in Figure 12 and Appendix J. The percentages of dominant taxa in the Kings and Buffalo Rivers are given to show the conditions in natural streams in Arkansas. The figure and table show that, with the exception of Bull Shoals station 2 (C1 and C2), the older tailwaters, in general,yielded more organisms per square foot than the new tailwater and the natural streams. The data also show that the dominant organisms in the old tailwaters and those in the natural streams differ from each other.

The most striking physical difference between the natural streams and the tailwaters was temperature (Table II). The Buffalo and Kings Rivers exhibited a higher average and a wider range of temperatures than did the cold-tailwaters. In general, the tailwaters reflect the current condition of the water at the level of intake. As the water moves downstream, it is influenced to some extent by local conditions.

[1]Information compiled from thesis and field data of Liston (1967) and field data of Blanz (1970).



FIGURE 12b. DOMINANT TAXA OF BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES EXPRESSED AS PER CENT OF AVERAGE CROPS OF ORGANISMS PER SQUARE FOOT. SECTIONS ON THE BEAVER TAILWATER (B1L, B2L and B3L) AND ON THE NORFORK TAILWATER (N1 and N2).

NATURAL STREAMS

Buffalo and Kings Rivers

During the period from 1965-1968, bottom organisms from two natural streams, the Buffalo and Kings Rivers, environments unaltered by man-made activities, were studied for biotic productivity and composition to compare with cold-tailwaters. The Buffalo River station (C5 and C6) averaged 102 organisms per square foot; station number 1 on the Kings River (KR1) had 103 organisms per square foot. Station number two on the Kings River (KR2), had a slower riffle speed (Table II) and yielded a lower average, 63 organisms per square foot.

The dominant organisms in the Buffalo River were Coleoptera (36%), Gastropoda (22%), and Ephemeroptera (17%). In the Kings River, the dominant organisms at station KR1 were Trichoptera (48%), Ephemeroptera (25%), and Coleoptera (5%). At station KR2 in the Kings River, organisms were Ephemeroptera (35%), Trichoptera (25%), Gastropoda (15%), and Coleoptera (9%). Figure 12 and Appendix J show that the natural streams supported a greater variety of organisms and a minimal number of Chironomidae.

stations directly below Bull Shoals and Norfork dams yielded consistently higher numbers of organisms and wet weights per sample than did the samples from comparable distances below Beaver Reservoir.

NEW TAILWATER

Beaver Tailwater

In this investigation, July 1965-1966 and 1967-1968, an attempt was made to determine the development of the benthos of the Beaver tailwater. Stations were identical in location in both studies of this tailwater; however, the stations of the earlier study have been referred to as B1L, B2L, and B3L for clarity. Using the studies of the established tailwaters of Bull Shoals and Norfork reservoirs as a base, the data obtained in 1967-1968 may be compared to the data of the older tailwaters (Figure 12). Station BS, below Bull Shoals Reservoir, is comparable to B1; B3 is comparable to N2 below Norfork Dam and, to a very limited degree, to C1 and C2 in the White River below Bull Shoals Dam.

In the 1965-1966 study 13,303 organisms in 168 samples were taken from the three Beaver tailwater stations for an average of 79.2 organisms per square foot. From the same stations, the 1967-1968 study yielded 3,694 organisms in 63 samples for an average of 58.6 per square foot. The 1967-1968 study had fewer organisms per square foot at B1 and B2 than did the 1965-1966 investigation (Appendix J).

Seasonal occurrences of organisms are obscured by the relative constant temperatures of tailwaters. In addition, sporadic power generations may give false impressions as to seasonal cycles. Nevertheless, it appears that the late summer is the most productive season for natural streams and tailwater communities. However, low water conditions brought about by minimal power demands may be coincidental with these productivity increases in tailwaters.

The same genera of Chironomidae present in the 1965-1966 study also appeared in the latter samples. Specimens of Cardiocladius (Kieffer) were found abundant in the 1967-68 study.

The Beaver tailwater benthic community evidently is adversely affected in its development because of the turbulent substrate caused by excessive power generations. Figure 12b and 12c presents the average number of organisms per square foot for the first station below each dam. Station B1, below Beaver Dam, contains fewer organisms than found below the older dams (BS and N1) and B1L (Appendix J). During January, February, and April of 1968, high water in the Kings River and large water discharges in the Beaver tailwater made sampling impossible; however, these missing samples do not seem to alter the overall picture. Monthly totals ranged from 35 to 450 organisms more in the older, established tailwaters than in B1.

The second station below each dam generally produced fewer organisms than the first station in the older tailwaters. B2 had fewer organisms than the older stations except for a large pulse of Chironomidae in the

Ichthyofauna

Beaver, Norfork, and Bull Shoals Tailwater Ichthyofauna[1]

NEW TAILWATER

Beaver Tailwater

Fish collections were made from October 1965 to December 1966 (Brown, 1967) and also during spring, summer and fall of 1968 (Bacon et al., 1968; Noble, 1968) in the Beaver Dam tailwater at stations B1, B2, and B3 (Figure 1). These stations were located at 0.25, 1.9 and 3.5 miles, respectively, below the dam.

The fish fauna in this tailwater was mainly represented by three families: Cyprinidae, Percidae and Centrarchidae in that order of abundance among the 1965-1966 and 1968 collections (Figure 13). It was also evident that the fishes of the sunfish family increased in 1968; the salmonids that were stocked appeared during 1968 collections (Bacon et al., 1968).

Among the cyprinids, the stoneroller (Campostoma anomalum) was the most abundant species in both study periods and the darters the most abundant of the family Percidae. The young-of-the-year large-mouth bass were the dominant centrarchids in the 1965-1966 collections; whereas, the green sunfish was dominant in the 1968 collections.

