FINAL REPORT

BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER ECOSYSTEMS

1 APRIL 1974 - 31 MARCH 1975

Submitted by:

Project Director: R. E. Babcock Project Coordinator: H. C. MacDonald

Water Resources Research Center University of Arkansas Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

on Behalf of

for the Office of Natural Sciences, Southwest Region, National Park Service, Santa Fe, New Mexico under Contract Number NPS 700040182.

0 [] 1 1 \$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported in part by funds provided by the Office of Water Research and Technology, United States Department of the Interior, as authorized under the Water Resources Research Act of 1964, Public Law 88-379, and administered through the Arkansas Water Resources Research Center, University of Arkansas.

CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS

R. E. Babcock
D. A. Becker
R. V. Kilambi
H. C. MacDonald
R. L. Meyer
J. F. Nix
D. G. Parker
R. W. Raible
E. H. Schmitz
K. F. Steele

CONTENTS

]

]

]

]

]

SUBJECT			PAGE
Objectives and Priorities	• • •		 1
Seasonal Water Quality Analysis			 . 4
Intensive "One Shot" Water Quality Survey .			 21
Geochemistry of Sediment and Water	• •		 . 54
Spatial and Temporal Distribution of			
Algae and Associated Parameters	• 213		 . 103
Land Use	• • •		 . 116
Visitor Use and Resource Capacity			 . 137
Automatic Monitoring - Water Quality			 . 140
Ichthyofauna	• Dette		 . 141
Bottom Fauna	12 - 3		 . 152
Conclusions			 . 173
References			 . 175
Appendix A	• • •	Эт.	 . 177
Appendix B	• • • • •		 . 216

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	Water Temperature ([°] C)	11
Table 2.	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/1)	11
Table 3.	Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%)	12
Table 4.	Total Organic Carbon/Total Inorganic Carbon	12
Table 5.	Color (Units)	13
Table 6.	Turbidity (JTU)	13
Table 7.	Suspended Solids (mg/1)	14
Table 8.	Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO ₃) 10.0111 .0000 .000 .000 .000	14
Table 9.	Hardness (mg/1 as CaCO ₃)	15
Table 10.	Conductivity (µmhos/cm)	15
Table 11.	рН	16
Table 12.	Chlorides (mg/1)	16
Table 13.	Iron (mg/1)	17
Table 14.	Ammonia (mg/1-N)	17
Table 15.	Nitrate (mg/l-N)	18
Table 16.	Phosphate, Ortho (mg/1-P)	18
Table 17.	Fecal Coliforms (Coli/100 ml)	19
Table 18.	Fecal Streptococcus (Organisms/100 ml)	19
Table 19.	Fecal Coliform-Fecal Streptococcus Ratio	20
Table 20.	Location of Points Which were Sampled on the Main Stream of the Buffalo River	24
Table 21.	Location of Points Which were Sampled on Tributaries of the Buffalo River	27
Table 22.	Results of Analysis of Samples from Buffalo River	29
Table 23.	Results of Analysis of Samples From Buffalo River Tributaries (1974)	32
Table 24.	Range and Mean Values of Analysis of Samples Taken from the Mainstream of the Buffalo River	34

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

]

Ì

Page

Table	25.	Percentage of Element Extracted by Aqua Regia	58
Table	26.	Effect of Particle Size on Acid Extraction Analyses (ppm Except Fe Which is Weight Percent) .	60
Table	27.	Bottom Sediment Data for the Buffalo River and Four Tributaries (ppm Except Fe Which is Weight Percent)	61
Table	28.	Comparison of Heavy Metals in Buffalo River Bottom Sediments with Those in Average Rocks (ppm Except Fe Which is Weight Percent)	72
Table	29.	Arsenic and Mercury in Buffalo River Bottom Sediments	73
Table	30.	Analyses of Bottom Sediment Samples from the Ponca-Boxley District	78
Table	31.	Suspended Material (<0.45µm) in Buffalo River and Four Tributaries	85
Table	32.	Dissolved Material in Buffalo River and Four Tributaries	93
Table	33.	Temporal and Spatial Distribution of the Algae in the Buffalo River, 1974-75	110
Table	34.	Range and Mean Values of Chemical and Physical Data from the Buffalo River, 1974-75	113
Table	35.	Qualitative and Quantitative Monthly Fish Data from January 1974 through February 1975	142
Table	36.	Physicochemical Data from Collecting Stations	145
Table	37.	Smallmouth Bass Collections	149
Table	A1.	Concentration of Elements in Bottom Sediments	177
Table	A2.	Analyses of Suspended Sediment in Parts Per Billion ($\mu g/lt$ of H_2^{0})	190
Table	A3.	Water Analyses in Parts Per Billion	194
Table	B1.	Miscellaneous Readings	218
Table	В2.	River Depth at Gilbert, Arkansas at the Time the Data Were Taken	219
Table	B3.	Occupancy of Buffalo River National Park During the Study	220

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1.	Sample Station Locations	5
Figure	2.	Buffalo River Main Stream Temperature	35
Figure	3.	Buffalo River Main Stream Dissolved Oxygen	36
Figure	4.	Buffalo River Main Stream Specific Conductance	37
Figure	5.	Buffalo River Main Stream Alkalinity	38
Figure	6.	Buffalo River Main Stream Calcium	39
Figure	7.	Buffalo River Main Stream Magnesium	40
Figure	8.	Buffalo River Main Stream Calcium/Magnesium Ratio .	42
Figure	9.	Buffalo River Main Stream Sodium	43
Figure	10.	Buffalo River Main Stream Potassium	44
Figure	11.	Buffalo River Main Stream Chloride	45
Figure	12.	Buffalo River Main Stream Nitrate	47
Figure	13.	Buffalo River Main Stream Phosphate (Ortho)	49
Figure	14.	Buffalo River Main Stream Sulfate	50
Figure	15.	Buffalo River Main Stream Zinc	51
Figure	16.	Buffalo River Main Stream Iron	52
Figure	17.	Location of Sample Stations	56
Figure	18.	K vs River Miles (Botton Sediments)	63
Figure	19.	FE vs River Miles (Bottom Sediments)	64
Figure	20.	MN vs River Miles (Bottom Sediments)	65
Figure	21.	MG vs River Miles (Bottom Sediments)	67
Figure	22.	Extractable (Ca + Mg), Cu, Pb, and Zn vs River Miles from the Mouth of the Buffalo River (Close Sampling)	68
Figure	23.	Extractable Cr Versus Extractable Fe	69
Figure	24.	Detailed Map of Ponca-Boxley Lead District	
		Showing Mineralized Trend and Sample Locations	75

Page

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Page

Figure	25. Cla	Pb vs River Miles from Smith Creek (SM) in the Ponca-Boxley District	77
Figure	26.	Fe vs River Miles from Smith Creek (SM) in the Ponca-Boxley District	80
Figure	27.	Pb vs Fe for Bottom Sediments Collected in the Ponca-Boxley District	81
Figure	28.	Suspended Sediment Fe and Mn Values vs Flow of River	88
Figure	29.	Average Mn/Fe Ratios of Suspended Sediments Relative to Those of Bottom Sediments for the Eight Stations	89
Figure	30.	Dissolved River Load (Major Elements) vs River Miles	92
Figure	31.	Dissolved River Load (Minor Elements) vs River Miles	96
Figure	32.	Dissolved River Load (Minor Elements) vs River Miles	97
Figure	33.	Dissolved River Load (Major Elements) vs Month of Collection	99
Figure	34.	River Water Properties	100
Figure	35.	Dissolved River Load (Minor Elements) vs Month of Collection	101
Figure	36.	Mean Values of Chemical and Physical Data vs Time	115
Figure	37.	Comparison of Total Shallow-Water Benthic Macroinvertebrate Standing Crops, 1973 and 1974 .	167
Figure	B1.	Map in Which Arrow Indicates the Station at Which Readings were Taken	221
Figure	B2.	Specific Conductance of the Buffalo River Measured at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl	222
Figure	B3.	pH of the Buffalo River Measured at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl	223
Figure	B4.	Dissolved Oxygen Concentration of the Buffalo River Measured at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl	224

Figure B5.	Water Temperature of the Buffalo River Measured at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl 225
Figure B6.	Average for Time of Day Readings of Specific Conductance of the Buffalo River Taken at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl
Figure B7.	Average for Time of Day Readings of pH of the Buffalo River Taken at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl
Figure B8.	Average for Time of Day Readings of Dissolved Oxygen Concentration of the Buffalo River Taken at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl
Figure B9.	Average for Time of Day Readings of Water Temperature of the Buffalo River Taken at the Station Indicated in Figure Bl

Page

钡

FINAL REPORT OBJECTIVES AND PRIORITIES

Submitted to: National Park Service

Project: Ecosystem Studies, Buffalo National River

Contract No.: CX 700040182

1 April 74 to 31 March 75

Project Director: Dr. R. E. Babcock, Director

Arkansas Water Resources Research Center

In accordance with the priorities established during the meeting of the Buffalo National River research team and Mr. Roland Wauer, and outlined in Contract No. 700040182 dated May 17, 1974 the following data are provided as a final report. Specific priority objectives included:

Priority la. Water Quality Analysis and Monitoring (Seasonal)

Principal Investigator: Dr. D. G. Parker

Objective: Water quality analysis and monitoring as previously approved (1973), but sampling stations to be monitored a minimum of four times on a seasonal basis.

Priority 1b. Water Quality Analysis and Monitoring ("one-shot")

Principal Investigator: Dr. Joe F. Nix

Objective: "One-shot" water quality study sampling the main stem and several tributaries of the Buffalo National River. Water quality parameters to be measured as approved in the FY-1973 work statement reported on in Preliminary Reconnaissance Water Quality Survey of the Buffalo National River, WRRC Publication No. 19 dated October 1973.

Priority 1c. Geochemistry of Sediment and Water (Partial OWRT Funding) Principal Investigator: Dr. Kenneth F. Steele Objective: Geochemistry of sediments and heavy metal content of water as previously approved (1973), but sampling stations to be monitored a minimum of four times on a seasonal basis.

Priority 1d. Phycological Analysis

Principal Investigator: Dr. Richard L. Meyer

Objective: The primary objectives of the sampling program were to determine the distribution of the periphyton community. Pool vs. riffle association were to be collected along the length of the river at four intervals.

Priority 2a. Land Use Analysis with Remote Sensor Data

Principal Investigator: Dr. H. MacDonald

Objective: Level II land use mapping to be completed for the Buffalo National River watershed with emphasis given to proposed development sites.

<u>Priority 2b</u>. Provide an Insight to Visitor Use and "Resource Capacity" Principal Investigators: Dr. R. E. Babcock, Dr. D. G. Parker Objective: Provide an insight to visitor use and "Resource Capacity" which will take into account many of the Buffalo National River's physical characteristics.

<u>Priority 3</u>. Automatic Monitoring of Water Quality Data (OWRT Funding) Principal Investigator: Dr. R. W. Raible

Objective: Evaluate digital ground-based, water quality, monitoring systems as an adjunct to field surveys.

<u>Priority 4</u>. Vegetation analyses are to be initiated during the next contract period.

Priority 5. Ichthyofauna Study (OWRT Funding)

Principal Investigators: Drs. D. A. Becker and R. V. Kilambi Objective: A qualitative and quantitative study to be made of the distribution and abundance of fishes at selected areas with special emphasis on species diversity.

<u>Priority 6</u>. Ecological and hydrological parameters to be funded in the future.

Priority 7. Bottom Fauna Study (benthic macroinvertebrates)

Principal Investigator: Dr. Eugene H. Schmitz

Objective: To provide shallow-water, benthic, macroinvertebrate species inventory data for selected sites along the Buffalo National River. Study restricted to a single sampling trip because of insufficient funding.

the star water and the south started

n and 1 a, ege it total sample formulaement to an in more the ≕

and an an an American and American American and American and American Americ

won't out

REPLACE MARKET MARKET REPLACED AND A STREET AND A ST

SEASONAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS

Principal Investigator: D. G. Parker

4

The objective of this study was to sample the Buffalo River on a seasonal basis for a year, in order to determine whether any potential water quality problems existed.

Sample Stations

A description of the location of each permanent sampling station used during this study is as follows (site location, Figure 1).

- 1. Buffalo River at Boxley at highway 21 (River Mile 133)
- 1A. Buffalo River at Ponca at highway 74 (River Mile 128)
- 2. Buffalo River at Pruit above confluence with Mill Creek (River Mile 102)
- 3. Little Buffalo River at Jasper at highway 7 (River Mile 7)
- 4. Buffalo River at Hasty at low water bridge (River Mile 96)
- 5. Buffalo River at Gilbert (River Mile 55)
- 6. Buffalo River at highway 14 (River Mile 33)
- Buffalo River at Buffalo Point National Park above sewage treatment plant effluent (River Mile 31)
- Buffalo River at Rush below confluence with Clabber Creek (River Mile 22)

Station 1A at Ponca was established because station 1 at Boxley had no flow at certain periods.

Methods

Temperature, color, turbidity and pH were measured in the field at the



sample locations. Temperature was measured by a mercury thermometer. Color and turbidity were measured by use of a Hach portable engineer's laboratory. The pH was measured by a Corning model 6 portable pH meter with a Fisher Standard combination electrode. Alkalinity was determined by titration with acid as outlined in Standard Methods (1). Dissolved oxygen samples were collected and fixed in the field and titrated in the laboratory according to the azide modification of the Winkler method as outlined in Standard Methods (1).

Suspended solids samples were filtered in the field through glass fiber filters and the filters were transported to the laboratory for drying and weighing.

Three additional samples were collected and transported to the lab for analysis. One 500 ml sample was collected, filtered and stored on ice for iron, chloride, hardness and conductivity measurements. One 500 ml sample was collected and stored in a sterile plastic bottle for bacteriological analysis. One filtered 200 ml sample was stored in a glass bottle for ammonia, nitrate and orthophosphate analysis.

Alkalinity, hardness and iron were determined in the laboratory by procedures outlined in Standard Methods (1). Conductivity was determined by using a YSI model 31 conductivity bridge.

Total organic carbon (TOC) and total inorganic carbon were measured by use of a Beckman TOC analyser.

Ammonia, nitrate and phosphate determinations were conducted by the Department of Botany and Bacteriology and these reports should be consulted for details of the analysis.

¹<u>Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater</u>, 12th Edition, New York, American Public Health Association, 1965.

Bacteriological analyses were performed on unfiltered samples which were stored in autoclaved polypropylene bottles at approximately 4°C; membrane filter techniques as outlined in Standard Methods (1) were employed.

Discussion of Results

Water temperature (Table 1) changed significantly with time of year. The lowest temperature recorded was 5°C in December, and the highest was 29.5°C in August. This variation corresponds with the expected variation.

Dissolved oxygen concentration (Table 2) varied inversely with water temperature from a high of 13.2 mg/l in December to a low of 7.4 mg/l in August. This variation is the result of the effect of temperature on dissolved oxygen saturation. The percentage of saturation (Table 3) was consistently high with the lowest value fecorded of 86 percent. This consistent high percentage of saturation indicates that presently there is no problem with oxygen demand in the river. This finding is consistent with the low total organic carbon measurements (Table 4) recorded during this study.

The physical parameters of color (Table 5), turbidity (Table 6) and suspended solids (Table 7) are all relatively low and do not show any patterns of seasonal change. These physical parameters have been observed to change significantly within a few hours after a rain, and these short term changes seem to be more significant than any seasonal changes.

Alkalinity (Table 8), hardness (Table 9) and conductivity (Table 10) increase in value in the downstream direction as previously reported.

Alkalinity appears to vary directly with the temperature, the lowest values occurring in December. The lowest values for hardness are also in December; however, the correlation with temperature is not very apparent during the remainder of the year.

The values of pH (Table 11), chlorides (Table 12) and iron (Table 13) do not appear to have any obvious seasonal trends.

Ammonia (Table 14), nitrate (Table 15) and phosphate (Table 16) were measured for only three days and therefore no seasonal judgements can be made; however, a more extensive evaluation of these parameters will be presented in the Botany-Bacteriology section of this report.

Total coliform, fecal coliform and fecal streptococcus organisms are test organisms used as indicators of fecal contamination in surface and groundwaters. The "Arkansas Water Quality Standards" for surface water suitable for primary contact recreation is - "Based on a minimum of not less than 5 samples taken over not more than a 30-day period, the fecal coliform content shall not exceed a log mean of 200/100 ml nor shall more than 10 percent of the total samples during any 30-day period exceed 400/100 ml" (2). The fecal coliform-fecal streptococcus ratio has been used by some investigators as a means of separating human from non-human contamination. Water containing ratios greater than 4 is assumed to be contaminated by human feces while ratios less than 1 generally indicate non-human animal contaminations. (3)

²<u>Arkansas Water Quality Standards, Regulation No. 2, as amended</u>, Arkansas Dept. of Pollution Control and Ecology, September, 1973.

³Geldreich, E. E., and Kenner, B. A., "Concepts of Fecal Streptococci," Water Pollution Control Federation Journal, R336, August, 1969. The concentrations of total coliform (Table 16), fecal coliform (Table 17) and fecal streptococcus (Table 18) organisms vary significantly between different stations and from one sampling date to another. No clear seasonal variations are apparent from the recorded data; however, station variations are obvious. Station 3 at Jasper on the Little Buffalo shows consistently high concentrations of organisms. No station on the Buffalo River within the National Park has consistently high values for organisms. However, one sample at Rush and one at Hasty showed fecal coliform content in excess of the 400 coli/100 ml Arkansas requirement for water quality. The fecal coliform-fecal streptococcus ratios do not appear to have an obvious seasonal trend; however, both stations 3 and 4 show relatively high values indicating possible significant human input.