---

[1]Information compiled from thesis of Brown, 1967; Bacon et al., 1968; and, (Personal Communication) field data of Noble, 1968.

Keith (1964) reported 72 species and five hybrid combinations in a preimpoundment survey of Beaver Reservoir; whereas in the Beaver tailwater following impoundment only 29 species of fishes were collected. Subsequent to impoundment, the ichthyofauna in the Beaver tailwater was greatly altered. It appears from the 1965-1966, and 1968 surveys, that the qualitative and quantitative fluctuations of fish fauna in the Beaver Dam tailwater had not reached a stable condition at that time.

OLD TAILWATERS

Norfork Tailwater

Stations N1 and N2 located 0.25 and 3.5 miles, respectively, below Norfork Dam were sampled from October 1965 to November 1966 (Brown, 1967), (Figure 1). Collections were not made in January, March, May, and June due to high water resulting from maximum generation.

A total of 18 species, 11 genera and 4 families was represented in the collections from N1 and N2. Of these, 65.8% of the fishes belonged to the family Cottidae, 34.1% to Cyprinidae, and the rest comprised 0.1%. The bulk of the fish populations was composed of Campostoma anomalum, Notropis pilsbryi and Cottus bairdi. Darters were rare in this tailwater. The logperch, Percina caprodes, which was abundant in the Beaver tailwater, was scarce in the Norfork Dam tailwater. Etheostoma caeruleum and Cottus bairdi were in spawning condition when collected in April, 1966.

Baker (1959) reported the occurrence of redhorse suckers, Moxostoma spp; hog suckers, Hypentilium nigricans; green sunfish, Lepomis cyanellus;

Table V

SUMMARY OF NUMBER OF FAMILIES, GENERA AND SPECIES OF FISHES COLLECTED (EXCLUDING SALMONIDS) IN THE TAILWATERS

OCCURRENCE CATEGORY	BEAVER 1965-1966+1968	NORFORK 1965-1966	BULL SHOALS 1965-1966
FAMILIES	9	4	3
GENERA	15	11	4
SPECIES	29	18	6



Figure 14: Percentages of dominant fish families in Beaver, Norfork and Bull Shoals Dam tailwaters.

TABLE VI *

	Provisional maximum temperatures recommended as compatible with the well-being of various species of fish and their associated biota
93 F:	Growth of catfish, gar, white or yellow bass, spotted bass, buffalo, carpsucker, threadfin shad, and gizzard shad.
90 F:	Growth of largemouth bass, drum, bluegill, and crappie.
84 F:	Growth of pike, perch, walleye, smallmouth bass, and sauger.
80 F:	Spawning and egg development of catfish, buffalo, threadfin shad, and gizzard shad.
75 F:	Spawning and egg development of largemouth bass, white and yellow bass, and spotted bass.
68 F:	Growth or migration routes of salmonids and for egg development of perch and smallmouth bass.
55 F:	Spawning and egg development of salmon and trout (other than lake trout).
48 F:	Spawning and egg development of lake trout, walleye, northern pike, sauger, and Atlantic salmon.

Note.--Recommended temperatures for other species, not listed above, may be established if and when necessary information becomes available.

*Reprinted from the Report of the Committee on Water Quality Criteria (Table III, FWPCA, 1968).

Fishes in the Confluence of the White and Buffalo Rivers[1]

Six stations C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, and C6 in the vicinity of the confluence of the White and Buffalo Rivers were sampled from October 1965 to October 1966 (Figure 1). Stations C1 and C2 were located in the White River upstream from the confluence with the Buffalo River, extending from 0.5 to 1.5 miles from the confluence. A riffle and a pool collection were made at this station on each side of the White River. A riffle and two pool collections were made at station C3 located on the same side of the mouth of the Buffalo River, extending from 0.3 to 1.0 miles below the confluence. A riffle and two pool collections were made at station C4 located across from station C3. Stations C5 and C6 were in the Buffalo River 0.75 of a mile upstream from the confluence; a riffle and a pool collection were made on each side of the river.

Average seasonal temperatures and ranges for each station are presented in Table VII. These illustrate some of the changes that are produced in a river when a dam with a low-level outlet is built upon it. The variation between summer and winter temperatures is less in the White River than in the undammed Buffalo River. During summer months, when water temperatures in the free-flowing Buffalo River are quite high (29.5C), the White River (C1 and C2) water remains cold (16.0C). In

[1] Information compiled from Thesis of Brown, 1967.

winter months, White River water remains somewhat warmer than Buffalo River water. Perhaps the most significant feature of this section of the White River is that its water temperature remains low enough throughout the year to maintain rainbow trout.

A comparison of water temperatures recorded at stations C3 and C4 shows an interesting pattern. Temperatures recorded at station C3 were similar to those in the Buffalo River (C5 and C6) while temperatures taken at station C4 followed closely those taken upstream in the White River (C1 and C2). This indicates that water discharged from the Buffalo River does not immediately mix with White River water. It remains on its side of entry while original White River water flows down the opposite side of the White River bed. This condition exists for varying distances downstream depending upon the difference in temperature between the two rivers and the volume of water discharged from the Buffalo River.

Dissolved oxygen and free carbon dioxide were relatively stable at all stations throughout the 13 month period, and few differences between stations were recorded. Ranges for dissolved oxygen and free carbon dioxide were 7.5 to 13.0 ppm and 0.5 to 3.0 ppm, respectively. Waters remained slightly alkaline throughout the study. The range (7.3 to 8.4) was large, but there were few differences between stations.

Fishes representing 40 species, 20 genera, and 11 families were collected from the stations in the vicinity of the confluence of the White and Buffalo Rivers. Details of the percentages of species obtained in the riffle and pool collections illustrating the overall distribution and relative abundance are given in Table VIII .

TABLE VIII CONTINUED

	Station							
	C1 & C2		C5 & C6		C3		C4	
Species	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool
Notropis rubellus		24.2	8.6	2.3		6.5	1.2	5.7
Notropis chrysocephalus		0.2		0.6		0.8		
Notropis pilsbryi	3.2	28.0	20.5	19.2	5.5	53.0	11.5	65.6
Notropis boops		9.1	0.3	32.3		9.5	0.1	1.9
Notropis ariommus		0.5		0.4		0.1		0.3
Notropis galacturus		6.4	2.1	6.4		5.1		3.2
Notropis greenei	0.2	1.1	3.5	0.6	0.2	2.9	0.2	1.2
Notropis ozarcanus			0.1	0.4		1.1		0.2
Notropis species		0.5		5.3		0.1		0.8

TABLE VIII CONTINUED

Species	C1 & C2 Riffle	C1 & C2 Pool	C5 & C6 Riffle	C5 & C6 Pool	C3 Riffle	C3 Pool	C4 Riffle	C4 Pool
Labidesthes sicculus		0. 3	0. 1	2. 3		0. 3		
Micropterus dolomieui			0. 2	0. 4		0. 2		
Lepomis cyanellus				T			0. 1	
Lepomis megalotis		0. 4	0. 4	5. 9	0. 5	0. 4	0. 1	
Ambloplites rupestris				0. 2				
Percina sciera					0. 2			
Percina evides			0. 3					
Percina uranidea			0. 1					
Etheostoma euzonum			2. 3	0. 1	0. 5	0. 2		0. 2

The fishes, rosy face shiner, Notropis rubellus; the bleeding shiner, N. pilsbryi; the bigeye shiner, N. boops; the stoneroller, Campostoma anomalum; and the rainbow darter, Etheostoma caeruleum were abundant in all stations. Species obtained only from the White River were Lamprey ammocoete, rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri; golden shiner, Notemigonus crysoleucas; flat head catfish, Polydictis olivaris; and the dusky darter, Percina sciera; while slender madtom, Noturus exilis; rock bass Ambloplites rupestris; gilt darter, Percina evides; and the stargazing darter, Percina uranidea were captured only in the Buffalo River. These data should not be construed as a measure of absolute distribution since only a few specimens of each of these species were collected.

Cottus bairdi was the most abundant fish in White River riffles but was rarely encountered in the Buffalo River. Several species including the Ozark and checkered madtoms; brook silversides, Labidesthes sicculus; and the longear sunfish, Lepomis meagalotis occurred frequently in Buffalo River but seldom in White River. Several species more characteristic of the Buffalo River fish fauna than that of the White River occurred commonly in both pool and riffle collections at station C3. Species showing this pattern of distribution were the northern studfish, Fundulus catenatus; the blackspotted topminnow, Fundulus olivaceous; the smallmouth bass, Micropterus dolomieui; the Arkansas saddled darter, Etheostoma euzonum; and the yolk darter, Etheostoma juliae. The resemblance of the ichthyofauna between stations C5 and C6, and C3 was due to the flow of the Buffalo River water on this side of the White River for some distance below the region of confluence of the White and Buffalo Rivers.

Table IX

NUMBERS OF SPECIES AND INDIVIDUALS COLLECTED IN RIFFLES AT STATIONS IN THE VICINITY OF THE CONFLUENCE OF THE WHITE AND BUFFALO RIVERS

	Station							
	C1 & C2		C5 & C6		C3		C4	
Season	Species	No.	Species	No.	Species	No.	Species	No.
Fall	5	178	15	299	9	160	7	333
Winter	5	193	9	60	5	13	4	75
Spring	8	214	22	681	5	28	8	91
Summer	8	299	18	409	8	167	12	410
Totals	12	844	27	1449	13	368	17	909

It was evident from the riffle and pool collections in the study area that fish abundance was related to the type of habitat. In general, deep, rapid riffles with rubble bottoms appeared to be the most densely populated. Deeper pools that were somewhat protected from strong river currents usually yielded larger and more varied collections.

In general, temperature is probably a major factor in determining the abundance and distribution of fishes in the study areas. The greatest observable difference between the White and Buffalo River sampling sites is temperature. Lower temperatures in the cold waters of the White River may have a limiting effect on the reproduction of some species. The studies of Eschmeyer and Smith (1943) and Pfitzer (1962) on the cold tail-waters in the TVA system revealed that the spawning of warm water fishes was inhibited. Since many species of minnows were numerous in the White River study area, some successful spawning evidently occurs. Baker (1959) reported occurrences of trout spawning at Cotter in the White River, but that fluctuating water levels and rolling gravel repeatedly destroyed the beds. The same fate seems likely for the spawning efforts of other species. Although, some fishes in ripe condition were taken in both the White and Buffalo Rivers, they were all forage fishes. Pfitzer (1962) reported that in the cold tailwaters in the TVA system, only some forage fishes appeared to spawn with some success, but populations of centrachids and minnows declined or disappeared. Pfitzer's findings seem to concur with these results reported for the White River below the Bull Shoals Reservoir.



FIGURE 15. LOCATIONS OF COLLECTING SITES FROM THE WHITE RIVER DRAINAGE IN NORTHERN ARKANSAS

19 C, turbidity increases, and the stream bed becomes wider. No lowland species were taken in this region, but there appeared to be a decrease in abundance of upland species.