A knowledge of low flow conditions in a river is of prime importance in evaluating environmental conditions. Low flow data obtained from the U.S.G.S. indicate that the seven day-ten year expected low flow condition for the Buffalo River at Rush is 20 cfs and at Saint Joe is 14 cfs. (The seven day-ten year low flow is used by Arkansas in evaluating water quality.)

An estimate of the low flow values for other stations on the river can be made by assuming that low flow values are proportional to drainage area within the same river basin. The following is a table of estimated expected low flows determined by the above method.

	Estimated Expected			
Station	7 day-10 year low flow (cfs)			
1A	2			
2	3			
3	2 .			
4	8			

Estimation of low flow in the river at any one point is difficult because during dry periods, the stream may flow under the stream bed in the gravel and rock for long stretches and no surface flow can be measured. In June and again in August, it was observed that there was no surface flow at station 1 at Boxley but there was substantial flow at station 1A at Ponca just 5 miles downstream.

Summary and Conclusions

An analysis of the results of water samples on the Buffalo National River to date indicates that, with one exception, the water quality in the river is good. The one exception is the possible fecal contamination present in the river as indicated by high fecal coliform concentrations. Human fecal contamination can be caused by many different factors including:

- 1. Direct body contact recreational use of the river.
- 2. Improper or inadequate sewage treatment facilities (including improper septic tank and pit toilet installations).
- 3. The absence of sanitary facilities in remote areas.

The problem of high fecal bacteria is the concern of one phase of the research to be conducted during FY 76.

	<u></u>		Data			
<u></u>	21-22	3-4	17-18	14-15	20	24
Station	May	June	June	Aug	Dec	Mar
1	22				6	12
1A	20	18.5	21.5	26	6.5	11.5
2	21	21	20.5	25	5	12
3	17	19	18.5	25	7	11
4	21	24	21	25.5	6	12
5	21	e	21.5	29	7	13
6	22	8°	22	29.5	6.5	11
7	22		21.5	28.5	6	11
8	22		21	28	6	11
		TABLE 2	DISSOLVE	D OXYGEN ((mg/1)	
1	9.0				12.3	10.9
1A	9.3	8.2	9.4	7.7	11.9	11.0
2	7.7	8.2	8.5	7.4	12.2	10.7
3	8.9	8.8	8.5	8.1	11.2	10.8
4	8.2	8.0	9.4	7.7	12.8	10.8
5	8.6		8.8	9.6	13.1	10.7
6	8.6		8.8	8.6	13.2	10.5
7	8.3		8.5	7.8	13.0	10.3
8	8.3		8.6	7.5	12.6	10.6

TABLE 1 WATER TEMPERATURE (°C)

Ē

Ċ

 $\Gamma 1$

			17 10	1/ 15		
Station	21-22 May J	3-4 June	1/-18 June	14-15 Aug	20 Dec	24 Mar
1	1+				98	100+
1A	100+	87	100+	94	96	100+
2	86	91	93	88	95	99
3	92	94	90	96	92	97
4	91	94	100+	93	100+	100
5	96		99	100+	100+	100+
6	98		100	100+	100+	96
7	94		96	100	100+	93
8	94		96	95	100+	95
	TABLE 4 TOTAL	ORGANIC	CARBON	TOTAL INORG	ANIC CA	RBON
1	<1/6					
1A	<1/8	<1/10				
2	1/14	1/15				
3	<1/15	<1/17				
4	<1/17	1/19				
5	2/21					
6	1/23	4444 MM 9444				
7	3/23					
8	2/27					

TABLE 3 DISSOLVED OXYGEN SATURATION (%)

[""]

	21-22	3-4	17-18	14-15	20	24
Station	May	June	June	Aug	Dec	Mar
1					20	15
1A			15	10	5	15
2	0	15	15	10	20	20
3	10	20	15	10	10	15
4	20	10	10	10	0	20
5	20		20	10	0	25
6	20		10	10	0	45
7	10		20	10	0	35
8	20		10	10	0	40
		TAB	LE 6 TURB	IDITY (JTU)	
1					5	4
1A			0	0	5	12
2	0	10	5	5	2	6
3	10	7	5	5	5	8
4	30	0	0	5	0	7
5	0		5	5	0	7
6	10		5	5	0	12
7	10		5	5	0	14
8	10		5	5	0	18

TABLE 5 COLOR (Units)

 \square

		INDLE /	SUSPENDE	D 2011D2 (<u>mg/1/</u>	
Station	21-22 May	3-4 June	17-18 June	14-15 Aug	20 Dec	24 Mar
1	5.8				1.2	3.2
1A	3.5	2.5	2.6	1.9	1.3	3.3
2	3.4	3.1	3.2	2.1	4.1	4.4
3	4.1	2.4	2.9	2.2	2.0	4.4
4	3.7	5.1	4.9	1.7	2.1	6.9
5			7.6	2.1	1.6	5.8
6	4.9		5.9	0.5	2.5	8.2
7	5.0		5.7	16.9	0.3	9.5
8	7.4		4.4	0.4	1.8	14.2
		TABLE 8	ALKALINIT	Y (mg/l as	CaCO ₃)	
1	29				29	27
1A	41	49	55	108	50	35
2	68	68	79	132	68	63
3	70	80	80	124	70	58
4	76	84	95	131	80	74
5	98		105	121	83	87
6	107		110	130	90	90
7	105		107	128	88	91
8	126		130	132	97	117

TABLE 7 SUSPENDED SOLIDS (mg/l)

[77]

	21-22 May	3-4 June	17-18 June	14-15 Aug	20 Dec	24 Mar	
1	48				34	26	
- 1A	59	51	55	120	52	40	
2	72	71	81	124	76	70	
3	80	83	83	130	78	64	
4	95	87	96	134	88	80	
5	112		110	124	88	94	
6	150		113	136	94	96	
7	122		112	132	100	96	
8	140		132	134	102	120	
		TABLE 1	0 CONDUC	TIVITY (µmh	los/cm)		
1	70				58	50	
1A	92	78	80	189	83	72	
2	126	109	120	189	121	120	
3	138	117	120	201	131	115	
4	150	121	164	205	141	143	
5	192		153	193	151	160	
6	197		146	197	158	167	
7	197		145	189	157	167	
8	233		178	202	166	207	

+

TABLE 9 HARDNESS (mg/l as CaCO₃)

ł.

.

Π

Station	21-22 May	3-4	17-18 June	14-15 Aug	20 Dec	24 Mar
1	7 3				7.0	7 4
	7.5				7.0	7.4
1A	7.2	6.6	7.4	7.4	7.0	7.6
2	7.4	6.1	7.6	7.5	7.0	7.8
3	7.4	6.2	7.6	7.1	7.0	7.7
4	7.9	5.8	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.9
5	× 7.2	100	7.9	7.2	7.2	7.7
6	7.7		7.7	7.7	7.2	7.8
7	7.6		7.7	7.6	7.2	7.8
8	7.5		7.6	7.4	7.0	7.8
		TABLE 1	2 CHLORID	ES (mg/1)		
1	1.4				3.0	2.5
1A	1.4	0.9	0.9	4.5	2.0	3.0
2	1.4	0.9	1.4	4.0	3.0	4.0
3	2.3	0.9	0.9	5.5	3.0	3.5
4	2.3	0.9	1.4	5.0	3.5	3.5
5	2.3	241	0.9	4.0	3.0	3.5
6	2.3		1.4	5.0	3.5	3.5
7	2.3		1.4	4.5	3.5	3.5
8	1,4	-44	1.4	5.0	3.5	3.5

TABLE 11 pH

. [***]

	21-22	3-4	17-18	14-15	20	24
Station	May	June	June	Aug	Dec	Mar
1	0.4				0.05	
1A	0.3	0.011	0.2	0.05	0.05	
2	0.3	0.01	0.3	0.05	0.05	
3	0.2	0.004	0.1	0.05	0.03	
4	0.2	0.009	0.3	0.05	0.05	
5	0.2		0.2	0.05	0.03	
6	0.2		0.1	0.05	0.05	
7	0.2	a 1 31 - 3	0.1	0.05	0.05	
8	0.2		0	0.05	0.05	
		TABLE 1	4 AMMONIA	A (mg/1-N)		
1	0.003					
1A	0.003	0.003		0.025		
2	0.002	0.004		0.021		
3	0.003	0.004		0.087		
4	0.003	0.006		0.019		
5	0.003			0.017		
6	0.002			0.017		
7	0,003			0.017		
8	0.002			0,022		

TABLE 13 IRON (mg/1)

-

for the second sec

П

Station	21-22 May	3-4	17-18 June	14-15 Aug	20 Dec	24 Mar	0
1	0.22		<u> </u>				
1A	0.28	0.20		0.20			
2	0.24	0.20		0.18			
3	0.30	0.20		0.34			
4	0.27	0.27		0.24			
5	0.34			0.16			
6	0.36			0.20			
7	0.36			0.18			
8	0.32			0.16			
	TAF	LE 16 PH	IOSPHATE.	ORTHO (mg/	1-P)		
					<u> </u>		

TABLE	15	NITRATE	(mg/	'1-N)
-------	----	---------	------	-------

1	0.009			
1A	0.006	0.074		
2	0.004	0.065	0.006	
3	0.011	0.224	0.006	
4	0.004	0.08	0.013	
5	0.033	<u>×</u>	0.005	
6	0.013		0.004	
7	0.010		0.006	
8	0.010		0.036	

[7]

	21-22	3-4	17-18	14-15	20 Dee	24
Station	May	June	June	Aug	Dec	Mar
1	43				8	0
1A	37	130	34	5	10	4
2	9	41	56	5	8	8
3	100	400	450	460	70	130
4	23	43	490	5	6	88
5	45		160	2		72
6	1		64	8	2	130
7	17		71	26	1	280
8	8 2		18	- 3	0	5 90
	TABLE 18	FECAL STR	EPTOCOCCU	JS (Organis	ms/100 r	<u>n1)</u>
1	20				11	0
1A	44	44	58	37	6	4
2	25	13	72	23	9	10
3	100	120	120	42	16	20
4	8	11	130	9	7	28
5	51		70	21	8	36
6	35		51	4	1	55
7	43		58	14	4	96
8	39		43	12	2	270

TABLE 17 FECAL COLIFORMS (Coli/100 ml)

ń

]

Ц

Π

Station	21-22 May	3-4	17-18	14-15	20 Dec	24 Mar
Station	riay	June	Jutte	Aug	Dec	rid L
1	2.2				0.7	
1A	0.8	0.3	0.6	0.1	1.7	1.0
2	0.4	3.2	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.8
3	1.0	3.4	3.7	18.1	4.4	6.5
4	2.9	3.9	3.8	0.6	a 0.9	3.1
5	0.9		2.0	0.1	21- 	2.0
6	0.1	8	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.4
7	0.4	<u>»</u>	1.2	1.9	0.3	2.9
8	0.2		0.4	-0.3		2.2

TABLE 19 FECA	L COLIFORM-FECA	L STREPTOCOCCUS	RATIO
---------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------

F

.

Î

 \square

INTENSIVE 'ONE SHOT' WATER QUALITY SURVEY OF BUFFALO RIVER, SPRING OF 1974

Principal Investigator: Joe F. Nix

Introduction

During the spring of 1973, an intensive water quality study of the Buffalo National River, Arkansas, was conducted. Field measurements and sample collections were made from canoes over a six day period beginning near river mile 138 and extending through river mile 33. Samples were taken periodically from the main channel of the river and from representative tributaries at their confluence with the Buffalo River. The results of this study were reported by Babcock <u>et al.(4)</u>.

In an effort to verify observations made in the 1973 study, a similar study was conducted during the spring of 1974. The results of the 1974 investigation are given in this report. An attempt is made to compare these results with those taken during 1973.

Methods

On May 26, 1974, sampling and field measurements were begun near river mile 138. Sampling of the Buffalo River and tributaries from river mile 138 to 128 was accomplished by driving to sampling locations. The remainder of the field measurements and sampling was accomplished from canoes (beginning near Ponca, Arkansas on June 27, 1974). Samples were taken from the same locations as in the 1973 study. Since the 1973 survey did not extend downstream from river mile 33, it was necessary to establish new sites for this section of the river. The location of each sample taken from the mainstream of the Buffalo River, along with the date of sampling, is given in Table 1. The same information for tributaries of the Buffalo is given in Table 2.

The analytical methods used in this study were described in the 1973 report (4). Alkalinity was measured at the end of each day during the 1974 study. Although this measurement was made on samples returned to the laboratory during the 1973 study, comparison of measurements made in the field with samthose on samples stored for one week indicates that one week storage did not cause a significant change in alkalinity.

Results and Discussion

The results of analysis of field measurements and samples taken from the mainstream of the Buffalo River are given in Table 22 and those for tributaries in Table 23. The data from the mainstream of the river are also shown in Figures 2 through 16. In order to make a comparison, the 1973 data are shown as circles connected by a solid line while the 1974 data are shown as triangles connected by a dotted line.

It should be noted that immediately prior to and during the 1973 survey, rain showers were experienced throughout the entire Buffalo River watershed. Significant rain had not occurred for several days prior to the 1974 study. Although no measurements were made, it was estimated that the flow of the Buffalo River was slightly lower during the 1974 study.

Maximum, minimum, and mean values for water quality parameters in the main stem of the Buffalo River are summarized in Table 24. Comparison with the corresponding table in the 1973 report indicates that specific conductance, alkalinity, and calcium were significantly higher during the 1974 study and that

sodium, potassium, and chloride were slightly lower. When comparing these values, one should remember that an additional 33 miles of river was surveyed in 1974.

As shown in Figure 2, the slight increase in temperature in a downstream direction which was observed in 1973 was also observed in 1974. Diurnal cooling and warming were apparent with minimum temperatures being observed during the early morning hours and maximum values in mid afternoon.

With the exception of the expected diurnal cycling of dissolved oxygen, Figure 3 indicates that dissolved oxygen concentrations were near and above saturation throughout the full length of the river.

Specific conductance values (Figure 4) show a marked increase in a downstream direction. Calcium (Figure 6), magnesium (Figure 7), and alkalinity (Figure 5) show similar trends. These data indicate that, in general, the river continues to dissolve limestone and/or dolomitic rock as it moves through the dissected Ozark Plateau.

Although the general trend for calcium, magnesium, and alkalinity is to increase in a downstream direction, the rate of increase obviously becomes less in the downstream section of the river as indicated by a flattening of these curves below river mile 40.

Several of the fine structural features of the graphs showing the concentration of calcium and magnesium as a function of river mile were observed in 1973 and again in 1974. A notable example is the sharp decrease of calcium and, to a much greater extent, magnesium near river mile 80. An inspection of the Geological Map of Arkansas (5) indicates that near river mile 80, the Buffalo River leaves a section of predominantly St. Peter Sandstone and Everton Limestone and enters a region dominated by a variety of

TABLE 20

Location of points which were sampled on the main stream of the Buffalo River

SAMPLE NUMBER	DATE SAMPLED	LOCATION
1	5/26/74	At Hedges home above Boxley
2	5/26/74	Buffalo River above Boxley
4	5/27/74	Ponca Bridge
5	5/27/74	Wrecking Rock
8	5/27/74	Confluence at Sneed Creek
9	5/27/74	Above confluence with Indian Creek
10	5/27/74	Camp Orr
11	5/27/74	2.5 miles below Camp Orr
12	5/27/74	2.5 miles above Pruitt
15	5/27/74	0.5 miles above confluence with Sawmill Hollow
16	5/27/74	Bill Houghton Canoe Place
17a	5/28/74	Below Pruitt (below Hwy. 7 Bridge)
19	5/28/74	1/4 mile above confluence with Boomer Hollow
21	5/28/74	Wells Creek
22	5/28/74	Confluence with Wells Creek
23	5/28/74	1/4 mile below Hasty.Bridge
25	5/28/74	Highway 123 Bridge
26	5/28/74	2.5 mile below Big Creek
28	5/28/74	Highway 27
30	5/28/75	Mt. Hersey
32	5/28/75	Confluence with Cane Creek
33	5/28/74	The 'Narrs'

24

Table 20 (con't)

111

ĺ

]

SAMPLE NUMBER	DATE SAMPLED	LOCATION
35	5/28/74	Confluence with Richland Creek
36a	5/29/74	Confluence with Richland Creek
37	5/29/74	1/2 mile above White Ford
38	5/29/74	Near Peter Cave
40	5/29/74	Red Bluff
41	5/29/74	Arnold Bend
43	5/29/74	Immediately downstream from confluence with Calf Creek
44	5/29/74	Highway 65
44a	5/29/74	Below Highway 65
46	5/29/74	Gilbert
46a	5/30/74	Gilbert
47	5/30/74	1/4 mile above old railroad crossing
48	5/30/74	Below confluence with Ezell Hollow
50	5/30/74	Below Tomahawk Creek
51	5/30/74	1.5 miles above Maumee
52	5/30/74	1/2 mile above Maumee
54	5/30/74	
56	5/30/74	l mile below Spring Creek
58	5/30/74	Confluence with Water Creek
59	5/30/74	Highway 14 Bridge
60	5/31/74	Lower end of State Park
62	5/31/74	Confluence with Panther Creek
63	5/31/74	1/4 mile below Jones Hollow
64	5/31/74	1/4 mile above gauging station
67	5/31/74	Confluence with Clabber Creek
68	6/1/74	1/2 mile below Clabber Creek
69	6/1/74	1/2 mile above Lonely Hollow
71	6/1/74	Fish Trap Hollow

Table 20 (con't)

SAMPLE NUMBER	DATE SAMPLED	LOCATION
73	6/1/74	Big Creek
76	6/1/74	Leatherwood Creek
77	6/1/74	1/2 mile below Cow Creek
79	6/1/74	Stewart Creek
80	6/1/74	1/4 mile from confluence with White River
81	6/1/74	White River above confluence with

Series in the series of the second of the second

26

÷
TABLE 21

İ

Location of points which were sampled on tributaries of the Buffalo River. All tributaries were sampled at their confluence with the Buffalo River.

SAMPLE NUMBER	DATE SAMPLED	LOCATION
3	5/26/74	Beech Creek
6	5/27/74	Steel Creek
7	5/27/74	Sneed Creek
13	5/27/74	East tributary due east of Adair Cemetary
14 1	5/27/74	North tributary south of Con ar d Fissure
17	5/28/74	Mill Creek at Pruitt
18	5/28/74	Upstream from Boomer Hollow
20	5/28/74	Little Buffalo River
21	5/28/74	Wells Creek
24	5/28/74	Big Creek
27	5/28/74	North tributary l 1/2 mile upstream from Mt. Hersey
29	5/28/74	Davis Creek at Mt. Hersey
31	5/28/74	Cane Creek
34	5/28/74	Richland Creek
36	5/29/74	Ben Branch
39	5/29/74	Rocky Hollow
42	5/29/74	Calf Creek
45	5/29/74	Dry Creek at Gilbert
47a	5/30/74	Bear Creek
49	5/30/74	Tomahawk Creek

SAMPLE NUMBER	DATE SAMPLED	LOCATION
52	5/30/74	Spring on west bank of river 1 mile upstream from Maumee
53	5/30/74	Maumee Hollow
55	5/30/74	Spring Creek
57	5/30/74	Water Creek
61	5/31/74	Panther Creek
65	5/31/74	Rush Creek
66	5/31/74	Clabber Creek
70	6/1/74	Fish Trap Hollow
72	6/1/74	Big Creek
74	6/1/74	Bear Hollow
75	6/1/74	Leatherwood Creek
78	6/1/74	Stewart Creek

TABLE 22

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES FROM BUFFALO RIVER

				Sp.					90),							
Sample Number	Temp. O _C	D.O. ppm	рН	Cond. Mhos	Na ppm	K ppm	Ca ppm	Mg ppm	Fe ppm	Mn ppm	Zn ppm	Cl ppm	SO ₄ ppm	PO ₄ ppm	Alk ppm	NO₃ ppm
1	17.6	9.4	7.2	68	0.9	0.9	10	1.1	0.40	<0.05	0.01	1.1	5.0	0.01	26	0.1
2	17.0	10.0	7.3	79	0.9	0.6	10	1.0	0.20	0.05	0.00	1.3	8.2	0.01	31	0.1
4	16.1	10.0	7.3	100	1.0	1.9	16	1.2	0.20	<0.05	0.00	1.2	9.6	0.07	42	0.1
5	16.6	10.1	7.3	105	1.0	0.9	20	1.4	0.10	0.05	0.00	1.1	8.0	0.02	45	0.0
8	17.8	10.0	7.5	122	1.1	0.6	18	1.6	<0.05	<0.05	0.00	1.1	9.2	0.00	51	0.0
9	19.4	10.4	7.5	130	1.1	0.9	21	1.4	<0.05	0.05	0.00	1.1	8.6	0.02	55	0.2
10	19.4	10.6	7.5	138	1.1	0.8	22	1.5	<0.05	0.05	0.00	1.0	7.0	0.00	57	0.0
11	20.3	10.6	7.6	151	1.1	0.8	23	1.5	<0.05	<0.05	0.00	1.0	8.0	0.00	63	0.0
12	20.9	10.4	7.7	172	1.2	1.0	29	2.0	<0.05	<0.05	0.01	1.3	7.0	0.00	74	0.1
15	21.3	9.1	7.7	182	1.1	0.8	27	1.8	<0.05	<0.05	0.01	1.4	8.8	0.00	71	0.0
16	22.6	8.8	7.6	182	1.2	0.8	29	1.8	<0.05	0.05	0.02	1.3	9.0	0.00	75	0.0
17A	19.2	9.0	7.4	176	1.2	0.9	28	1.8	40.05	40.05	0.02	1.3	9.2	0.00	76	0.0
19	19.6	8.7	7.4	206	1.3	0.8	34	2.0	<0.05	20.05	0.00	1.4	11.3	0.00	87	0.1
22	19.5	9.6	7.5	194	1.3	0.9	36	1.9	<0.05	<0.05	0.03	1.3	7.4	0.02	85	0.2
23	19.2	10.2	7.6	204	1.2	0.9	30	1.9	<0.05	0.05	0.00	1.5	6.5	0.02	86	0.2
25	20.8	10.4	7.6	218	1.4	1.0	36	2.0	<0.05	<0.05	0.01	1.4	6.7	0.03	89	0.1
26	22.1	10.4	7.7	249	1.2	0.7	36	2.0	KO. 05	<0.05	001	1.4	3.9	0.02	93	0.0
28	22.2	-10.0	7.7	258	1.3	0.9	37	2.1	<0.05	0.05	0.01	ı.4	8.6	0.01	94	0.0
30	23.1	10.4	7.8	248	1.3	0.8	38	2.2	40.05	_{<} 0.05	0.00	1.5	8.3	0.03	96	0.1

1

Table 22(con't)

Sample Number	Temp. 0 _C	D.O. ppm	рH	Sp. Cond. Mhos	Na ppm	K ppm	Ca ppm	Mg ppm	Fe ppm	Mn ppm	Zn ppm	Cl ppm	SO ₄ ppm	PO ₄ ppm	Alk ppm	NO₃ ppm
32	23.6	10.0	7.7	285	1.2	0.8	41	2.6	< 0.05	<0.05	0.00	» 1.7	6.9	0.03	104	0.1
33	22.8	10.4	7.7	285	1.3	0.8	42	2.5	K0.0 5	ر0.05	0.00	1.6	7.9	0.04	104	0.1
35	21.6	10.2	7.8	301	1.3	0.9	37	2.5	< 0.05	(0.05	0.00	1.7	7.7	0.06	105	0.3
36A	21.2	8.4	7.6	241	1.3	0.9	43	2.6	<i>k</i> 0.05	(0.05	0.00	1.7	3.2	0.04	106	0.1
37	21.6	9.0	7.6	228	1.2	0.7	36	2.2	<0.05	(0.05	0.00	18.4	8.9	0.03	90	0.0
38	22.0	8.4	7.6	227	1.2	0.7	36	2.1	0.05	(0.05	0.02	1.4	5.3	0.03	94	0.2
40	22.0	9.2	7.6	223	1.2	0.7	34	2.1	4005	(0.05	0.00	1.4	8.8	0.03	92	0.1
41	21.7	9.2	7.7	210	1.2	0.8	35	2.0	<0.05	(0.05	0.00	1.5	7.6	0.03	92	0.2
43	22.0	9.7	7.8	228	1.3	0.9	38	2.2	<0.05	ر0.05	0.00	1.4	5.3	0.03	98	0.1
44	22.5	9.4	7.8	221	1.2	0.8	38	2.1	<0.05	٥.05	0.00	1.5	10.9	0.03	99	0.2
44A	23.0	9.6	7.8	236	1.3	0.9	40	2.1	<0.05	<0.05	0.01	1.7	7.4	0.02	98	0.5
46	22.0	10.1	7.7	228	1.2	0.8	40	2.1	<0.05	<0.05	0.00	1.5	5.3	0.06	99	0.2
46A	21.8	8.0	7.6	228	1.4	1.0	40	2.0	<0.05	40.05	0.01	1.6	9.7	0.07	104	0.2
47	21.4	8.9	7.6	250	1.4	0.9	40	2.0	<0.05	⟨0.05	0.01	1.5	3.2	0.09	100	0.2
48	21.9	9.3	7.7	260	1.3	0.8	40	2.0	0.05	ر0.05	0.00	1.5	3.1	0.07	104	0.1
50	22.5	9.5	7.7	279	14.	0.8	44	2.3	(0.05	<0.05	0.01	1.6	6.5	0.07	105	0.1
51	23.3	9.7	7.7	279	1.3	0.8	40	2.2	<0.05	<0.05	0.02	1.7	3.2	0.06	107	0.2
52	23.2	9.7	7.8	290	1.3	0.8	42	2.5	<0.05	(0.05	0.00	1.8	7.9	0.01	107	0.0
54	25.5	10.1	7.8	285	1.2	0.8	43	2.3	0.05	(0.05	0.02	1.8	6.6	0.07	108	0.0
56	25.8	10.7	7.8	282	1.3	0.8	43	2.6	<0.05	(0.05	0.02	1.7	9.0	0.01	112	0.1

30

-

Table 22 (con't)

<u>*</u>

Sample Number	Temp. °C	D.O. ppm	рH	Sp. Cond. Mhos	Na ppm	K ppm	Ca [©] ppm	Mg ppm	Fe ppm	Mn ppm	Zn ppm	Cl ppm	SO ₄ ppm	PO ₄ ppm	Alk ppm	NO₃ ppm
58	25.8	11.0	7.8	255	1.2	0.8	42	2.5	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.7	9.7	0.07	107	0.0
59	25.8	10.8	7.8	265	1.2	0.8	42	2.4	0.05	0.05	0.02	1.6	7.4	0.06	108	0.0
60	22.9	8.5	7.7	256	1.3	0.8	44	2.4	0.05	0.05	0.02	1.8	4.3	0.07	112	0.0
62	22.5	8.6	7.7	259	1.3	0.8	44	2.4	0.05	0.05	0.02	1.6	4.7	0.07	112	0.2
63	23.6	8.4	7.7	261	1.3	0.8	43	2.4	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.7	8.1	0.06	111	0.0
64	23.5	8.6	7.7	263	1.3	0.9	43	2.4	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.6	4.2	0.06	112	0.0
67	23.7	8.2	7.7	269	1.3	0.9	45	2.6	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.8	6.2	0.07	111	0.1
68	21.1	7.9	7.6	258	1.4	1.0	45	2.2	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.6	15.6	0.07	107	0.2
69	21.5	8.3	7.6	265	1.3	0.9	46	2.5	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.7	8.6	0.07	109	0.1
71	21.4	8.4	7.7	272	1.2	0.8	46 =	2.7	0.05	0.05	0.01	1.7	3.6	0.07	110	0.1
73	25.1	8.2	7.7	279	1.2	0.9	46	2.6	0.05	0.05	0.01	1.6	9.9	0.07	112	0.0
76	22.9	8.8	7.7	278	1.4	1.0	47	3.0	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.6	10.0	0.09	117	0.4
77	24.1	9.5	7.7	298	1.3	0.9	50	3.1	0.05	0.05	0.01	1.6	6.2	0.09	117	0.4
79	24.6	9.0	7.7	304	1.3	0.9	48	2.1	0.05	0.05	0.02	1.8	8.6	0.09	118	0.0
80	24.9	9.6	7.7	317	1.2	0.9	47	2.2	0.05	0.05	0.01	1.6	9.7	0.09	118	0.0

31

·

-

TABLE 23

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES FROM BUFFALO RIVER TRIBUTARIES (1974)

Sample Number	e Temp.	D.O. ppm	рH	Sp. Cond. Mhos	Na ppm	K ppm	Ca ppm	Mg ppm	Fe ppm	Mn ppm	Zn ppm	Cl ppm	SO ₄ ppm	PO ₄ ppm	Alk ppm	NO₃ ppm
i)								-							÷	
3	17.5	9.7	7.4	102	1.7	1.1	16	1.2	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.5	10.9	0.01	45	0.2
6	16.7	9.3	7.2	203	-	-	20	-	0.05	0.05	~	_		37 .4	54	0.8
7	18.5	10.8	7.8	261	1.6	1.0	48	2.4	0.05	0.05		2.8	20	0.01	128	0.2
13	20.1	10.0	7.4	431	1.3	0.6	63	1.0	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.6	17.6	0.01	259	0.2
14	24.5	8.3	7.6	390	1.9	0.9	59	1.5	0.05	0.05	0.02	4.2	15.1	0.02	179	0.1
17	19.2	9.1	7.4	176	2.6	0.1	76	2.2	0.05	0.05	0.02	4.3	13.7	0.01	179	0.1
18	17.0	5.2	7.6	332	_	-	44		0.05	0.05	_	***	-	-	181	
20	19.1	9.3	7.5	182	1.2	0.8	31	-	0.05	0.05	0.01	1.2	5.0	0.00	80	0.0
21	17.5	9.4	7.9	319	3.2	0.4	64	2.2	0.05	0.05	0.04	9.8	-	-	172	0.6
24	20.6	10.1	7.7	247	2.0	0.9	43	1.6	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.5	12.5	0.01	104	0.4
27	16.4	10.6	7.4	325	1.8	1.6	61	1.8	0.05	0.05	0.03	2.8	16.1	0.01	166	0.6
29	20.4	10.2	7.6	387	1.3	0.8	58	4.7	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.2	10.6	0.01	196	0.3
31	21.3	9.7	7.6	357	1.6	1.1	57	3.1	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.6	19.9	0.01	155	0.2
34	22.2	9.7	7.6	178	1.8	0.9	16	1.0	0.05	0.05	0.04	2.0	10.5	0.01	42	0.3
36	21.2	8.4	7.6	241	1.6	1.0	35	1.8	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.6	14.5	0.01	97	0.2
39	18.2	7.9	7.3	382	1.8	1.0	82	0.7	0.05	0.05	0.01	4.1	9.8	0.01	207	0.6
42	21.1	9.8	7.5	312	2.0	1.1	56	1.6	0.05	0.05	0.03	3.4	11.0	0.01	143	0.2
45	20.1	9.4	7.6	380	2.2	1.2	73	0.9	0.05	0.05	0.02	3.4	12.6	0.01	90	0.4

1000 C

-

32

÷

1.1

Table 23 (con't)

				Sp.												
Sample Number	Temp. ^O C	D.O. ppm	рH	Cond. Mhos	Na ppm	K ppm	Ca ppm	Mg ppm	Fe ppm	Mn ppm	Zn ppm	Cl ppm	SO ₄ ppm	PO ₄ ppm	Alk ppm	NO₃ ppm
47 A	20.3	8.7	7.5	280	2.0	1.0	52	1.5	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.8	17.9	0.04	92	0.4
49	19.5	10.0	7.8	370	1.8	0.9	69	5.9	0.05	0.05	0.02	5.8	18.7	0.01	196	0.4
52	16.1	9.0	7.4	383	2.2	1.2	67	12.8	0.05	0.05	0.02	6.8	23	0.01	106	0.6
53	15.6	10.3	7.3	305	1.6	0.9	67	4.0	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.8	17.9	0.04	178	0.5
55	18.8	10.7	7.7	291	1.6	-	60	3.8	0.05	0.05	0.06	3.4	3.2	0.01	155	1.0
57	25.5	10.8	7.7	350	1.8	0.9	58	4.5	0.05	0.05	0.02	2.9	3.3	0.02	164	0.2
61	15.0	9.6	7.3	256	2.1	1.0	51	3.0	0.05	0.05	0.01	4.3	3.3	0.03	137	0.2
65	17.2	10.3	7.6	332	1.6	1.0	61	5.5	0.05	0.05	0.17	2.1	3.2	0.02	182	0.2
66	21.3	9.4	7.8	480	1.2	_	54	10.7	0.05	0.05	0.04	2.0	22	0.03	243	0.2
70	16.2	7.1	7.3	378	0.8	0.6	46	10.4	0.05	0.05	0.01	1.5	17.6	0.02	199	0.2
72	19.0	10.0	े 7 • 7	327	1.4	0.9	58	3.2	0.05	0.05	0.00	1.8	4.3	0.01	147	0.1
74	18.3	9.4	7.5	407	1.0	0.5	46	10.6	0.05	0.05	0.01	2.0	22	0.06	228	0.2
75	19.8	10.2	7.8	384	1.0	0.7	55	10.1	0.05	0.05	0.01	2.0	19.2	0.03	196	0.1
78	22.0	10.2	7.7	436	1.1	0.9	52	10.1	0.05	0.05	0.00	2.1	23	0.03	227	0.1

= -2.1

3

+ ...

.

PARAMETER	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	MEAN VALUE
temperature (⁰ C)	16.1	25.8	21.4
dissolved oxygen (ppm)	8.0	11.0	9.5
pH	7.2	7.8	7.6
specific conductance (mhos)	68	317	229
sodium (ppm)	0.9	1.4	1.3
potassium (ppm)	0.6	1.9	0.9
calcium (ppm)	10	50	36
magnesium (ppm)	1.0	3.1	2.1
iron (ppm)	0.05	0.40	0.06
manganese (ppm)	.05	.05	0.05
zinc (ppm)	0.00	0.03	0.01
chloride (ppm)	1.0	1.8	1.5
fluoride (ppm)			
sulfate (ppm)	3.1	15.6	7.4
phosphate, ortho (ppm)	0.00	0.09	0.04
alkalinity (ppm)	26	118	93
nitrate (ppm)	0.0	0.5	0.1
			3

Range and mean values of analysis of samples taken from the mainstream of the Buffalo River.



[]

FIGURE 2



FIGURE 3

Ì







В



4

-3

44

 \square





R

sandstone, shale, and limestone of a slightly younger age. The river continues through this formation for approximately 20 miles then re-enters the older formation of St. Peter Sandstone and Everton Limestone. The marked decrease of both calcium and magnesium throughout this section of the river indicates that limestone (and associated dolomite) is dissolving at a much slower rate than it is from the older formations.

The effect of these younger geological formations on the river is further shown by an inspection of the calcium concentrations of tributaries along the length of the river. In Figure 6, the calcium concentrations(divided by two so that they could be shown on the same graph) of tributaries are plotted as small horizontal bars at the river mile of their confluence with the Buffalo River. The tributary showing the lowest calcium concentration was Richland Creek, a major tributary of the Buffalo, which enters the river near river mile 76. Richland Creek, along with other tributaries in this same area, traverses the younger geological formations. From these data, it would appear that dilution from the tributaries in this section of the Buffalo River accounts for the observed break near river mile 80.

As shown in Figure 8, the calcium to magnesium ratio remains relatively constant throughout the length of the river. Only a slight increase in this ratio was observed in the upstream section of the river.