Striking faunal differences occur between the upper and lower parts of the White River. The river passes through two major physio-graphical divisions, the Ozark Plateau and the Gulf Coastal Plain, with the separation occuring near the low-water bridge at Batesville. Replacement of species occurs as a result of competition, adverse physical or chemical conditions, or a combination of the three. The faunal difference above and below this point can be seen by the following groups of indicator fishes:

Upper White	Lower White
Carpiodes velifer	Dorosoma cepedianum
Moxostoma duquesnei	Ictiobus cyprinellus
Moxostoma erythrurum	Ictiobus niger
Hypentelium nigricans	Carpiodes cyprinus
Hybopsis dissimilis	Carpiodes carpio
Notropis rubellus	Moxostoma breviceps
Notropis pilsbryi	Hybopsis x-punctata
Notropis boops	Notropis atherinoides
Notropis greenei	Notropis venustus
Dionda nubila	Notropis volucellus
Pimephales notatus	Notropis sabinae
Salmo gairdneri	Hybognathus nuchalis
Micropterus dolomieui	Pimephales vigilax
Lepomis megalotis	Micropterus salmoides

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Generalizations Concerning This Investigation

In many areas of the United States, natural free-flowing streams have been impounded for purposes of flood control, hydroelectric power generation, public water supplies, recreation, and retirement areas and homes for the aged. The impoundment of a stream with a deep water outflow dam drastically alters the ecological conditions. A large portion of the White River, located in northern Arkansas and southern Missouri, has been impounded at several locations, changing this natural stream habitat into a series of reservoirs with cold-tailwaters.

Investigations in Arkansas show that native warm-water fisheries are destroyed for many miles below these reservoirs. A trout stocking program initiated below Norfork and Bull Shoals Dams produced such excellent fishing that the area became nationally famous within a short time. By 1957, only six years after Bull Shoals was impounded, the cold-tailwaters supported 47,792 man days of fishing, amounting to $684,732 worth of business to fishing-service operators alone (Baker, 1959). Since the White River has only a few areas available for the natural spawning of trout, a hatchery located near Norfork Dam was constructed for the purpose of providing trout for the Norfork and White River cold-tailwaters. Trout have been stocked below Beaver Dam, but at the present time it is too early to determine the success of the trout fishery in this stream.

Physico-chemical

The most striking differences between the cold-tailwaters and the natural streams are temperature and the scouring action of the stream beds due to periods of power generation on the cold-tailwaters. Seasonal temperature fluctuations in the cold-tailwaters increased as the water proceeded downstream from its homoiothermal source. The greatest range of temperature occurred in the natural streams. Riffle speeds in the natural streams exhibited seasonal and individual fluctuations, as did the speeds in the cold-tailwaters, although the latter currents were also influenced by power generation times.

The report of the Committee on Water Quality Criteria (FWPCA, 1968) states that free carbon dioxide concentrations should not exceed 25 mg/l, and that the pH should not be below 6.0 or above 9.0. The averages and ranges of carbon dioxide were well within this specification, and the pH values were within these limits. The carbon dioxide content decreased as the water coursed downstream, and hydrogen ion concentration increased.

For a diversified warm-water biota, dissolved oxygen concentrations may drop to a value between 5 and 4 mg/l for short periods of time. Provided other water conditions are favorable for a cold-water biota, the dissolved oxygen concentrations may range between 6 and 5 mg/l for short periods (FWPCA, 1968). The lowest dissolved oxygen concentrations were at the stations nearest the dam. During the 1967-1968 study, the dissolved oxygen dropped at station Beaver 1 to 2.5 ppm for a short period of time; nevertheless, average dissolved oxygen values were well and Kings River displayed the highest. The 1967-1968 series of samples from the Beaver cold-tailwater and the Buffalo River had the highest average values of ortho-phosphate. Norfork cold-tailwater and the Buffalo River stations showed the highest averages of meta-phosphate. The highest range of nitrate-nitrogen was 4.0 ppm at station Beaver 3 during the 1967-1968 series. Other high maxima were found at the Beaver cold-tailwater station 1 with 2.0 ppm (1965-1966) and 1.9 at station 1 (1967-1968).

Ortho-phosphate averages ranged from 0.06 ppm to 0.1 ppm. Meta-phosphate ranged from an average of 0.23 ppm at Bull Shoals to 0.35 ppm at Norfork station number 2.

At stations 1 and 2 on the Norfork, 0.25 and 3.5 miles below the dam, respectively, the organisms of the phyla Chrysophyta and Cyanophyta formed the greatest percentages. At Norfork station 1 the Chrysophyta were the most abundant, while at station 2 members of the Cyanophyta were most numerous.

In the natural streams, Buffalo and Kings Rivers, there was a tendency towards an equal distribution between the three major phyla. However, the Chrysophyta were more numerous in the Kings River, and the Cyanophyta more abundant in the Buffalo River.

In the 1965-1966 Beaver cold-tailwater study the three major phyla at station 1 appeared to be somewhat equally distributed. Members of the phylum Cyanophyta comprised the largest percentages at stations 2 and 3. During the 1967-1968 Beaver cold-tailwater investigation, the number of cells was greatly reduced at station number 1 when compared to the 1965-1966 study. At stations 2 and 3, members of the Cyanophyta increased in percentages, while the Chlorophyta continued to be abundant.

Zooplankton

In the cold-tailwaters, the largest numbers of zooplankton were found at Norfork stations 1 and 2 (18.0 and 25.0 organisms per liter, respectively). The Buffalo River, a natural stream, ranked second in productivity with 19.1 organisms per liter. The Kings River, the other natural stream, was more productive than the Beaver cold-tailwater during both investigations. The 1967-1968 investigation of the Beaver cold-tailwater showed fewer zooplankton than the earlier study period. This result was possibly due to excessive generation.

Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Benthic macroinvertebrates were taken at ten stations, four in the old cold-tailwaters, three in the new cold-tailwater, and three in natural streams. However, since the new Beaver cold-tailwater was sampled twice, once during 1965-1966 and again during the 1967-1968 investigation, productivity ranks are based on 13 samplings.

Productivities, expressed in terms of number of organisms per square foot, were as follows: (1) the largest number of organisms was found in the old cold-tailwaters within three and one half miles downstream from the dam--for instance, Norfork station 1 had an average of 244 organisms per square foot, Norfork station 2 had 173, and Bull Shoals station 1 had 163; (2) the Buffalo River station ranked sixth with an average of 102 organisms per square foot; (3) station number 1 on the Kings River ranked fifth with 103 organisms, and station 2 on this stream ranked ninth with 63; (4) the lowest number of organisms per square foot was found at the second station on the White River (C1 and C2), twenty miles below the dam, where only 30 organisms per square foot were recorded.

The biomass, measured in average wet weight, showed an entirely different productivity pattern compared to the number of organisms per square foot. Some of these differences were as follows: (1) the two Kings River stations ranked first and second, and the two Norfork

Ichthyofauna

Beaver, Norfork, and Bull Shoals Tailwater Ichthyofauna

Fish collections in Beaver tailwater were made from October 1965 to December 1966, and during Spring, Summer and Fall of 1968. Norfork and Bull Shoals tailwaters were sampled from October 1965 to November 1966. A comparison of Beaver, Norfork, and Bull Shoals tailwater ichthyofauna showed a more diverse fauna in Beaver tailwater compared to the other two tailwaters. The Beaver tailwater fish fauna was represented by the families Cyprinidae, Percidae and Centrarchidae in that order of abundance. In the Norfork and Bull Shoals tailwaters fishes of the families Cottidae and Cyprinidae were predominant. The sunfishes that were reported from Norfork tailwater (Baker, 1959) were absent there in the 1965-1966 collections indicating the nonsuitability of this tailwater for the sunfishes. Although Beaver tailwater currently shows a more diverse ichthyofauna than the other tailwaters, the situation may change in future as was the case in Norfork tailwater. It is recommended that the Beaver tailwater ichthyofauna survey be continued along with an investigation of the food and feeding habits of the tailwater fishes in relation to the abundance and fluctuations in the available food organisms. Such an investigation would allow an understanding as to whether the changes in the tailwater ichthyofauna are due to physico-chemical conditions, food organisms or an overall interaction between all of these factors.

During summer months, when average water temperature in the Buffalo River was high (29.5 C), the White River (C1 and C2) water remained cold (16.0 C). In winter months, White River water remained somewhat warmer (7.5 C) than Buffalo River water (5.0 C). The water temperatures at stations C3 and C4 corresponded with temperatures in the Buffalo River and White River, respectively, indicating that water discharged from the Buffalo River does not immediately mix with White River water. Ranges for dissolved oxygen and free carbon dioxide were 7.5 to 13.0 ppm, and 0.5 to 3.0 ppm, respectively, at all the stations. The pH range was from 7.3 to 8.4.

Species of fishes common to both the White and Buffalo Rivers, and specific to each of these rivers were given in detail under results. There was similarity of ichthyofauna between the Buffalo River (C5 and C6) and station C3, and this similarity was interpreted as due to the flow of Buffalo River water on the side of station C3 of the White River for some distance below the confluence of White and Buffalo Rivers.

The number of species and individuals of fishes collected seasonally in riffles and pools showed that the Buffalo River (C5 and C6) support a more diverse fish fauna than the White River. The riffle collections at C4 had more species of fishes than at C3 and this was attributed to habitat differences as the riffle at station C4 was deep and rapid while the riffle at C3 was shallow with moderate current. However, pool collections at C3 had more species of fishes than station C4. Since the water temperature at station C3 was similar to that of

Ichthyofauna of White River, Kings River, Buffalo River, Black River and Norfork River

During the summers of 1965 and 1966 fishes were collected from White River below the Bull Shoals Dam, Norfork River below Norfork Dam, Kings River, Buffalo River and Black River to determine the faunal composition, distribution and abundance of fishes.

In the White River the number of species increases from its origin to the confluence with the Arkansas River. Important breaks in normal species distribution occur in cold tailwater areas below the Bull Shoals Dam. From Bull Shoals Dam to Cotter, Arkansas, the river becomes progressively wider and the temperature increases resulting in an increase in the number of species. There was a succession in fish species in the White River, with the lower end of the river having a distinct ichthyofauna from that of the upper end. Burton and Odum (1945) reported distinct longitudinal succession in mountain streams in Virginia and regarded temperature as the most important factor limiting the distribution of fishes in a stream. The ichthyofaunal differences in the White River are attributable to temperature variations in various parts of the river.

The Buffalo River drains into the White River about 10 miles south of Cotter. In this region several species that were widely distributed in the Buffalo River were found for the first time in the White River proper. While only 33 species were collected in the White River between Bull Shoals Dam and Cotter, 50 species were recorded from the confluence area with the Buffalo River to Sylamore. This increase

LITERATURE CITED

Aggus, Larry R. and L. O. Warren. 1965. Bottom organisms of the Beaver Reservoir Basin: A preimpoundment study. J. Kans. Entomol. Soc. 38: 163-178.

American Fisheries Society. 1960 (Second Edition). A list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc., Spec. Publ. No. 2. 102 p.

Anonymous. 1969. Stream preservation in Arkansas. Arkansas Planning Commission, Little Rock, Arkansas. 123 p.

APHA, AWWA, and FSIWA. 1960. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 11th Ed. APHA Inc., New York. 626 p.

Applegate, R. L. and J. W. Mullan. 1967. Seasonal and mean annual standing crops of dissolved organic matter, plankton, and seston in Beaver and Bull Shoals reservoirs. Reservoir Fishery Symposium, Univ. of Georgia. In press.

Bacon, E. J. Jr., S. H. Newton, R. V. Kilambi, and C. E. Hoffman. 1968. Changes in The Ichthyofauna in The Beaver Reservoir Tailwater. Proc. 22nd Ann. Conf. S. E. Assoc. Game and Fish Comm. 22: 245-248.