A comparison of the concentrations of sodium (Figure 9), potassium (Figure10), and chloride (Figure 11) that were observed in 1973 and 1974 shows a marked difference. During the 1973 study, these parameters varied considerably throughout the length of the river. The 1974 study showed the concentration of sodium, potassium, and chloride to be essentially constant throughout the length of the river.



FIGURE 8



.

FIGURE 9 🦂



FIGURE 10

44

Π



]

FIGURE 11

Since rain showers were experienced throughout the watershed prior to and during the 1973 study, it is likely that the heterogeneous pattern observed for these constituents is produced by these constituents entering the river in surface runoff. The homogeneous pattern observed during the 1974 study may simply indicate that the river is approaching base flow conditions with essentially no runoff component. Cleaves et al. (6) have shown that some constituents which are easily leached from rock and soil in the vicinity of the river increase in the stream immediately following periods of runoff. Although it is impossible to determine the origin of these components in the river system from the data presented in this report, the data suggest that the origin of sodium, potassium, and chloride is very different from that of calcium, magnesium, and alkalinity. In fact, the levels of such constituents as sodium may reflect the activities of man.

The range of nitrate concentrations on the mainstream of the Buffalo River was similar to that observed in 1973. Two anomalously high points occurred near river mile 80 and a cluster of slightly higher points occurred between river miles 70 and 50. These anomalous values may indicate the presence of nitrate from agricultural activities. The fact that loading of nitrate (increase in a downstream direction) was not observed indicates that, although nitrate may be introduced at points along the river, the elevated concentrations are quickly dissipated, probably through biological activity.

Phosphate concentrations observed during the 1974 study were significantly lower than those observed during 1973. The anomalous peak near river mile 60 which was observed in 1973 was not confirmed by the 1974 study. As shown in Figure 13, there was a general trend



FIGURE 12

for phosphate to increase in a downstream direction. This loading in the downstream section of the river may originate from disturbed land throughout the watershed of the stream. The levels of phosphorus observed do not seem to indicate an excessive nutrient input.

Sulfate concentrations (Figure 14) were erratic but within a range similar to that observed in the 1973 study.

With the exception of one sample, the zinc concentrations observed in the Buffalo River were under 0.2 ppm. The presence of overburden and tailings from zinc mining operations throughout the Buffalo River watershed have been looked upon as possible sources of excessive concentrations of this heavy metal in the river. Even though zinc may be introduced, as is obviously the case at Rush Creek (0.17 ppm Zn), it is likely that this metal is quickly absorbed onto hydrous iron oxides, clays, or other minerals (7).

Iron concentrations were found to be extremely low during the 1974 study (Figure 16) with the only detectable iron being in the four samples in the headwater of the stream. Since the method used to measure iron (atomic absorption spectroscopy of a raw water sample) did not distinguish soluble from particulate iron, it is likely that the lower values indicate the absence of iron-bearing sediment which would be expected to be present following periods of high runoff.

In general, the chemistry of the Buffalo River seems to be responsive to the geologic environment and lateral inflow during periods of runoff. This study has demonstrated that concentration gradients exist throughout the length of the river and that the river responds to the particular geologic formation through which it flows. This study has also presented data that suggest that during periods of runoff, the river may become







FIGURE 14

50

L

]

1

R.



.

1

-

Π





FIGURE 16

heterogeneous with constituents such as sodium and potassium and that these constituents may originate in the watershed immediately adjacent to the stream.

In an investigation of the Caddo River, Arkansas, Nix <u>et al</u>. (8) have studied the response of the river to a major storm event. Associated with significant increases in flow, some constituents were observed to increase while others clearly decreased in response to dilution. This study has demonstrated the dynamic nature of the Caddo River and suggests that grab samples taken from the river throughout the course of a year may be of only minimal value in determining seasonal cycling of chemical constituents in the river. In order to make a meaningful interpretation of any sampling program on the Buffalo River, some knowledge as to the response of the river to a storm event must be obtained.

Although the study of the Buffalo River presented in this report did not involve the determination of coliform bacteria, it should be mentioned that in the investigation of the Caddo River (8), fecal coliform changed from background values of 10 cells per 100 ml to around 5,000 cells per 100ml during the peak of the hydrograph. These authors suggest that the origin of the fecal coliform is cattle grazing in the immediate vicinity of the river.

GEOCHEMISTRY OF SEDIMENT AND WATER

(Partial OWRT Funding)

Principal Investigator Research Associate Kenneth F. Steele George H. Wagner William S. Bowen

Research Assistant

INTRODUCTION

The Buffalo River flows generally northeasterly, draining predominantly shale, limestone, and chert in the upper drainage region and dolomite, limestone, and sandstone in the lower drainage region. Many inactive mines and mineral deposits of zinc, lead and some copper are scattered throughout the drainage area. Mining activity started in 1851 and was most active 1914 to 1917 but has been inactive for many years (McKnight (9)). Tailings piles near old mill sites still exist in a few places, such as at the confluence of Rush Creek where over 25,000 tons of concentrates, mostly zinc carbonate with small amounts of zinc sulfide and silicate, were produced. Lead mineral areas are mostly in the headwaters (Ponca-Boxley District) and the major zinc mineralized areas are along the lower portion of the river (Rush District).

Bottom sediment, suspended sediment and water samples were collected from the eight stations along the Buffalo River (Fig. 17) and selected tributaries on the following dates: 5/21-22/74, 6/17/74, 8/19-21/74, 12/20-21/74 and 3/6/75. The samples have been analyzed for sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium, iron, nickel, manganese, zinc, copper, chromium, cadmium, colbalt and lead by atomic absorption spectrometry. Selected bottom sediments have been analyzed for mercury and arsenic. Because the bottom sediments contain the largest elemental concentration levels of the three sample types and yet contain extremely low mercury and arsenic contents, the suspended sediment and water samples were not analyzed for mercury and arsenic.

Σ



BOTTOM SEDIMENTS

Sample Collection and Preparation

Bottom sediment samples were taken from the river bottom or bank generally just below the water line. The sediments were dried and sieved using a -95 mesh nylon screen. Petrographic analyses indicated the minus 95 mesh material was primarily quartz and chert with quartz predominating.

Several acid treatments of the bottom sediments were investigated (Wagner (10)). Treatment with aqua regia at room temperature was chosen as the standard treatment over other methods because of speed and the simplicity of the treatment. Most importantly it gave an amount considered sufficient to detect anomalous concentration of the heavy metals. Furthermore, aqua regia would be expected to dissolve all heavy metal sulfide grains, including cinnabar (HgS). In summary the standard acid treatment entailed treating one gram of minus 95 mesh fines with 2 ml of aqua regia $(3/1 \text{ conc. HC1/HNO}_3)$ for 13 hours at room temperature in a 50 ml glass-stoppered flask. At the end of 13 hours, 25 ml of deionized water was added to the flask, shaken well and filtered through a number 40 Whatman filter paper. The acid treated sediment was further washed three times with 5 ml portions of deionized water and filtered. All filtrate was collected in a 50 ml volumetric flask and finally diluted to 50 ml with deionized water. Two blanks were prepared in a similar manner for each series of samples. Sixteen of the samples were completely dissolved by hydrofluoric acid and analyzed. The amounts of the trace elements obtained by aqua regia extraction compared to the hydrofluoric acid total amounts with the exception that sodium and potassium are generally high, ranging from 30 to 100 percent (Table 25).

Mn	Zn	РЬ	Со	Fe	Cu	Nī	Mg	Cr	К	Na
105	95	92	77	71	66	54	51	28	4	3

* Compared with HF and averaged for 16 samples (Table A2).

Oxide coatings on the grains, and sulfide and carbonate clasts, are the most likely materials dissolved by aqua regia.

Although it is well known that the heavy metals are concentrated in the finer sediments (Hawks (11)), one set of analyses was made to establish this for the Buffalo River sediments. In Table 26 the acid soluble metal contents in two size fractions (one greater than 95 mesh and one less than 95 mesh) of a sample are compared. The plus 95 mesh fraction contains less of each metal which is consistent with a large amount of the metals being present in an oxide coating of the grains. A large grain would have a smaller surface area to volume ratio than a small grain.

Elemental Variation Along The River

Broad Sampling

The chemistry of the bottom sediments reflects the geology of the river. Data for this period, as well as other data, are given in Tables ²⁷ and Al. Sodium and potassium are higher upstream (e.g., Fig.18) probably due to small amounts of clay in the sediments, reflecting the shale environment. Iron and iron-associated elements (Cu, Co, Ni, Cr, Mn) are in the highest concentration upstream (e.g., Figs.19 and 20). Shale is known to contain larger amounts of heavy metals than other sedimentary rocks and should make two contributions to the metal content of the sediments. Firstly, fine particles of shale, or clay from the shale, could be in the sediments. Secondly, the ground water upstream should have a higher concentration of dissolved metals and ferrous iron due to

Table 26. Effect of particle size on acid extraction analyses (ppm except Fe which is weight percent).

,	Sampl	e de l	Na	K	Mg	Ca	Zn	Cu	Pb	Fe	Cd	Со	Cr	Ni	Mn
5,	-95 1	mesh	40	172	826	2,740	306	4.0	5.8	0.724	2.07	4.9	4.0	6.4	175
5,	+95 ı	mesh	20	34	600	2,308	151	1.0	3.8	0.180	1.07	2.1	<.3	<1.0	57
				Şu li		P	arts/	1000	Parts	Fe*	low inte	19 SP	961 W		
5,	-95 r	nesh						0,59	0.62			0.62	1.4	1.2	17
5,	+95 r	mesh						0.59	0.16			1.1	0.4	<1.0	21

* Aqua regia extraction values corrected to total metal on the basis of Table 28.

*****: Fatilities the time operation is an intervention of the time of
		Na	K	Ca	Mg	Fe	Со	Cr	NĪ	Cu	Zn	Cd	Mn	РЬ
Station	River Miles	Avera	ige va	lues per	static	on for	nine sam	pling p	periods,	March	1973 to	March	1975.	_
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Rush Creek Clabber Creek	130 101 104 94.1 55.2 33.5 31.4 23.3 23.5 23.7	25 21 36 17 7 6 9 7 17 27	215 132 191 124 104 96 93 94 74 90	609 3053 3880 1598 2163 1779 1422 5099 12553 51346	552 398 471 412 265 625 422 1088 3379 5651	2.3 1.2 1.9 1.3 1.0 0.8 0.8 0.7 1.3 1.7	12 6 9 7 6 5 5 6 8 12	17 11 15 9 10 10 7 7 5 7	18 11 17 11 10 8 9 9 13 17	7 4 7 4 3 3 3 7 8 5	63 76 84 73 78 133 111 364 2241 635 990	0.9 1.4 1.1 0.9 0.7 1.1 0.8 3.8 23.4 4.5 3.5	852 355 474 361 278 209 203 192 296 529 828	12 13 16 11 5 9 7 9 13 18 106
Collection Dat	120 :e	0 4	verage	e values	per co	llecti	on date	for eig	<u>jht stat</u>	ions				
5/22-23/73 6/9/73 6/24/73 3/12/74 5/22/74 6/17/74 8/21/74 12/21/74 3/26/75		6 11 75 3 12 13 10 6 10	185 119 115 158 137 136 118 44 167	1051 2587 4097 1823 2708 747 5528 1819 1696	538 420 649 514 699 364 465 638 476	1.5 1.1 1.1 1.6 1.2 1.3 1.0 1.3 1.4	- 6 6 7 7 9 8 6 8 7	5 10 11 11 19 9 11 10	9 8 12 13 12 14 11 12 14	4 4 4 3 3 5 5 6	98 112 106 70 67 61 60 374 157	0.7 1.9 1.3 - 1.6 1.4 1.0 2.2 0.7	312 321 280 534 311 316 472 388 356	7 8 3 11 13 15 14 13

Table 27. Bottom sediment data for the Buffalo River and four tributaries* (ppm except Fe which is weight percent).

* Tributaries include Station 3 (Little Buffalo River)

61

KEY TO SYMBOLS FOR FIGURES 18- 21 .

SAMPLES COLLECTED 5/22-23/73 SAMPLES COLLECTED 6/9/73 ſ SAMPLES COLLECTED 6/24/73 \wedge Samples collected 3/12/74 + SAMPLES COLLECTED 5/21-22/74 X SAMPLES COLLECTED 6/17/74 \wedge SAMPLES COLLECTED 8/19-21/74 4 Samples collected 12/20-21/74 \mathbf{X} SAMPLES COLLECTED 3/6/75 7 AVERAGE OF ALL SAMPLES AT EACH STATION 62




[]]



20. MN VS RIVER MILES (BOTTOM SEDIMENTS)

contact with more shale. When oxidation occurs the iron is deposited as hydrous ferric oxide on the stream sediments along with other metals by coprecipitation and sorption.

Downstream the concentrations of calcium and magnesium contents of the bottom sediments increase (e.g., Fig.21). This is expected from the increase in limestone and dolomite downstream. Lead, zinc, and cadmium concentrations follow the known mineralogy and mining activity - lead concentration is greatest upstream where there are more known lead deposits, and zinc and cadmium concentrations are highest near the Rush Creek zinc mining area and downstream from it (Table 30) (Wagner, 1974, and Steele and Wagner, 1975).

Close Sampling

The lower 60 miles of the river was closely sampled on July 20 and 31, 1973. Particular attention was given to all tributaries where sediment samples were taken on the downstream side of their confluence with the Buffalo River. Chemical data and locations for these samples are given in Wagner (10). Extractable zinc, lead and copper for these sediments are plotted in Figure 22 against the sum of extractable calcium and magnesium. Peak values for calcium plus magnesium and for zinc occur at two old zinc mining areas, Kimball Creek and Rush Creek. Lesser peaks occur on each side of the major ones with fairly good concordance between the peaks for calcium plus magnesium and for zinc, lead and copper. These peaks occur also more or less in phase with the calcium plus magnesium peaks, probably because mineralization is favorable in the dolomitic rocks. Old mill tailings at Kimball Creek and Rush Creek may also be



VS RIVER MILES (BOTTOM SEDIMENTS) МС FIGURF 21.





L



influential. Peak values of metals can return to background values within a mile. This results because the unique sediments from a tributary give high values near the mouth but are diluted further along the main river by less unique sediments of the main channel.

Grain Chemistry

Our previous data and discussions have focused on the river bed as a chemical system. The chemistry and mineralogy of the sediment grain will now be discussed. The extractable amounts of potassium, magnesium, cobalt, manganese, chromium, nickel, lead, copper, zinc, and calcium were individually plotted against the extractable amount of iron in the same sample of minus 95 mesh sediment. Data from 77 samples collected 2/4/73, 7/20/73 and 7/31/73 were used. Samples taken in a tributary were plotted separately. Tributary samples were taken about 100 yards upstream into the tributary. All of the elements exhibit a linear relationship with iron concentration - an increase in iron concentration yielded an increase in element concentration. A typical plot exemplified by Figure 23 for chromium shows a minimum and average line. Very high concentrations of the non-iron metals which are well above the average are believed to be due to mineral clasts of these elements in the sediments. This occurred with magnesium, calcium, zinc, cadmium, copper, and lead. All of these have mineral occurrences in the Buffalo River drainage area. The minimum to average values are believed to be associated with a hydrous iron oxide coating on the sediments. Thus for magnesium, calcium, cadmium, zinc, copper, and lead it is proposed that they exist and are transported as clasts, as well as in the oxide coating of the

sediments. These metals exist in mineral clasts primarily in the low iron, high calciferous environment which is the more mineralized area of the Buffalo River. Other metals studied here, cobalt, manganese, chromium, nickel, and potassium, are apparently carried in the ferric oxide film with no evidence for clasts.

Comparison With Average Rocks

The anomalously high values for each element are summarized in Table 4 and compared to average values for the sedimentary rock types, shale, sandstone and carbonate. Only a cross section of the samples representing the main length of the river were analyzed for arsenic and mercury. Samples from Kimball Creek, Clabber Creek and Rush Creek were included because they are from zinc mining areas and had high zinc values. Enargite (Cu₃AsS₄) has at least one occurrence in northern Arkansas. Two of these three samples from the zinc mining areas had somewhat higher arsenic values but lower than that found in the shale district at Boxley. Only a few representative samples were analyzed for mercury and arsenic (Table 29) because of the special treatment that they required (Steele et al., (13); Wagner (10)). The overall range for arsenic was only 5-14 ppm. Mercury has a range of 0.02-0.049 ppm with the higher values at Boxley, Ponca and Jasper in the shale and lead mineralized area. It will be noted that of the three rock types, shale has the greatest amount of each metal, except manganese which is greatest in carbonate rocks.

Only three of the metals, zinc, lead and cadmium, have high ranges in the sediments well above that for an average shale. The high values

Element	Shale*	Sandstone*	Carbonate Rocks*	High Range In Sediments	No. of Samples in High Range				
Zn	95	16	20	300-4000	14				
Cu	45	1-10	4	8-9.2	2				
Pb	20	7	9	14-357	7				
Cd	0.3	0.01-0.1	0.035	2-34	21				
Co	19	0.33	0.1	10-18.4	5				
Ni	68	2	20	15-20	5				
Cr	90	35	11	13-23	. 4				
Mn	850	10-100	1,110	500-956	5				
Zr	160	220	19	600-2820	5				
As	13	1	1	11-14	3				
Hg	0.4	0.03	0.04	0.02-0.05	3				
Fe	4.72	0.98	0.38	2-2.52	2				

Table	28.	Comparison of heavy metals in Buffalo River
		bottom sediments with those in average rocks
		(ppm except Fe which is weight percent)

×.

* From Turekian (14).

_		ppm of	Arsenic	
Location	River Miles	HC1 Extraction	Aqua Regia Extraction	ppm Mercury
Boxley	130	14	7	0.023
Ponca	125		-	0.028
Pruitt	101		6	0.013
Jasper*	104		4	0.049
Hasty	94 💿	9	7	0.017
Gilbert	55.2	7	4 =	0.010
Kimball Creek	35.5	9	-	un 197
Hwy. 14 Bridge	33.5	5	4	0.017
State Park	31.4	5	4	0.013
In Clabber Creek	23.5	÷=	12	
Rush Creek	23.7	11	6	0.011

Table 29. Arsenic and mercury in Buffalo River bottom sediments.

* On tributary, Little Buffalo River.

Ŀ

L

of 300-4000 ppm for zinc were all obtained in sediments at the confluence of tributaries draining old mining areas or known mineral deposits. Background values for zinc in the sediments were 50-200 ppm with the higher background values occurring in the lower one-third of the river which is just beyond Rush Creek, the principal zinc mining area. Cadmium values were on the average 8-10 parts per 1000 parts of zinc, the same ratio which is found in the ores (McKnight, (9)). Thus cadmium highs corresponded to highs for zinc. Because of this constancy between cadmium and zinc it was concluded that they were transported as mineral clasts in the river. Lead background values were from 4-10 ppm as against the high range of 14-357 ppm found at the confluence of tributaries draining lead mining areas. These tributaries were in the headwaters of the Buffalo River in the Boxley-Ponca area. Perhaps attention should be given to ensuring stabilization of ore and tailingspiles in these old lead and zinc mining areas in order to minimize pollution of the Buffalo River sediments.

Ponca-Boxley Lead District

In order to better delineate element relationships in the upper part of the Buffalo River, bottom sediments were collected in the Ponca-Boxley Lead District on 1/18/75. Bottom sediment samples were taken in ten selected tributaries (Fig. 24) upstream from their confluence with Buffalo River. Additionally sediment was collected in the river above and below these points of tributary confluence, except no upstream samples for Moore and Running Creeks were collected. Two of the tributary (Adds and Ponca) samples were obtained upstream of the town of Ponca (site of the old mill).



Figure 24. Detailed map of Ponca-Boxley Lead District showing mineralized trend and sample locations.

A plot of Pb concentration of bottom sediment from the river and tributaries versus river miles (Fig.25) corresponds well with reported mineralization. The tributary values from the mineralized side of the Buffalo River are significantly higher than those from the nonmineralized side and values from the river. There are two anomalously high Pb values. One is from 3-Name Creek which is located on the southeast ("non-mineralized") side of the Buffalo River. The other anomalous Pb value is from Beech Creek which is located on the northwest side of is extended the river. If the mineralized zone of McKnight (9) across the watershed, then Beech Creek should be part of the mineralized zone (Fig.24). Although Whiteley Creek and the upper part of Ponca Creek (upstream from the town of Ponca) are located on the northwest ("mineralized") side of the Buffalo River, they have no reported mineralization within their watersheds. This is confirmed by the relatively low Pb content of their bottom sediment (Fig.25). It is interesting to note that the Pb values at the mouth of Ponca Creek are higher than either of the upstream from the town of Ponca (Table 30). This two values obtained can be interpreted as evidence of additional, unreported mineralization or more likely as contamination from the tailings pile at the old mill 🔊 just upstream from the collection site.

There is no systematic variation of lead concentration of the bottom sediments along this portion of the Buffalo River; however, many of the tributaries, whether draining a mineralized or non-mineralized area, contain greater concentrations of many of the elements (Table 30). Dilution of the unique (element-rich) sediments from the tributaries

Figure 25. Pb vs river miles from Smith Creek (SM) in the Ponca-Boxley District.

Pb vs River Miles



	the second se										
River Miles	РЬ	Zn	Cđ	Fe	Со	Cr	Ni	Cu	Mn	Mg	Ca
	6	48	0.85	2.22	13	17	18	8	1000	575	260
0	ã	73	1.30	2.48	19	21	29	14	1147	787	3500
Ŭ	ğ	63	0.85	2.61	13	21	24	10	1000	637	465
	7	48	0.85	1.80	11	16	- 21	7	812	425	260
1.5	20	216	1.00	2.20	13	17	19	14	900	575	4/0
1.5	6	56 .	0.85	2.42	13	19	23	8	975	587	320
	5	63	0.85	2.21	14	19	22	7	950	512	465
2 0	ă	85	0.65	2.91	21	27	30	14	1500	725	2500
2.0	5	65	0.50	2.48	16	25	22	9	1175	550	1150
24	. 19	65	1.70	2.12	17	18	29	16	850	600	7500
L . • 7	<u>'</u>	84	0.85	2.61	16	23	24	10	1062	612	745
	6	62	0.65	2.21	14	20	20	5	1125	550	710
3.6	10	52	0.85	2.20	14	18	21	5	1025	712	5000
5.0	.5	58	0.65	2.30	16	15	19	5	1550	487	4/5
54	7	67	1.0	2.66	19	25	25	10	1125	687	5000
	5	69	0.65	2.26	14	21	24	7	1000 📄	850	1030
	ğ	51	0.50	1.77	9	12	15	1	300	437	365
57	12	69 -	1.5	1.50	13	11	25	9	1125	525	22750
	5	62	0.50	1.93	9	16	21	3	675	525	2250
	< 1	43	0.55	1.56	6	13	11	1	550	375	230
7 0	58	1140	4.25	2.14	11	8	19	5	450	335	9995
7.0	ğ	72	1.15	2.50	18	19	33	7	1425	750	15250
7.0	20	368	57.50	3.52	21	17	38	30	1500	462	18750
7.0	5	90	1.50	2.29	17	18	24	7	1325	1035	19750
7.0	ů 4	54	0.65	1.46	8	13	14	1	425	425	1350
	5	87	1.00	2.03	11	18	19	2	775	725	9250
Q 7	18	90	2.00	1.47	17	28	29	7	1025	1035	18495
3.1	- 8	122	0.65	2.07	9	18	20	2	1150	537	370
	January January 0 1.5 2.0 2.4 3.6 5.4 5.7 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 9.7	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							

Table 30. Analyses of bottom sediment samples from the Ponca-Boxley District. River miles are measured from Smith Creek. All values are ppm except Fe which is weight percent.

.

by non-unique sediments of the river takes place in an extremely short distance, especially as shown by Beech, Moore and Ponca Creeks (Table 30 and e.g., Fig. 25).

The Fe values for the bottom sediments in the upper part of the river and also in the tributaries in this area exhibit a decrease downstream (Fig. 26) similar to that for the entire river. Cu, Mn, Cr, Co, Mg and Ni have trends similar to that for Fe (Table 30). An optical examination of the sediments indicated that shale fragments make up about 25% of the samples from the upper portion of the Buffalo River and the amount of shale fragments gradually diminishes to about 10% near Ponca. The Pb-Fe trend in Figure 27 indicates sorption of Pb by the ferric oxide coatings, and the anomolous values indicate the presence of leadrich clasts. Similar trends were found for Mn, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni and Zn.

The values for Zn show little variance from the background level of about 65 ppm (Table 30) except for Beech Creek, which also has anomalously high Pb concentrations, and for Adds and Ponca Creeks, which have reported Zn mineralization. As in the case of lead, zinc values for Ponca Creek near its mouth are higher than those at the two sites upstream. Again this may indicate mineralization or contamination from tailings. Cadmium concentration is erratic.

The Cd/Zn ratio for the bottom sediments from the upper part of the river was found to be relatively constant (8-10 ppm Cd to 1000 ppm Zn) and similar to that for ore from the area near Rush, Arkansas. The Cd/Zn ratio for Buffalo River sediments from the Boxley-Ponca area falls within the same range. However, the tributaries show a much greater range which

national and the first state of the other states of the state of the state of the states of the stat

Fe vs. River Miles





may simply indicate homogenization of sediments with various Cd/Zn ratios by the river. The Pb and Zn contents of the sediments are independent of one another (Table30).

Ca concentration increases downstream, reflecting the presence of limestone (Table³⁰). There is no dolomite in the area and the Mg data agree with this information. Significant correlations of certain elements with Ca+Mg have been reported for the lower portion of the Buffalo River (Fig. 22); however, none were found in this portion of the study area.

In summary, in the Ponca-Boxley District, lead mineralization has a significant effect on the Pb concentration in bottom sediments of the tributaries, but concentrations are quickly diluted in the main stream. Mineralization also increases zinc and cadmium concentration. The concentration of Ca is controlled largely by the presence of limestone, and the concentrations of the other elements are controlled primarily by the presence of shale fragments and sorption by Fe oxide coating clasts.

SUSPENDED SEDIMENTS

Sample Collection and Preparation

Suspended sediments were collected from the eight stations shown in Figure 17 and also from Ponca, Rush and Clabber Creeks on 5/21-22/74, 6/17/74, 8/19-21/74, 12/20-21/74, and 3/26/75. One half to one liter of water was filtered in the field through a 0.45 µm Millipore filter using a hand operated vacuum pump. The filters were prewashed for 30 minutes in 1:1 HCl and rinsed in distilled, deionized water in the laboratory prior to the collection trip. After filtration the filters were returned to the laboratory and placed in a 25 ml Erlenmeyer flask with 2 ml of concentrated HCl overnight. The extractant was then diluted to 25 ml and the filter rinsed several times in distilled, deionized water. The sample was then ready for analysis by atomic absorption spectrometry. The atomic absorption technique used was the same as that for the bottom sediments. Analyses were attempted on a per weight basis of the suspended sediments but this was not feasible because of the small amount of material that could be collected in a reasonable length of time. Therefore, the suspended sediment analyses are expressed in terms of concentration per liter of water filtered. Hg, As, and Cu were not determined because of their extremely low concentrations.

Element Variation

The concentration of elements in the suspended sediments is quite low (Table A2 and summarized in Table31). The concentration of elements in the water generally exceeds that in the suspended sediments, except in the case of iron (Tables 31 and 32). Generally, there is about 10 times as much suspended iron per liter of water as dissolved iron (Tables 31 and 32). Na and K values are variable from station to station; however, there is no systematic variation. All of the other element concentrations are essentially constant from station to station. Station 1 (Boxley) has the largest K and Zn concentrations, probably because of the greater amount of clay particles derived from the shale in the area (Table 31).

Suspended sediments from the river contain more Na, K, Fe and Mn than those from selected tributaries (Table 31). The high Na and K values can be attributed to greater amounts of clay particles because the river is a collection point for fine particles compared to the tributaries (Steele and Wagner (12)). Also, there is no major source of clay in these creeks' drainage basins. The higher Fe and Mn could be directly due to the presence of clay particles but could also be caused by oxide coatings of the elements on fine grains. Again, the creeks have no major source of these elements compared to the river because there is no shale in their drainage basins. Although there are elemental variations with the season, there is no pattern of change (Table 31).

		Na	к	Ca	Mg	Fe	Co	Cr	Ni	Zn	bJ	РЬ	Mn	Li ***	Sr***			
Sta- tion	River Miles	Ave per	rage stat	values ion for	(μg r fiv	of el e sam	ement pling	suspe perio	nded ds, M	per ay 1	liter 974 to	of wa Marc	ater = :h 19;	= ppb) 75		рH	т ^о с	D.O. ppm
1	130	47	23	18	7	144	21	41	2	14	0.4	<3	4	0.1	0.7	7.3	16.8	9.9
2	101	36	1	18	6	136	21	<1	ZĪ	2	40.4	12	9	2.1	0.6	7.5	16.3	9.4
2	104	ĩ	4	29	5	112	21	21	21	2	<0.4	2	7	4.1	0.8	7.4	15.4	9.5
Ĺ	94.1	8	2	23	6	128	21	<u> </u>	1.6	ī	< 0.4	4 3	9	0.10	20.7	7.4	16.7	9.7
5	55.2	42	3	31	7	157	21	21	0.6	1	< 0.4	3	6	<.1	0.5	7.4	17.7	10.0
6	33.5	6	8	37	6	112	∠ 1	21	21	1	40.4	<3-	6	0.05	40.7	7.6	17.6	9.9
7	31 4	ğ	ž	40	7	121	Z1	41	<u> </u>	1	0.1	23	7	0.10	40.7	7.6	17.3	9.6
8	23.3	32	ž	36	8	100	41	<1	2	3	< 0.4	<3	6	0.10	< 0.7	7.5	17.2	9.6
Clab-	- 23.5	19	8	25	14	14	<1	<1	41	ī	0.1	2	1	0.02	20.7	-	-	-
ber (Cr.		-	-,	•													
Rush	23.7	24	1	20	6	25	<1	∠1	<١	2	0.4	∠0.6	5 2	0.04	∠0.7	-	-	-
Cr	-, -,	_																
Ponca	120	11	1	25	3	95	41	∠1	1	3	0.1	1	- 4	0.13	∠0.7	-	-	-
Cr				-	-													
River	r	23	6	29	6	126	<1	21	2	3	0.25	6	7	0.09	0.7	7.5	16.9	9.7
Avera	age**	-2			_					-								
Creek	~ J ~	18	3	23	8	45	21	<1	<1	2	0.20	1.5	52	0.06	∠0.7	-	-	-
Avera	` ace**		-		_							-						
mere	.90																	
Colle Da	ection ate		,	Average lection	e val n dat	ues (e for	µg of eigh	eleme t rive	nt su r sta	sper tior	ided pe	r lit	ter o	f water	= ррb)	per co	1-	Flow ^D CFS
					,			-			- A - P							
5-22	2-74	-	-	31	6	110	<1	0.4	3	8	0.4	19	5	-	-	7.4	21	1003
6-17	7-74	34	4	21	10	227	<1	0.5	2	3	0.2	(۷	8	-	-	7.6	21	1280
8-2	1-74	11	4	53	5	48	-	-	-	3	-	-	15	0.1	0.7	7.4ª	27 ^a	75
12-2	21-74	<10	<١	21	4	76	40.3	<0.5	0.8	<1	<.15	<3	4	<0.1	<0.4	7.1	6	541
		1	,	o. I.		1.21.4				0				0 1	0.2	7 7	10	00/0

Table 31 Suspended material (<0.45µm) in Buffalo River and four tributaries*.

1.11

19

(continued)

85

Table 31 (cont'd).

* Tributaries are station 3 (Little Buffalo River), Clabber Creek, Rush Creek, and Ponca Creek.

** River average includes Little Buffalo River, station 3. Creek averages are for Clabber, Rush, and Ponca Creeks only.

*** Only last 3 samplings were analyzed.

a For 8-14-74-

^bCubic feet per second of water flow measured near station 5, approximate midpoint of river.

. 98

There appears to be a correlation of Fe content with flow of the river (Fig. 28). Although Mn generally follows Fe, Mn concentration and flow relationships do not correlate nearly as well as Fe and flow. This correlation is simply the result of Stokes Law, i.e., there is more suspended material with greater flow.

The Mn/Fe ratios for suspended relative to bottom sediments are plotted versus river miles in Figure 29. This graph shows that except for station 1 the Mn/Fe ratio is greater in the suspended sediments than in the bottom sediments. The suspended sediments would be expected to have initial oxide films of Fe and Mn, whereas bottom sediments, because they are heavier and have settled out of the water, would be expected to have older and more oxide coating. Late formed oxide coatings are apparently enriched in Mn with time because of some factor such as an autocatalytic effect on Mn precipitation. Station 1 differs from the other stations in that there is shale in the area. Station 1 has a greater absolute amount of Fe and Mn compared to the other stations(Table 31). It may be that the large amounts of these ions cause a different sorption pattern at the locality. For example, the high concentration of Fe may cause less autocatalyzation of Mn precipitation on the suspended sediments. Also, the presence of shale fragments in the bottom sediments could add to the acid leached Fe. It is also interesting that pH increases slightly downstream (Table 31) and may also play a significant role.

E

Figure 28. Suspended sediment Fe and Mn values vs flow of river. Fe and Mn values are average values for five collection dates. Flow determined at approximate midpoint along river (station 5).





Figure 29. Average Mn/Fe ratios of suspended sediments relative to those of bottom sediments for the eight stations.

DISSOLVED MATERIAL

Sample Collection and Preparation

Water samples were collected on 3/12/74, 5/21-22/74, 6/17/74, 8/19-21/74, 12/20-21/74 and 3/26/75 from the eight stations in Figure 17 and also from Ponca, Rush and Clabber Creeks. Two hundred and fifty to 500 ml of water was passed through a 0.45 µm filter and collected in a polyethylene bottle; eight drops of concentrated per 100 ml of filtered water were added. A hand vacuum pump was used in the filtration. The Millipore filters were prewashed in the laboratory in 1:1 HCl for 30 minutes, and rinsed with distilled, deionized water prior to the collection trip.

Many elements in the water require concentration before analysis by atomic absorption spectrometry. A procedure of chelation with diethydithiocarbamate (DDC) and extraction by methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) was used to concentrate Cd, Co, Cu, Cr, Ni, Mn, Fe, Zn, and Pb. Fe and Zn were sometimes present in concentrations large enough to allow direct determination in the water. Agreement between direct water analysis and organic concentration was not always good. The organic extraction value is preferred over the direct water determination because there is less flame interference with the organic extract.

The organic concentration procedure is modified from that used by Nix and Goodwin (15). One hundred and fifty ml of water is placed in a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask. Three ml of phthalate buffer is added and the pH adjusted to 3.6 ± 0.1 with 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH reagents. Ten drops of an indicator prepared from a l:l mix of

bromeresol green (Sargent S-41665-KE) and benzo yellow (Sargent S-41665-KC) are added. Next 10 ml of DDC is added. The contents of the Erlenmeyer flask are transferred to a 500 ml Teflon stopcock sepratory funnel. Twentytwo ml of MIBK is added to the funnel and the contents are shaken briskly for 30 seconds. The funnel contents are allowed to stand and separate (this normally requires about one hour). The organic phase is then withdrawn for analysis. Transfer of material can be avoided by adding all reagents to a 200 ml volumetric flask. The organic phase will separate and rise into the neck of the flask and the aspirator for the atomic absorption spectrometer can be placed directly into the flask. This method was used for some of the latter samples. Standards were prepared from Eastman metal organic compounds: cyclohexanebutyric acid cadmium salt, manganous cyclohexanebutyrate, cyclohexanebutyric acid cobalt salt, nickel cyclohexanebutyrate, chromium (III) benzoylacetonate, ferric benzoylacetonate, lead cyclohexanebutyrate, copper cyclohexanebutyrate, zinc cyclohexanebutyrate.