Baker, R. F. 1959. Historical review of the Bull Shoals Dam and Norfork Dam tailwater trout fishery. Proc. Ann. Conf. S. E. Assn. Game and Fish Comm. 13: 229-236.

Baker, R. F. 1960. Physical-chemical studies of the upper White River below Beaver Dam site Bush, Arkansas. July 1, 1955-June 30, 1960. Arkansas Dingell-Johnson Project. Federal Aid Project F-3-R. 9 p.

Beach, N. W. 1960. A study of the planktonic Rotifers of the Ocqueoc River system, Presque Isle County, Mich. Ecol. Monogr. 30: 330-357.

Blanz, R. E. 1970. Physico-chemical factors and benthic macro-invertebrates in cold-tailwaters and natural streams in the state of Arkansas. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Arkansas. 77 p.

Finnel, J. C. 1953. Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles in Tenkiller Reservoir and tailwaters with consideration of these waters as a possible habitat for rainbow trout. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 34: 65-72.

Fogle, N. E., and J. T. Shields. 1961. Tailwaters fishery survey. South Dakota Dept. Game, Fish, and Parks, Dingell-Johnson Reports, Proj. F-1-R-11. 8 p.

Fox. J. M., B. G. Simmons, and R. Washburn. 1935. Metabolic rates of ephemerid nymphs from swift and still waters. J. Exp. Biol. 12: 179-184.

Fry, J. P. 1962. Harvest of fish from tailwaters of three large impoundments in Missouri. Proc. 16th. Ann. Conf. S. E. Assn. Game and Fish Comm. 16: 405-411.

FWPCA. 1968. Water Quality Criteria. Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, Washington, D. C. 234 p.

Gladson, W. N. 1911. Water powers of Arkansas. The Geological Surv. of Arkansas. Fayetteville, Ark. 94 p.

Gray, J. S. Jr. 1970. A limnological investigation of physico-chemical factors and plankton in a cold-tailwater and a natural stream in northwestern Arkansas. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Arkansas. 74 p.

Horn, M. E. and D. E. Garner. 1965. Characterization of soils and water in the Beaver Reservoir area: pre-impoundment studies of chemical properties. Agri. Exp. Sta. Univ. of Ark. Bull. 702: 57 p.

Keith, W. E. 1964. A pre-impoundment study of the fishes, their distribution and abundance, in the Beaver Lake drainage of Arkansas. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Arkansas. 94 p.

Kofoid, C. A. 1903. The plankton of the Illinois River, 1894-1899, with introductory notes upon the hydrography of the Illinois River and its basin. Part I. Quantitative investigations and general results. Bull. Ill. State Lab. Nat. Hist. 6: 95-629.

_____________. 1908. The plankton of the Illinois River, 1894-1899, with introductory notes upon the hydrography of the Illinois River and its basin. Part II. Constituent organisms and their seasonal distribution. Bull. Ill. State Lab. Nat. Hist. 8: 1-354.

Reid, G. K. 1961. Ecology of inland waters and estuaries. Reinhold, New York. 375 p.

Reinhard, E. G. 1931. The plankton ecology of the upper Mississippi, Minneapolis to Winona. Ecol. Monogr. 1: 395-464.

Ruttner, F. 1953. Fundamentals of limnology. Univ. of Toronto Press. 242 p.

Serfling, R. E. 1949. Quantitative estimation of plankton from small samples of Sedgwick-Rafter-cell mounts of concentrated samples. Trans. Am. Microscop. Soc. 68: 185-199.

Smith, G. M. 1950. The fresh-water algae of the United States. McGraw-Hill, New York. 719 p.

Smith, K. L. 1967. The Buffalo River Country. The Ozark Soc., Fayetteville, Arkansas. 178 p.

Starrett, W. C. 1950. Distribution of the fishes of Boone County, Iowa, with special reference to minnows and darters. Amer. Mdl. Nat. 43: 112-127.

Summers, P. B. 1954. Some limnological and fish distribution observations in the Illinois River below Tenkiller Reservoir. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 35: 15-20.

Tarzwell, Clarence M. 1937. Factors influencing fish food and fish production in southwestern streams. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 67: 246-255.

Ward, H. B. and G. C. Whipple. 1918. Fresh-water biology. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1111 p.

__________. 1959. Fresh-water biology. 2nd Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1248 p.

Welch, P. S. 1952. Limnology. McGraw-Hill, New York. 538 p.

Whitford, L. A. 1960. The current effect and growth of fresh-water algae. Trans. AM. Microscop. Soc. 79: 302-309.

__________ and G. J. Schumacher. 1961. Effect on current effect on mineral uptake and respiration by a fresh-water alga. Limnol. Oceanog. 6: 423-425.

Williams, L. G. 1966. Dominant planktonic rotifers of major waterways of the United States. Limnol. Oceanog. 11: 83-91.

__________ and C. Scott. 1962. Principal diatoms of major waterways of the United States. Limnol. Oceanog. 7: 365-379.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A. GENERIC LIST OF PHYTOPLANKTON IN THE BUFFALO RIVER AND THE THREE TAILWATERS (DENNIE, 1967)

TAXA	BEAVER	NORFORK	BULL SHOALS	BUFFALO RIVER
Chlorophyta				
<u>Actinastrum</u>	x	x	x	
<u>Ankistrodesmus</u>	x			x
<u>Aphanochaete</u>	x			
<u>Basicladia</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Bulbochaete</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Chaetophora</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Cladophora</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Closteriopsis</u>	x	x	x	
<u>Closterium</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Coelastrum</u>	x			
<u>Coleochaete</u>		x	x	
<u>Desmidium</u>	x	x		x
<u>Draparnaldia</u>	x	x	x	
<u>Draparnaldiopsis</u>	x	x	x	
<u>Eudorina</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Genicularia</u>		x	x	x
<u>Gonatozygon</u>		x		
<u>Gymnozyga</u>		x		x
<u>Hyalotheca</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Hydrodictyon</u>				x
<u>Micrasterias</u>			x	x
<u>Micractinium</u>	x	x		
<u>Microspora</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Microthamnion</u>				x
<u>Mougeotia</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Oedogonium</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Pandorina</u>	x	x		x
<u>Pediastrum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Pleodorina</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Pleurotaenium</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Rhizoclonium</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Schizogonium</u>	x		x	x
<u>Selenastrum</u>				x
<u>Sorastrum</u>				x
<u>Spirogyra</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Spirotaenia</u>	x			x
<u>Staurastrum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Stigeoclonium</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Tetraspora</u>	x			x
<u>Treubaria</u>				x
<u>Ulothrix</u>	x	x	x	x

APPENDIX A (continued).