The other elements in Tables³² and A3 were analyzed by standard atomic absorption spectrometry procedures described for bottom sediments. The detection limits for the organic extracted elements in ppb are Fe (0.5), Co (0.3), Cr (0.4), Ni (0.3), Cu (0.06), Zn (0.07), Cd (0.02), Mn (0.07), and Pb (0.7). Hg and As were not determined because of their extremely low concentrations.

Element Variation

อพรรีอาอ พระวับชื่อ เป็นสม 18% สะจาะ เพลี 2007

Water concentrations of major elements along the Buffalo River (Fig. 30, Tables 32 and A3) generally follow the geology as in the case



Figure 30 . Dissolved river load (major elements) vs river miles. Average values for each station plotted.

		Na	К	Ca	Ma	Fe	Co	Cr	Ni	Cu	Zn	Cd	Pb	<u>Mn</u>	<u>Li***</u>	<u>Sr***</u>				_
Sta- R tion M	iver iles	Aver	age val	lues (pp	b) for	six	sampli	ng pe	riods	, Mar	<u>ch 1</u>	<u>974 to</u>	Marc	h 197	7 <u>5</u> .		<u>рН</u>	<u>T^oC</u>	р.о. <u>ppm</u>	
<u> </u>		015	(0)	71.1.0	005	21	6.6	. 2	1. 7	2 0	80	12	5 1	L 3	2 0	85	7.3	16.8	9.9	
1	130	965	601	/440	272	21	0.0	22	4./	2.2	20	0.8	5.5	a L	2.0	22	7 5	16.3	9.4	
2	101	1352	846	2/033	2200	19	2.0	2	2.7	2.2	50	1 0	5.2	9.4	2.0	12 12	7 4	15.4	9.5	
3	104	1679	864	2/383	1/99	12	5.1	22	5.4	2.4	20	0.0	6.5	7 4	2.0	21	7 4	16 7	97	
4	99.1	1401	843	30600	2231	11	3.6	22	4.1	2.0	23	0.9	0.3	7.0	2.0	29	- / ·] ·	177	10 0	
5	55.2	1366	876	35567	2470	9	3.1	2	3.1	4.3	62	0.0	4.0	0.7	1.5	50	7.7	17.6	0.0	
6	33.5	1438	837	35817	2893	18	3.9	1.5	3.1	4.3	18	1.0	6.0	5./	1.5	4Z	7.0	17.0	7.7	
7	31.4	1497	848	36133	2925	10	4.2	2.5	3.6	2.7	15	1.4	4.5	1.3	1.5	43	7.0	17.5	9.0	
8	23.3	1465	856	36900	4182	8	4.1	1.0	3.4	2.0	64	1.3	4.8	7.9	1.0	38	1.5	[/.2	9.0	
Clab-																				
ber Cr.	23.5	1132	861	51625	26575	6	4.4	< 2	4.0	1.3	14	1.2	2.6	7.7	2.0	33	-	-	-	
Rush Cr.	23.7	1420	831	52125	8900	6	5.3	< 2	4.1	1.6	41	1.4	6.8	4.1	2.0	32	-	-	-	
Ponca Cr.	120	1487	985	41340	3084	7	3.7	4	4.6	2.2	32	0.9	4.7	9.9	2.0	33		-	-	
River	- **	1395	825	29609	2473	13	4.0	2	3.5	3.1	27	1.0	5.3	7.4	1.7	35	7.5	16.9	9.7	
Creek Average	- **	1346	892	48363	12853	6	4.5	4	4.2	1.7	29	1.1	4.7	7.2	2.0	33	-	-	-	
Collect Date	ion	na sita Na fi		A	verage	valu	ies (pj	ob) pe	er co	llect	ion c	late fo	or eig	ght s	tatio	וs 				Flow ^b CFS
3-12-7	4	1180	833	20600	1611	31	1.1	-	_	4.9	7	1.4	4.6	7.0		- 1	7.5	14	9.9	5170
5-22-7	4	1228	860	29737	2434	11	2	٤2	4.0	<4.0	29	1.2	7.8	7.5	-	-	7.4	21	8.4	1003
6-17-7	4	1231	865	40587	2779	6	2	٤2	6.2	1.4	-51	<1.0	5.0	7.2	-	-	7.6	21	8.8	1280
8-21-7	ц L	2230	1106	45333	3871	7	4.3	1.8	3 2.7	41.0	16	<1.0	3.6	8.5	1.4	52	7.46	* 27a	8.0	75
12-21-7	-74	1250	644	26262	2248	8	3.1	42	2.6	1.7	97	0.7	0.7	7.0	42.0	26	7.1	ା 6	12.5	541
2.26-7	/ 7 / C	1612	695	25527	2254	17	4.2	22	1.8	1.5	20	0.6	5.9	7.6	1.9	31	7.7	12	10.6	2060
3-20-7	2	1712	(<u>(</u>)	~ > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	24,24	• /		(cont	tinue	a)				·						

Table 32. Dissolved material in Buffalo River and four tributaries*.

Table 32 (cont'd).

* Tributaries are station 3 (Little Buffalo River), Clabber Creek, Rush Creek, and Ponca Creek.

** River average includes Little Buffalo River, station 3.

Creek averages are for Clabber, Rush and Ponca Creeks only.

*** Only samples from last three collections analyzed.

^aFor 8/14/74.

^bCubic feet per second of water flow measured near station 5, approximate midpoint of river.

of the bottom sediments. Nix (16) has found similar relationships with close sampling of water along the river. Calcium and Mg increase in concentration downstream where carbonate rocks (limestone and/or dolomite)are encountered. Although K and Na exhibit very little variation along the river, they are clearly present in lower concentrations upstream. Shale,which is relatively rich in these two elements compared to other rocks in the area, is present upstream. However, clay tends to scavenge Na and K from the water, sorbing them on its surface and between layers. Because of the presence of shale and clay particles in the bottom sediments upstream and possibly because of the presence of feldspar (a source of Na and K) downstream in sandstone, the trend for Na and K is a slight increase in concentration downstream.

Some of the minor elements also follow trends similar to that for the major elements (Figs. 31 and 32). Strontium substitutes for Ca in minerals, and is present in limestone. Strontium follows a trend similar. to Ca, i.e., increases in concentration downstream. A trend of decreasing Fe downstream is observed; however, there is an increase in the zinc mining district. This is probably because a major source of iron is the shale in the upper portion of the drainage basin; the dissolved iron is diluted and precipitated downstream. Cu and Cd follow a trend similar to Fe. Mn concentrations are relatively constant (4-9 ppb). The low value for dissolved Mn is at station 1 in an area with a large amount of Mn present in the bottom sediments (Table 27). The effectiveness of sorption processes here may be greater because of the large Fe and Mn concentrations and thus may remove a relatively greater amount of Mn from solution here than at other stations. Li concentration decreases downstream. Pb values are extremely constant, whereas Zn concentration is





[]]]





quite variable. Co and Ni generally decrease in concentration downstream.

The major ions (Ca, Mg, Na, and K) have seasonal fluctuations with greatest concentration during late summer (Fig. 33). This pattern correlates with the flow pattern, with the greatest concentration during low flow for the river (Fig. 34). This correlation can be explained as the result of the concentration of these elements by evapotranspiration during periods of least rainfall (or lack of dilution by rain).

Of the trace metals, Pb shows a pattern with season (Fig. 35). The pattern closely matches that for temperature and is an inverse for dissolved oxygen variation with time. As the dissolved oxygen content increases the Pb content decreases. Mn solubility is apparently not affected by the above factors - the Mn concentration of the river is very stable throughout the year. The other element variations with time are irregular and there is no correlation with flow, temperature or dissolved oxygen.


Figure 33. Dissolved river load (major elements) vs month of collection. Points are average values for eight stations.





CONCLUSIONS¹

Elements are present in iron oxide coatings of the bottom sediments and many are also present as mineral clasts. The trends of element concentrations in the water and bottom sediment along the river correspond with rock type changes and abundance of the rock types in the river valley and mineralization. Unique sediments from tributaries are quickly diluted by mainstream sediments. However, perhaps attention should be given to ensuring stabilization of ore and tailingspiles in the old lead and zinc mining areas in order to minimize pollution of the Buffalo River sediments. Water composition is also affected by flow which has a seasonal fluctuation. During low flow (August) the major element content of the water increases because of lack of dilution by rain. The trace element concentrations do not correlate with season. Suspended sediment element concentrations (measured during non-storm periods) are very low. Suspended sediment element concentration levels are generally less than those for the water, except in the case of Fe which is approximately 10 times more abundant in the suspended sediments than in the water. Because of the narrow concentration range and very low element concentrations in the water, relationships between the sediments and water are not easily defined. However, the sediments from the Buffalo River can possibly be treated as a rough indicator of long term river water quality.

Note that the concentration of the elements in the suspended load of the river is expressed per volume of water rather than per unit weight of sediment. A study of the suspended load of the river; however, has not been made.

SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION OF ALGAE AND ASSOCIATED PARAMETERS

Laura L. Rippey and Richard L. Meyer

INTRODUCTION

Algae inhabiting flowing waters such as the Buffalo River face a greater challenge than those living in standing waters. To meet this challenge there is a certain amount of adaption and differentiation between those algae inhabiting pools and riffles. True plankton is not frequently encountered in mountain streams similar to the Buffalo River. Potamoplankton occurs in large rivers or streams with input from lakes or ponds. Occasionally plankton is found in still pools in the summer when the water level in the river is low. Most of the organisms found in the plankton are derived from the periphyton community (Meyer (17)). Most of the algae found, though, exist as periphyton and of this periphyton the majority of the forms are epilithic.

Location in a protective nook by means of some mode of attachment gives algae growing in currents the advantage of survival ability. The leeward edge of a submerged stone provides a favorable biotope with minimal chance of being washed away. It is also important in lotic situations to be able to withstand mechanical stress, the pulling and twisting caused by flowing water as well as abrasion by silt. It was noted by Blum (18) that algae which exist in rapid waters have four principal types of adaptions. <u>Batrachospermum</u> and <u>Stigeoclonum</u> are examples of two which display branched filaments with a holdfast and a gelatinous coat. Filaments of <u>Spirogyra</u> are long flexible cylinders which align themselves with the current. Another adaptation is the spherical or cushion-like colonies containing many filaments of algae like <u>Cladophora</u> or <u>Nostoc</u>. Such colonies have smooth surfaces so they offer little resistance to the current. A fourth modification is the simplified plate-like forms like <u>Phormidium</u> which are tightly fixed to the contours of the rock. So there are modifications which lend advantage to algae of flowing waters and further, some of those algae such as <u>Batrachospermum</u>, <u>Cladophora</u>, <u>Spirogyra</u> and <u>Oedogonium</u> seem to be restricted to lotic situations (Dillard, (19)).

The physical aspects of flowing waters may be as important as the mechanical ones just discussed. Moving water is not absolutely, but rather physiologically, richer in oxygen and nutrients (Ruttner, (20)). This physiological richness is due to the action of flowing water moving materials over the cell surface so that the nutrient deficient zone does not form in the microhabitat around an algal cell as it would in lentic habitats. This movement causes a steep diffusion gradient to be maintained so that the exchange between the organism and its environment is increased.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples for algae and chemical data were taken at all of the eight standard stations illustrated in Figure 1. In addition to these eight stations collections were also made at the low water bridge at Ponca. This station was chosen because of its proximity to Boxley which is dry during the summer months.

104

Plankton samples were collected with a 15 cm. diameter, 25 mesh hand held net. More abundant than plankton were the attached forms. These were collected for quantitative samples by means of scrubbing rocks with a nylon bristle brush and washing the loosened material into a beaker. Samples to be preserved were put into vials with M_3 fixative (Meyer, (21)). Live samples were also taken.

Both preserved and live algae samples were examined by an Olympus PM-10-M phase-contrast microscope as wet mounts. Several slides were made from each sample vial in order to have a complete list of genera present. Two permanent slides of diatoms were made at each station . These were prepared by means of treating the frustules with hot potassium dichromate-sulfuric acid cleaning solution to remove the protoplast, washing the diatoms in distilled water and permanently mounting them in Hyrax, refractive index 1.65. This process facilitates diatom identification by exposing the fine wall markings which are the taxonomic characteristics.

Water samples used for the chemical analysis were collected in plastic bottles. Turbidity readings were made on raw water samples by a Hach Analytical Nephelometer Model 2100A. Ammonia was also measured on raw water samples by means of direct or standard addition methods with the Orion specific ion probe. These determinations were usually made on the same day the water was collected, then it was filtered through a Millipore filter apparatus and refrigerated_overnight. Nitrate-nitrogen was determined by the ultraviolet method (Standard Methods,(22)). Orthophosphate was measured by the ammonium molybdate procedure also found in Standard Methods (22).

Silicas were diluted 1:4 and measured by the heteropoly blue method described in Standard Methods (22).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The emphasis of this study is on the seasonal aspects of the algae and chemical parameters of the Buffalo River. Since samples included in this report span from August 1974 to April 1975, there are samples representing each season (Table33). It is obvious that photosynthesis and growth of algae are dependent on the duration, quantity and quality of light. There are correlations between the appearance of foliage on the trees and the disappearance of some algae, while others are shade tolerant. So the algal populations of the Buffalo change during the course of a year. Not all algae which occur concurrently can be called assemblages since they may be haphazard combinations of species which have no definite pattern of organization from one site to another. In other cases there might be a lack of a definite community structure that recurs seasonally from one year to the next.

This does not mean that there is no community structure in the Buffalo River. It does mean that one must be cautious about assigning names to clusters of algal species. During late spring and summer a community composed of several species of <u>Spirogyra</u> associated with <u>Oedogonium</u> was a dominant feature along the river. <u>Oedogonium</u> was present in almost every sampling period while <u>Spirogyra</u>, which appeared in greater quantity in July and August, was found infrequently at other times of the year. Summer also brings low water resulting in deep still pools between the riffles. Occurrence of flagellates like <u>Branchiomonas</u>, <u>Lobomonas</u>, <u>Chlamydomonas</u> and <u>Gomium</u> among others is restricted to these still pools. The station at Hasty was in August reduced to a deep still pool with no visible flow. <u>Euglena</u>, <u>Strombomonas</u> and <u>Trachlomonas</u> as well as <u>Chlamydomonas</u> were recorded under these conditions. Other typically planktonic genera found in still pools of the Buffalo are <u>Pediastrum</u>, <u>Staurastrum</u>, <u>Coelastrum</u>, <u>Ceratium</u>, <u>Pandorina</u>, <u>Stephanodiscus</u> and <u>Cyclotella</u>. In the warmer times of the year another unique niche is available in the river, the vascular phanerogams. Beds of water willow, <u>Justicia americana</u>, provide a substrate for the attachment of epiphytic algae. Some of these epiphytes include <u>Chamaesiphon</u> and <u>Cocconeis</u>.

No great algal blooms developed in the autumn as typically occurs in lakes. As the water temperature fell and the water level rose, increased flow kept the algal populations low. Visually this was evidenced as extensive expanses of clean gravel. In December the stones in the river were covered with golden brown gelatinous coats of diatoms of various genera. This epilithic population continued to be prominent throughout the winter months. In these diatomaceous gels which covered the rocks, desmids were also found. At all stations with the exception of Rush, desmids including <u>Cosmarium</u>, <u>Closterium</u>, <u>Staurastrum</u> and <u>Stauradesmus</u> were reported. In the later part of the winter these epilithic populations were scoured off of the rocks by the action of the silt-laden waters. Again in the spring another extensive epilithic population

TAI

was dominated by the green alga <u>Tetraspora</u> gelatinosa which is surrounded by an abundant gel.

During the course of a year of investigation into the algal growth, it became clear that there are some changes in populations from the upper to the lower Buffalo. With these changes in mind it might be possible to reduce the sampling regime to a smaller number of zones or compartments which would make sampling in one day more practical and which would also adequately represent the river. These compartments could be set up on the basis of the algal populations and the water chemistry.

Chemical parameters continued to be investigated on a monthly basis throughout this sampling period (Table 34). Some of these chemical parameters fluctuated significantly from one station to another as well as from one season to another (Fig. 36). It is important to realize that even though most of the levels are low, with the exception of silica, they are still important because of the physiological richness. One correlation which becomes obvious when studying the graphs is that both the nitrate and the silica concentrations decrease with the development of the autumn-winter epilithic diatom population. There is one chemical factor, the ammonium, which is continuously low. Between the months of May and December ammonia concentrations were measured directly using the Orion selective ion probe. Because the concentrations were beyond the lower limits of accurate measurement by the direct method, a standard addition method was instituted. This standard addition method works on the principle of moving the concentration of the sample into a more easily measurable range by adding a known volume of

a known concentration of standard to the sample. The known addition method proved though to be more difficult than the direct method and no significant datawere derived. It is interesting to note that these levels are consistently low at all stations and in all seasons measured, suggesting that ammonia is probably not associated with the seasonal succession of the algae in the Buffalo River. The chemical and physical data collected have been presented to Dr. David Parker for further interpretation. These data will also be included in a Master of Science thesis (LLR).

CONCLUSION

After a seasonal qualitative analysis of the algae there are certain patterns which have been discovered. Most populations are substrate specific, for instance, those epiphytic genera which occur only in the spring and summer when vascular plants are growing in the river. These epiphytic populations, when they occur, are more or less consistent along the length of the river. Other patterns are formed by genera which are seasonal because their growth is stimulated by temperature and light. There are also algae which occur exclusively in riffle or pool habitats. With all of these kinds of restrictions present, the total aspect of the river appears quite complex.

TABLE 33

Temporal and Spatial Distribution of the Algae in the Buffalo River, 1974-75

Key to Stations

12 28

symbol	location
1	Boxley
P	Ponca
2	Pruitt
3 🗏	Jasper
4	Hasty
5	Gilbert
6	Highway 14 Bridge
7	Buffalo Point
8	Rush

110

2

in the Buffalo River, 1974-75

taxon/date	l July tetions pj4	24 July Stations 2345678	19 August Stations P2345678	<pre>21 September Stations 182345575</pre>	21 Jotober Stations 192345678	27 wovenber Stations 192345678	17 Tecember Stations 1,02,345678	24 January Stations 192345678	8 March Stations	12 April Stations 102365629
CHLOROPHYCEAE VOLVOCALES									APE (*)0(.	
Brenchiomonas Chiesgelomonas Badorina		<u>د</u>			· •					
Gonium Lobomones	· · ·		1	\$						
. Nephronelmin Pandorine	1									
TSTRASPCRALES Aploymtia	•					*				
leegstis Palmila		5 0			'	· ·			2	
Sphereorsis Tetrespare CHLOROCOCCALES	•	4	· ·							
Anicistredennis Clostridium	, <u>,</u> ,	7343 B 74					257		i 2	
Crucigenia Dictycephaeridium	4				1				ı	
Golenkinis Kirchneriells	• •	3								
Pediastrum Planktosphaera Ovadrigula		2 4 5	,			<i></i>	5.255		i	
Soenedeemis Selenestrum	114	1345 7	34.47					33		
Tetreedran Tresbaria IN ATRICATING	14	. ,				ľ			33	
Tiothrim Dromana										
CBA*TOPHORALYS Ashenocharte Chastophore			8	,	2 0					
Gemontia Gongrosira	· ·	334347	•							
Stigeoclonium CLADOPHORALES Cladophore		s •		3		3 74	· ·	19-3 a.2.8		ه د د م
Rhisoclonium OEDOGONALZS	۰ <u>۴</u>	23	,	3 78		162		P 54	2 4 5	
Bulbochaete Oedogonium	,	8843 78	P 2 47 P 3479	:	3 45478 1 7 45474	34	1.4	•		
ONJUTATOPHYCEAE TYTHEMATALES							, r			
Mougeotia Sincronium Spirovyra	'	3 45678	83 S474			1	1 23	ip il		•
Zygnome - ESMIT AL25	P3 4	343478	224347	* * * *	is i≅a + • • i		,	,		1 4
losterium Josterium Joondylosium	+14 +	23.547		۰,	12.4	50 - 10°	247	1.0234	1 p 2 + 0	. 3
Staurestrum Staurodesmis	:]		1 N.			la l	,	3.4		.03
Cylindrocapsa		• •				20	+ 2		13	
EUGLENOPHYCEAE EUGLENALS: Fuglena										
Lepocinclis Phacus			3		7.6					
Strombomonés Trachelomonés			:			- 11 			5.0	
Pypey()Pyyor yr D1909, Poyl ro Petradinian Peripinyl ro Graf tian Peridinian										

26.0	1	1	1	ř	1		1			
- (FTOMULOPHY, LAS		1			1				1	1
CHIPIUNORAL ALSS			1			1		1		
ryptomonas					1	l		1		
A ANTIH PHYCHAN			1		•	1				1
NATABOATPHONAT OF			ł		1					
Vaucheria	12		[1		1	
								\$		
CHRY SOPHYCEAL					· ·	•				1
CHAY SOMDNAL ALES										1
Xellononaa										f i
Uroglena			•							
OTHEOROMANALAS									1	
Anthophysis	1									
St. 197			2	1				125		
BA TELAH TEPHYOLA									22	
CONTRAL 25										
Jyclotella Malasiaa			, 8							1
-elosira Stochanodianus		234 474					•			1
Cooperation The Technology Construction	0.000			''''''	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	19 343674	1,234367	182324	102303078	1.02.24.56.78
ichnathas										
Anchioleura		,	\$34547			1				1.
Amphore		234	F 47	• •			4			1 PT 454
aloneis			•							
cocconeis	D			1	1 1	4.8				
ymatopleur	•••	1 22 24	*1343458	3.74	1 1 474	3, 64.1	1.2245 78	10234 070	1.2234267	10224542
jwbella					l ∞ •		44.7			
istons				1 234 24	- 2343474	1 #3243428	1,23245078	1 #2343478	1,2245470	1
lpioneis			,		1 1	1 334547	2 3 4 3 4 7 8	#2348478	1 2 2 4 4 4 5 7	1341478
CPILNemia Durante	***	24 1				4		4	•	ł
Sunotja		3	· · ·				2 4 74	50 7	124 47	4
rregilerie Emistulie	1	234547				1		4		
Conchonene		4	,				4444474	* 2 3 4 3 4 7 8	1 23 2 78	103345678
Gyrosigns.		2 3 7	# 334347	1 45 78			1 /	1 7		1
Mastiploia		34 4					P 2 43 7	# 7 4 # 7 B	134 2	
Yeridion	10000000									
Lavicula	10.120					1.1.1	1.220147			
Nedium		,	# 2 2 4 5 6 7 8	1 2 2 -	1 1 1 1 1	1,2243478	1	101141070	1 4 2 3 4 4 4	
Litzschia		_	1 4			2 45 8	78			
Cpephore	· ·			1 2245 78	1 2243 7	102343428	1 02243478	1 9 43478	3 343478	1
Finnularia										
Pleurosigna	1 11	,		13 4						
RhopsLodia		2 4 4					4 7	•	20 F	
a ceuroneis		-	4			1 11-1 1	12.4.4	1,23.4	•	1
Superior		24 4			E		1			
"abelleria						P 34\$6	76.24 28	101345 7	1,2345478	102345270
(•••	10				1 33 3 7	14234847	1,22424.8	1 4 4 7
YANOPHYCEAE								'		
CHERCOCC AL	1					1	1	1		
Anacystis								1		
Aphanozapse		•		i				1		
hrootoer as		,	· · ·) I	ſ	l l		
i.tophyse.tz		2			지, 제					
Serismondia	4 . ·	4	· ,		1 23 14					
. icrocybiis		1345 7	4 7				. 1		1.1	2 8
Folycystis	· ·	343 8	4 78		1		<i>'</i>	· · · · ·		
CHARATSINGRAL TO					1	1		1		
These at chon			1					1		
OSCILLATORIALES			• (s)		• •			1		
Anabaena	3.4	,		1		. 1		1		
Autosira	88.50		· · · ·							
Deggittee			23 67		1					
Jeothece			P7 54 8		1.2.34.1	, , [24.4		1023 3 7	102a a 211
Gleotrichia								'		
Heplosiphon		ĺ								
Hosothrix										
Lyngbya		1					1	1		
Microcoleus			P234 4 8	· · · ·	1 5 7	,	- I	1		
Nostoc		34	.	ľ			1			
Oscillatoria		11.1.1	·		,			ſ		
Phormidium		2 4542			345 78	10334 4	1 p 3 6 5 m B	1 23 78	1 . 2 3 4 5 6	1 #2 34 54 18
Schisothrix	¢.	1 .				• -				
30yLonema										
Spiruline	*2	. d	• F	1	E.					
Tudobadaa		1	۰ در	•		1				
· FIC10085m11m	6	5			· · ·	13				1
FBX OPHYCRAS	3						*	r (
TEMALICCAL 28				i			1		1	
Astaroovatia					f f		1			
Audou, wills		,		1					j.	
Batiachonnemus								, 1	-	
Comps psis		1 m m			• 11					• 1
	2	1			1		ii	L	and framework	1

i To

						0 rth o	
Eate	O ri gin	Temperature C	Turbidity NTJ	Ammonia- N mg/l	Nitrate-1. mg/l	Phosphate- P mg/l	Silicates mg/l
21 May	Parker	17-22 21		0.002-0.003 0.003	0 .22-0. 36 0.03	0.006-0.033 0.010	
4 June	Parker	19-24 21		0.003-0.005 0.005	0.20-0.27	0.065-0.080 0.073	4.63-4.97 4.75
18 July	Parker	19-22 21			1-		4.77-5.62 5.17
24 July	Meyer	24-32 28					
19 August	Meyer	24-30 28		0.002-0.003 0.002	0.16-0.24 0.19	0.006-0.036 0.012	1.22-7.47 5.69
21 September	Weyer	18-22 20		0.003-0.005 0.004	0.24-0.75 0.50	0.016-0.082 0.039	2.49-3.68 3.12
20 October	Meyer	14-20 15	0.29-0.91 0.63	0.002-0.004 0.003	0.16-0.28 0.21	0.115-0.354 0.152	2 . 39-3.77 3.05
27 November	Meyer	6-10 8.7	1.0-5.50 2.14		0.12-0.28 0.19		3.498-4.039 3.727
17 December	Meyer	5-7 6.4	1.70-5.25 2.82	<.001-0.005 0.002	0.00-0.238 0.152	0.000-0.008 0.000	2 .956- 3.807 3.376
24 January	Meyer	6-8 6.8	1.70-4.00 2.52		0.00-0.003 0.001	0.001-0.018 0.007	2.03-6,4E 2.73

Table 34 Range and Mean Values of Chemical and Physical Data from the Buffalo Eiver, 1974-75

113

۰.

Range Talues	s continue	a					
Eate	Origin	Temperature	Turbidity NTU	Ammonia-ii mg/l	Nitrate-N mg/l	Ortho Fhosphate-P mg/l	Silicates mg/l
8 March	Meyer	9-11 9.9	1.5-7.5 4.02		0.133-0.241 0.195	0.005-0.013 0.009	2.87-4.55 3.59
12 April	Meyer	9.0-15.5 12.83	1.20-4.20 2.64		0.034-0.083 0.061	0.011-0.024 0.015	3.32-4.27 3.67

.

Dama . . . 37.03.0

.

114

.



Figure 36

H. MacDonald and Stephen C. Hurley

This section of the report is intended to identify the use of land within the Buffalo River basin. Through analysis of remote sensing data, existing land use patterns within the basin have been identified on the included Buffalo National River Land Use Map (Plate 1).

Remote sensors including aerial cameras are merely the tools for gathering land use data. Remote sensing data used for the identification of current land use were obtained by panchromatic large scale aerial photography, color large scale aerial photography, panchromatic photo-mosaics, and Earth Resources Technology Satellite (formerly ERTS-1, now LANDSAT-1) imagery. Panchromatic aerial photographs at a scale of 1:20,000 were obtained at no cost to the project from the Arkansas Highway Department (the cooperative efforts of Roger F. Taylor, Photogrammetrist, and R. H. Mattox, Engineer of Surveys, are graciously acknowledged). Ninety percent of this photography was imaged during 1972-1973, the remainder (10%) during 1968. The color aerial photographs at a scale of 1:10,000 were taken during 1973 by Tobin Research Incorporated for the National Park Service. These large scale color photographs were restricted to the confines of the Buffalo National River itself and did not cover the entire river drainage basin. The LANDSAT imagery was obtained in 1972-1973. The photo-mosaics (scale 1:63,000) were taken during 1965-1966 for the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

The classification used for the land use maps is the Level II classification of the United States Geological Survey (USGS Circular 671, 1972). The following categories are recognized.

Level I

Agricultural

Forest Land

Level II

(21) Agricultural

(41) Deciduous(42) Evergreen(43) Mixed

Urban and Built-up

(11) Residential
(17) Strip and Clustered
 Settlement
(12) Commercial

(13) Industrial

This classification at the first and second levels is general in order to facilitate the use of remote sensing data. Satellite imagery and photography at a scale of 1:20,000 are adequate for the recognition and delineation of these categories. Generalization allows for minimal reliance on supplemental information at the first and second levels of categorization. The classification is open ended, so that if a more detailed land use classification at the third and fourth levels is later desired it will be compatible within the existing framework.

DEFINITIONS

Agricultural (21)

Agricultural land includes that used primarily for production of farm commodities and the land associated with that production. The cleared fields which constitute crop land and pasture are generally easy to recognize, particularly when juxtaposed with forest land, which is most often the case within the Buffalo River basin. Symmetrical patterns on the landscape, caused by mechanized equipment, often serve as a reliable indicator of agricultural activity. The interface of Agricultural land with other categories

of land is sometimes a zone of transition or intermixture. However, within the basin this causes few difficulties as most boundaries are readily recognizable. Cropland and pasture are combined as Agricultural land because from imagery alone it is not possible to make a distinction with a high degree of accuracy and uniformity.

Pasturage constitutes the dominant use of Agricultural land within the Buffalo River basin, although some crops are grown. Farms are generally small (less than 100 acres), and usually occupy river valleys and flat-topped upland surfaces.

Forest Land

Forest lands are those that are at least 10 percent occupied by trees capable of producing timber or wood products, and that exert an influence on the climate or water regime. Included are lands from which trees have been removed, but which have not been developed for other use. Forestland is generally easy to recognize from remote sensing imagery, although the boundary between it and other categories may in some cases be difficult to delineate precisely.

Forest land is divided into three Level II categories: Deciduous, Evergreen, and Mixed. Differentiation of the three requires sequential imagery or imagery during the period when deciduous trees are bare. When the deciduous trees are bare tonal contrasts are visible on the aerial photographs. On the panchromatic aerial photographs evergreen areas appear as dark tones, whereas the deciduous areas are a light gray; therefore, the delineation of these areas is easily accomplished. When the leaves are present on the deciduous trees the contrast in tone is more subtle, and consequently recognition is difficult or

impossible with any degree of accuracy. These conditions do not apply for large scale color aerial photographs and the various categories of Forest land can be identified rather easily.

Deciduous (41)

Deciduous Forest land is that in which the trees are predominantly those from which the leaves fall at the end of the growing period. Of course, imagery taken during the winter months is desirable for the detection of Deciduous Forest.

In the basin Deciduous Forest is dominantly of the oak-hickory type occupying the uplands. Floodplains are typically occupied by sweetgum, elm, willow, birch, sycamore, and boxelder.

Evergreen (42)

Evergreen Forest land may be defined as all forest areas in which the trees are predominantly those which remain green throughout the year. Again, aerial photographs taken during the winter months are desirable because the tonal contrast between the deciduous and evergreen trees makes recognition more apparent.

Red cedar and shortleaf pine are the dominant evergreen types within the Buffalo River basin. The shortleaf pine, while not indigenous to this area, has been introduced by the National Forest Service. The Forest Service commonly clears large blocks of land in the National Forest, replacing the deciduous trees with shortleaf pine. These symmetrical patterns are easily identified from aerial photographs.

Mixed (43)

Mixed Forest land includes all forest areas where both evergreen and deciduous trees are growing and neither predominates. It is a more than one-third intermixture of either evergreen or deciduous species. Less than one-third intermixture results in classification of the dominant type, whether evergreen or deciduous.

Urban and Built-Up

Urban and Built-up land includes areas of intensive use with much of the land covered by structures. In the rural and sparsely populated Buffalo River basin Urban and Built-up land categories do not predominate. However, where they do, these categories are easily identified as the structures are recognizable from aerial photographs at a scale of 1:20,000 and because of the generally symmetrical shape of the area.

The Urban and Built-up categories take precedence over other categories of land use where the criteria for more than one category are met. Thus, isolated farm plots surrounded by Urban and Built-up land would be classified as Urban and Built-up land.

Four Level II categories of Urban and Built-up land are recognized within the Buffalo River basin. These include Residential, Strip and Clustered Settlements, Commercial, and Industrial land uses.

Residential (11)

Residential land use ranges from high density to low density housing. It is found in populated areas where residential housing can be separated from other Urban and Built-up categories. In the Buffalo River basin, the Residential category is limited to the town of Marshall. It is the only town with sufficient population and areal extent for the above qualifications to be met.

Strip and Clustered Settlements (17)

The Strip and Clustered Settlements category includes small towns, developments along transportation routes, and built-up areas where separate land uses may not be distinguishable. Generally this category is restricted to small towns such as Jasper, Big Flat, Gilbert, Mount Judea, and Ponca. Towns such as these are too small for the various categories of Urban and Built-up land to be identified; therefore, in these instances the entire town is classified as Strip and Clustered Settlements. From aerial photographs at a scale of 1:20,000, small towns are easily recognized.

Commercial (12)

Commercial areas include those used predominantly for the sale of products and services. Most of the towns within the Buffalo River basin are too small for the category to be separated from other Urban and Built-up categories. Only in Marshall can the Commercial category be effectively separated from other categories. Dogpatch, U.S.A. is the only other occurrence of commercially used land within the basin.

Industrial (13)

Industrial areas are those in which manufacturing takes place. Mining is also included in this category. In the Buffalo River

basin, the Industrial category is limited to rock quarries and lumber mills. Quarries are included for convenience in this category rather than Extractive because of the limited number within the basin.

Certain obstacles were encountered during the interpretation of the imagery and the designing and drafting of the land use map. Because a base map of the Buffalo River basin was unavailable, it was necessary to construct one. Topographic maps at a uniform scale do not exist for the entire basin. The only adequate maps (usable size and scale) were Arkansas Highway Department County Highway Maps; therefore, these county highway maps were used for the construction of the base map. These county highway maps are at a scale of 1:120,000. Because of the large size of the Buffalo River basin, and consequently the base map, it was necessary to have the compilation-scale land use map reduced in size to a scale of 1:190,000 (Plate 1).