TAXA	BEAVER	NORFORK	BULL SHOALS	BUFFALO RIVER
Cyanophyta				
Agmenellum	x			x
Anabaena	x	x	x	x
Anabaenopsis				x
Anacystis	x	x	x	x
Aphanizomenon	x	x		
Aphanocapsa	x	x		
Chroococcus				x
Coelosphaerium	x	x	x	x
Dichothrix	x	x		x
Gloeotrichia				x
Gomphosphaeria	x	x	x	x
Lyngbya	x	x	x	x
Microcoleus	x			x
Nostoc			x	x
Oscillatoria	x	x	x	x
Phormidium	x	x	x	x
Plectonema		x		x
Rivularia	x	x	x	x
Spirulina	x	x	x	x
Symploca	x	x	x	
Rhodophyta				
Audouinella	x	x	x	x
Batrachospermum	x	x	x	x

APPENDIX B (continued).

TAXA	BEAVER	NORFORK	BULL SHOALS	BUFFALO RIVER
Bosminopsis				x
Ceriodaphnia	x	x	x	
Chydorus	x		x	x
Daphnia	x	x	x	x
Diaphanosoma	x	x	x	
Copepoda				
Cyclops	x	x	x	x
Diaptomus	x	x	x	x
Nauplii	x	x	x	x
Ostracoda				x

APPENDIX C (continued).

TAXA	BEAVER TAILWATER	KINGS RIVER
Chrysophyta		
Achnanthes	x	x
Amphora	x	x
Asterionella	x	x
Characiopsis		x
Cyclotella	x	x
Cymbella	x	x
Diatoma	x	x
Dinobryon	x	x
Eunotia	x	x
Fragilaria	x	x
Frustulia	x	x
Gomphonema	x	x
Gyrosigma	x	x
Hydrurus		x
Mallomonas	x	x
Melosira	x	x
Meridion	x	x
Navicula	x	x
Nitzschia	x	x
Pinnularia	x	x
Stauroneis	x	x
Stephanodiscus	x	x
Surirella	x	x
Synedra	x	x
Tabellaria	x	x
Tribonema	x	x
Cyanophyta		
Agmenellum	x	
Anabaena	x	x
Anacystis	x	x
Aphanizomenon	x	
Gomphosphaeria	x	x
Nodularia	x	
Nostoc		x
Oscillatoria	x	x
Plectonema		x
Rivularia	x	x
Spirulina	x	x
Stichosiphon	x	

APPENDIX D. GENERIC LIST OF ZOOPLANKTON IN THE KINGS RIVER AND THE BEAVER TAILWATER (GRAY, 1970)

TAXA	BEAVER TAILWATER	KINGS RIVER
Protozoa		
<u>Actinosphaerium</u>	x	x
<u>Arcella</u>	x	x
<u>Centropyxis</u>	x	x
<u>Codonella</u>		x
<u>Colpoda</u>	x	x
<u>Difflugia</u>	x	x
<u>Epistylis</u>	x	x
<u>Euplotes</u>		x
<u>Vorticella</u>	x	x
Rotifer		
<u>Asplanchna</u>	x	x
<u>Brachionus</u>	x	x
<u>Cephalodella</u>		x
<u>Conochilus</u>	x	x
<u>Euchlanis</u>		x
<u>Filinia</u>		x
<u>Gastropus</u>	x	x
<u>Hexarthra</u>		x
<u>Kellicottia</u>	x	x
<u>Keratella</u>	x	x
<u>Lecane</u>	x	
<u>Monostyla</u>	x	x
<u>Philodina</u>	x	x
<u>Platyias</u>	x	x
<u>Polyarthra</u>	x	x
<u>Synchaeta</u>	x	x
<u>Testudinella</u>		x
<u>Trichotria</u>	x	x
Cladocera		
<u>Alona</u>		x
<u>Bosmina</u>	x	x
<u>Ceriodaphnia</u>	x	
<u>Chydorus</u>		x
<u>Daphnia</u>	x	x
<u>Simocephalus</u>	x	

APPENDIX E. DOMINANT PHYLA OF PHYTOPLANKTON EXPRESSED AS PER CENT OF AVERAGE CELLS PER LITER

STATION	Buffalo River		Kings River 1		Kings River 2		Beaver 1		Beaver 1		Beaver 2	
YEAR	1966		1968		1968		1966		1968		1966	
SYMBOL	C5 & C6		KR1		KR2		B1L		B1		B2L	
	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%
Chrysophyta	991	25	273	47	278	43	2,193	26	388	30	713	20
Cyanophyta	1,943	49	128	22	194	30	3,037	36	259	20	2,280	64
Chlorophyta	991	25	174	30	155	24	3,121	37	635	49	534	15
Others	40	1	6	1	19	3	84	1	13	1	36	1
Total	3,965	100	581	100	646	100	8,435	100	1,295	100	3,563	100

	Beaver 2		Beaver 3		Beaver 3		Norfork 1		Norfork 2		Bull Shoals 1	
	1968		1966		1968		1966		1966		1966	
	B2		B3L		B3		N1		N2		BS	
	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%	Avg. Cells Per Liter	%
Chrysophyta	900	42	663	13	1,093	18	10,936	74	5,567	36	11,175	78
Cyanophyta	686	32	3,928	77	2,794	46	2,956	20	7,887	51	1,719	12
Chlorophyta	493	23	459	9	2,126	35	739	5	1,856	12	1,146	8
Others	64	3	51	1	61	1	148	1	155	1	286	2
Total	2,143	100	5,101	100	6,074	100	14,779	100	15,465	100	14,326	100

APPENDIX G. DOMINANT GENERA OF CYANOPHYTA EXPRESSED IN PER CENT OF CELLS PER LITER[1]

STATION	SYMBOL	AVG. NO. OF CELLS PER LITER	OSCILLATORIA	PHORMIDIUM	LYNGBYA	ANABAENA	GOMPHOSPHAERIA	ANACYSTIS	OTHERS	TOTAL
Buffalo River (1966)	C5 & C6	1,943	(19) 8	7	4	70	1	0	10	100
Kings River 1 (1968)	KR1	128	87	0	0	2	0	10	1	100
Kings River 2 (1968)	KR2	194	85	0	0	2	1	5	7	100
Beaver 1 (1966)	B1L	3,037	(91)87	3	1	2	2	0	5	100
Beaver 1 (1968)	B1	259	77	0	0	2	9	8	4	100
Beaver 2 (1966)	B2L	2,280	(96)74	15	7	2	1	0	1	100
Beaver 2 (1968)	B2	686	89	0	0	1	1	3	6	100
Beaver 3 (1966)	B3L	3,928	(98)57	24	17	0	1	0	1	100
Beaver 3 (1968)	B3	2,794	95	0	0	2	1	1	1	100
Norfork 1 (1966)	N1	2,956	(86)42	38	6	1	6	0	7	100
Norfork 2 (1966)	N2	7,887	(76)34	36	6	0	1	0	23	100
Bull Shoals (1966)	BS	1,719	(80)51	21	8	16	2	0	2	100

[1]In the earlier study (Stations C5 and C6, B1L, B2L, B3L, N1, N2, and BS) the oscillatoriaceae were considered as separate genera, Oscillatoria, Phormidium, and Lyngbya; for clarification the sum of these three genera is given in parenthesis.

APPENDIX I. DOMINANT TAXA OF ZOOPLANKTON EXPRESSED AS PER CENT OF AVERAGE ORGANISMS PER LITER

STATION YEAR SYMBOL	Buffalo River 1966 C5 & C6 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Kings River 1 1968 KR1 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Kings River 2 1968 KR2 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Beaver 1 1966 B1L Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Beaver 1 1968 B1 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Beaver 2 1966 B2L Avg. Org. Per Liter	%
Rotifera	11.8	62.0	7.8	57.8	10.0	65.3	5.2	40.0	1.2	24.5	4.0	43.0
Copepoda	1.9	10.0	2.9	21.5	3.2	20.9	3.0	23.0	3.0	61.2	2.1	23.0
Protozoa	4.6	24.0	2.7	19.7	1.4	9.2	3.0	23.0	0.4	8.2	1.7	19.0
Cladocera	0.76	4.0	0.1	1.0	0.2	1.3	1.8	14.0	0.3	6.1	1.4	15.0
Others		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	19.1	100.0	13.5	100.0	15.3	100.0	13.0	100.0	4.9	100.0	9.2	100.0

	Beaver 2 1968 B2 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Beaver 3 1966 B3L Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Beaver 3 1968 B3 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Norfork 1 1966 N1 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Norfork 2 1966 N2 Avg. Org. Per Liter	%	Bull Shoals 1 1966 BS Avg. Org. Per Liter	%
Rotifera	0.9	21.4	5.5	50.0	1.1	22.2	7.8	43.0	15.0	60.0	6.9	46.0
Copepoda	1.9	45.2	2.5	23.0	2.7	55.5	6.1	34.0	5.0	20.0	4.8	32.0
Protozoa	0.5	11.9	1.9	17.0	0.2	4.1	1.4	8.0	2.5	10.0	1.5	10.0
Cladocera	0.9	21.4	1.1	10.0	0.9	18.2	2.7	15.0	2.5	10.0	1.8	12.0
Others	P.	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	4.2	100.0	11.0	100.0	4.9	100.0	18.0	100.0	25.0	100.0	15.0	100.0

P. = present

APPENDIX J. (CONTINUED)

STATION	SYMBOL	AVG. NO. PER SQ. FT.	AVG. WT. PER SQ. FT.	CHIRONOMIDAE	ISOPODA	OLIGOCHAETA	AMPHIPODA	TURBELLARIA	OTHERS	NO. OF SAMPLES
Beaver 1 (1966)	B1L	82	0.10 gms.	46%	7%	44%	0	1%	2%	61
Beaver 1 (1968)	B1	36	0.07	52	22	10	2	7	7	20
Beaver 2 (1966)	B2L	115	0.16	55	1	40	0	1	3	55
Beaver 2 (1968)	B2	93	0.10	94	0	1	0	3	2	18
Beaver 3 (1966)	B3L	38	0.15	58	6	14	0	6	16	52
Beaver 3 (1968)	B3	52	0.05	92	1	1	0	3	3	25
Bull Shoals 1 (1966)	BS*	163	0.54	38	11	1	49	1	0	11
Bull Shoals 2 (1966)	C1 & C2*	30	0.23	23	21	38	4	1	13	11
Norfork 1 (1966)	N1	244	1.03	38	49	9	0	1	3	10
Norfork 2 (1966)	N2	173	0.67	21	69	1	3	2	4	9

*Referred to as BS1 and BS2 in Brown, Liston, and Dennie (1967)