The next step in the construction of the land use map was the collection and analysis of the imagery covering the basin. From the ERTS imagery it was possible to identify land use categories at the Level I classification. The ERTS imagery is recorded with a four band line scanning device operating in the visible and near-infrared portions of the spectrum. The four bands are 0.5-0.6 (band 4), 0.6-0.7 (band 5), 0.7-0.8 (band 6), and 0.8-1.1 (band 7) microns. Band seven (0.8-1.1 microns) provides a near-infrared capability that is particularly useful for recognizing vegetation. The ERTS imagery available for this study was obtained

during the months of August (1972) through February (1973) utilizing band 5 (0.6-0.7 microns). One color composite taken in December 1972 and utilizing bands 4, 5, and 7 was available for the western two-thirds of the basin. The ERTS imagery was at a scale of 1: 1,000,000. Because a Level II classification was desired, the Level I categories identified from the ERTS imagery were not actually drafted onto the land use map. However, the ERTS imagery did provide a synoptic view of the Buffalo River basin, thus allowing Agricultural and Forest land and Urban and Built-up land to be quickly and accurately identified. For land use interpretation of ERTS imagery the time of year and spectral band of coverage are particularly important. As land use patterns are sometimes obscure and vary with the time of year, temporal imagery or at least imagery from each season is desirable. For example, deciduous trees vary in appearance seasonally. Several different spectral bands in conjunction with the time of coverage are necessary to allow for maximum comparisons of land use which varies seasonally. Because of limited funding these advantages were not available.

Following analysis of the ERTS imagery the photo-mosaics were inspected. The 1:63,000 scale of these photographs is not compatible with that of the base map. The photo-mosaics were combined to provide complete coverage of the entire basin. Because of the date of coverage (1965-1966) and the format of presentation (photo-mosaics), these photographs were not used for identification of land use, except when comparisons were needed for problem areas or where the quality of the other photographs was poor.

The primary data source used for the land use identification was the large scale panchromatic aerial photographs. The scale of these photographs is 1:20,000 and that of the base map 1:120,000; therefore, one must allow for this change in scale when drafting the land use categories onto the base map. Where available, stereo coverage allowed improved interpretation with stereoscopic viewing. Monoscopic magnification of up to 20 times was used for closer inspection of problem areas. Land use categories, once determined from these photographs, were drafted directly onto the base map.

There are certain inherent limitations with the land use map (Plate 1). Aerial photographs taken during the winter months were not available for the eastern one-third of the basin. Photographs of the eastern one-third of the basin were taken during May. Deciduous trees have foliage in May; as the result, it is difficult, if not impossible, to differentiate between Deciduous, Evergreen, or Mixed Forest types because the gray tones, which are indicative of forest type, are similar. In these cases, identification of forest type was aided by consulting the 1972 Ozarks Regional Land Use Maps of the area.

The Buffalo River basin is contained within the Ozarks Regional L and Use Maps of the Harrison and Russellville, Arkansas, quadrangles. These land use maps have the advantage of a statistical format; thus the information available can be quickly recalled and analysed. However, certain drawbacks exist with these Ozarks Regional maps. Both the Harrison and the Russellville land use identifications are from a 1968 data source. Because a polygonal system was used to transfer identified land uses onto the actual map, the mapped

categories are generalized with respect to shape and extent. These maps were at times found to be inconsistent in identification and classification of land use. For instance, forest which is classified as Evergreen Forest land in one area might be recognized as Deciduous or Mixed Forest land in another area. The land use map prepared for this study is the superior land use map because of the above factors, and because it is more detailed.

Exact geographic positioning and size of land use categories were affected because of the transfer involved from the relatively large scale photographs to the small scale Buffalo River basin base map. Also, because the date of photographic coverage available was no more recent than 1972-1973, minor land use changes might be anticipated.

DEVELOPMENT SITES

Color aerial photographs (scale 1:10,000) were used almost exclusively for the recognition of land use categories for the proposed development sites. The development site locations were provided by Mr. Donald M. Spalding, Superintendent, Buffalo National River. Because individual segment maps were drafted from these photographs, the maps obviously provided an adequate base for annotating the land use categories. The development sites are as follows: Buffalo Point - Highway 14, Carver, Kyle's Landing, Lost Valley, Ponca, Pruitt, Rush, Tyler Bend - Highway 65, and Woolum.

DEVELOPMENT SITES BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER

LAND USE LEGEND

AGRICULTURAL

FOREST LAND

URBAN AND BUILT-UP

(21) AGRICULTURAL

- (41) DECIDUOUS
- (42) EVERGREEN
- (43) MIXED

(11) RESIDENTIAL

- (17) STRIP AND CLUSTERED SETTLEMENT
- (12) COMMERCIAL
- (13) INDUSTRIAL

.

LOST VALLEY





PONCA

П









WOOLUM



132

٠

.

[1]



RUSH



134

F1
RESOURCE CAPACITY

Principal Investigators: R. E. Babcock, D. G. Parker

The evaluation of the resource capacity of an outdoor recreational system such as the Buffalo National River is a complex operation and involves the consideration of many diverse factors. However, superimposed upon the complexity of the problem is an additional problem of confusion and misunderstanding of the definition and use of the term "resource capacity". David Lime and George Stankey, USDA Forest Service, have made a point in the literature that should be emphasized and dealt with at the onset. This point is that:

> (Resource) capacity can be judged only in light of the particular management objectives for a given area (system). These objectives must define what type of recreational opportunity or opportunities the area is going to provide. . . Without definite objectives, trying to manage any location for its (resource) capacity will be an exercise in futility.

There are a variety of ways of classifying objectives. The Federal Water Resource Council has proposed four different objectives for evaluating water resource development projects. They are:

> National Economic Development Regional Economic Development Quality of Life

Environmental Quality

These objectives are broad enough to encompass most objectives defined by other people. For example, the objective term "Satisfactory Visitor Experience" is really a part of the term "Quality of Life". The objective of adequately treating and disposing of the waste generated due to recreational use of a system is an integral part of the objectives of "Environmental Quality". However, the resource capacity of a system can not be evaluated until the objective has been defined. A second point that should be taken into consideration is that these objectives are not independent but are dependent upon each other in a complicated manner. For example, selected camp sites based on a satisfactory visitor experience may be unsuitable locations for conventional septic tank installations. Unsuitable septic tank conditions have been found to exist in many areas in the Ozark mountains because of unsuitable soil types, shallow soil cover, and/ or fractured bedrock.

Secondly, most sewage treatment facilities are adversely affected by variations in flow. Often days and even weeks are required for treatment plants to adjust to large variations in visitor use on weekends, holidays, and seasonal variation. Lucas points out that:

> No one recreation supplier need feel obliged to meet all demands. Each public agency could aim clearly at a part of the demand, and refer people who want something more, less or different to a more appropriate area.

The logical objective for the Buffalo National River is to maintain its relatively high water quality and asthetic value that results from its terrain, caves, waterfalls, pioneer cabins, spectacular rock formations, trees, plants, flowers, birds, animals, fishes, and native appeal. In order to quantify the resource capacity of the Buffalo National River to maintain this multi-faceted objective, the interactions among all of the above factors must be known. This is, of course, impossible with the present state of the art of environmental research. However, as a starting point one might assume that if the water quality of the river is maintained all of the other factors will remain relatively stable. Such is not the case however. It must be admitted that any use of an ecosystem will result in some change. What must be determined is the character of the change that will occur for a given type and amount of use. Associated with this, of course, is the nature of the change with respect to longevity (i.e., permanent or temporary change). For example, the water quality may degrade substantially during heavy summer use yet recover adequately during the winter. However, plant and wildlife disturbance and destruction as a result of heavy summer use will recover little if at all during the winter rest period.

For these reasons plant and wildlife monitoring should be done as well as water quality so as to allow correlation with visitor use. A disturbance indices could then be developed that would in essence display, qualitatively at least, the combined effect of visitor use on the ecosystem and through use of a weighting system, areas of criticality could be pinpointed.

AUTOMATIC MONITORING OF WATER QUALITY DATA (OWRT Funding)

Principal Investigator: R. W. Raible Evaluation of digital ground-based, water quality monitoring systems could not be accomplished during the designated test period (summer 1973), because of the remoteness of the area. While equipment operation was not feasible because of the logistical problems encountered, "manual" valuable data were obtained during a peak use period. Data and analyses are presented in Appendix B. This information was not available for inclusion in the preliminary water quality survey (4).

138

ICHTHYOFAUNA STUDY (OWRT Funding)

Principal Investigators: D. A. Becker and R. V. Kilambi Student Assistants: Michael R. Geihsler and Jerry Y. Niederkorn

INTRODUCTION

In 1972, Congress declared the Buffalo River in northern Arkansas a National River. Prior to this declaration, no impact statement of the area had been made by any research organization. The present investigation was initiated to establish baseline information for future investigations with the following objectives:

1. A qualitative and quantitative study of the distribution and abundance of fishes at selected areas with special emphasis on species diversity. The helminth and crustacean parasites of the smallmouth bass <u>Micropterus</u> <u>dolomieui</u> Lacepede will also be studied relative to species diversity.

2. A study of the seasonal and annual growth cycles, age composition, mortality rates, and maximum attainable size and age of the smallmouth bass and the effects of parasitism on these parameters.

3. Correlation of the above parameters with the physicochemical data to delineate the effects of water quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fishes were collected from selected upstream, midstream, and downstream sites by electrofishing and seining.

Smallmouth bass were examined alive in the field, their ectoparasites and viscera preserved, selected morphometric measurements made, scale samples taken, and sex determined. All other fishes were identified, enumerated, and returned to the river.

The physicochemical parameters of air and water temperatures, dissolved oxygen, pH, and stream velocity were measured.

Endoparasite recovery from the preserved viscera of smallmouth bass is being carried out in the laboratory.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 35 summarizes the number of species collected from pools and riffles at the three selected collection sites. These data will be utilized to analyze species diversity, and correlations with the water quality parameters monitored (Table II) will be made.

Table III indicates the number of smallmouth bass collected from which the helminth and crustacean parasites are being recovered. These parasites will be processed for identification and the identification data will be used in species diversity analyses and correlated with water quality parameters monitored.

Smallmouth bass scale samples are being prepared for age determinations and growth analyses. These data will be evaluated with relation to water quality parameters monitored. Helminth and crustacean parasites recovered from the fish will be utilized in the analyses of the effects of parasitism on age and growth of the hosts.

The results of the above analyses will serve as baseline information concerning the relative abundance of fish species and their diversity indices. Age and growth analyses of smallmouth bass will be evaluated in terms of the effects of parasitism on these parameters. The effects of water quality parameters monitored will provide basic information for future investigations.

QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE MONTHLY FISH DATA

FROM JANUARY 1974 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1975

	NUMBER OF FISHES									
	Upstro	eam on 1	Midst Stati	Midstream Station 2		tream on 3	<u> </u>	Total		
	Ponca, Ark.		Hasty	Hasty, Ark.		Ark.				
SPECIES	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Po	ol <u>Riffle</u>		
Ichthyomyzon castaneus Girard Chestnut lamprey	0	0	0	0	0	1		0 1		
Anguilla rostrata (LeSueur) American eel	0	0	1	0	1	0		2 0		
Lepisosteus osseus (Linnaeus) Longnose gar	0	0	1	0	2	0		3 0		
Campostoma anomalum (Rafinesque) Stoneroller	9	96	5	19	0	11	1	4 126		
Campostoma oligolepis Hubbs and Greene Largescale stoneroller	8	58	10	555	8	115	2	6 728		
Dionda nubila (Forbes) Ozark minnow	2	8 😑	45	107	26	6	7	3 121		
Hybopsis amblops (Rafinesque) Bigeye chub	41	0	32	69	8	1	8	1 70		
Hybopsis dissimilis (Kirtland) Streamline chub	0	0	0	5	• 0	15		0 20		
Nocomis biguttatus (Kirtland) Hornyhead chub	32	4	3	4	1	0	3	6 8		
Notropis boops Gilbert Bigeye shiner	5	9	149	153	113	37	26	7 199		
Notropis chrysocephalus (Rafinesque) Stripped shiner	1	0	21	2	7	0	2	9 2		
Notropis galacturus (Cope) Whitetail shiner	8	1	1	19	2	12	1	1 32		
Notropis greenei Hubbs and Ortenburger Wedgespot shiner	0	0	0	12	1	38		1 50		
Notropis ozarcanus Meek Ozark shiner	0	0	• 3	2	0	0	1	3 2		

141

QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE MONTHLY FISH DATA

FROM JANUARY 1974 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1975

	NUMBER OF FISHES									
	Upstr	eam	Midst	Midstream Station 2		tream	To	tal		
	Stati	on 1.	Stati			on 3				
	Ponca	, Ark.	Hasty	Hasty, Ark.		Ark.				
SPECIES	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle		
Notropis pilsbryi Fowler Duskystripe shiner	41	126	21	627	47	99	109	852		
Notropis rubellus (Agassiz) Rosyface shiner	0	3	11	165	22	74	33	242		
Notropis telescopus (Cope) Telescope shiner	9	17	70	192	12	0	91	209		
Notropis whipplei (Girard) Steelcolor shiner	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3		
Pimephales notatus (Rafinesque) Bluntnose minnow	3	0	41	3	21	1	65	4		
Hypentelium nigricans (LeSueur) Northern hog sucker	6	1	0	2	2	1	8	4		
Moxostoma dequesnei (LeSueur) Black redhorse	2	0	12	3	2	0	16	3		
Moxostoma erythrurum (Rafinesque) Golden redhorse	3	0	8	0	8	0	19	0		
Ictalurus melas (Rafinesque) Black bullhead	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	د. در 0		
Ictalurus natalis (LeSueur) Yellow bullhead	11	1	0	0	4	0	15	1		
Noturus albater Taylor Ozark madtom	0	71	1	90	0	148	1	309		
Noturus exilis Nelson Slender Madtom	1	39	1	26	0	2	2	67		
Noturus flavater Taylor Checkered madtom	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2		
Pylodictis olivaris (Rafinesque) Flathead catfish	0	0	3	0	3	0	6	0		

-

QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE MONTHLY FISH DATA

FROM JANUARY 1974 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1975

	NUMBER OF FISHES									
	Upstr Stati	ream Ion 1	Midst Stati	Midstream Station 2 Hasty, Ark.		stream ion 3	To	otal		
	Ponca	a, Ark.	Hasty			, Ark.				
SPECIES	Pool	Riffle	Pool	<u>Riffle</u>	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle		
Fundulus <u>catenatus</u> (Storer) Northern studfish	3	0	2	2	4	1	9	3		
Fundulus olivaceus (Storer) Blackspotted topminnow	0	1	9	0	7	0	16	1		
Labidesthes sicculus (Cope) Brook silverside	0	0	5	0	2	0	7	0		
Ambloplites rupestris (Rafinesque) Rock bass	16	0	30	7	23	0	69	7		
Lepomis cyanellus Rafinesque Green sunfish	5	0	56	0	26	1	87	1		
Lepomis macrochirus Rafinesque Bluegill	7	0	4	0	44	1	55	1		
Lepomis megalotis (Rafinesque) Longear sunfish	55	1	154	36	157	4	366	41		
Micropterus dolomieui Lacepede Smallmouth bass	8	1	34	13	18	2	60	16		
Micropterus punctulatus (Rafinesque) Spotted bass	0	0	4	0	18	0	22	0		
Micropterus salmoides (Lacepede) Largemouth bass	0	0	8	0	21	0	29	0		
Etheostama blennioides Rafinesque Greenside darter	1	19	13	15	2	= 27	16	61		
Etheostoma caeruleum Storer Rainbow darter	1	419	4	786	0	386	5	1591		
Etheostoma euzonum (Hubbs and Black) Arkansas saddled darter	0	8	0	95	0	69	0	172		
Etheostoma juliae Meek Yoke darter	0	908	0	1400	0	2076	0	4384		

143

 \mathbf{X}^{\prime}

QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE MONTHLY FISH DATA

FROM JANUARY 1974 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1975

	NUMBER OF FISHES									
	Upstream Station 1 Bonga Ark		Mids Stat Hast	Midstream Station 2 Hasty Ark		stream ion 3 Ark	To	Total		
SPECIES	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle		
Etheostoma punctulatum (Agassiz) Stippled darter	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1		
Etheostoma stigmaeum (Jordan) Speckled darter	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Etheostoma zonale (Cope) Banded darter	0	36	3	21	0	250	3	307		
Percina caprodes (Rafinesque) Logperch	2	0	1	2	0	2	3	4		
Percina evides (Jordan and Copeland) Gilt darter	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	17		
Cottus bairdi Girard Mottled sculpin	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		
Cottus carolinae (Gill) Banded sculpin	2	66	4	92	5	21	11	179		
TOTAL SPECIES	17	22	30	25	29	21	74	68		
TOTAL INDIVIDUALS	284	1896	770	4524	619	3422	1673	9842		

- -

13	2 2		TABLE 36	PH	YSICOCHEMIC	CAL DATA				
<u>ទា</u>	TATION Upstream	AIR T Pool	Riffle	WATER Pool	TEMP ^O C Riffle	<u>D.0.</u> Pool	PPM. Riffle	Pool	I Riffle	WATER VELOCITY (CM/S Riffle
	Ponca, Ark.		2 J						1	5
	Jan. 19, 1974	20.0	20.0	11.5	11.5	14.6	14.6	7.7	7.7	20 ²⁰
	Feb. 16, 1974	11.0	11.0	8.0	8.0	12.2	12.2	7.7	7.7	2.
2	Mar. 11, 1974	15.0	15.0	12.5	12.5	10.4	10.4	7.2	7.2	158.5
4 1	Apr. 6, 1974	16.0	16.0	11.6	11.6	10.8	10.8	7.5	7.5	104.8
3	May 23, 1974	27.0	27.0	21.0	21.0	9.6	9.6	7.4	7.4	150.8
	June 17, 1974	23.0	23.0	20.0	20.0	9.5	9.6	7.4	7.4	126.5
3	July 17, 1974	29.0	29.0	28.5	28.5	7.6	8.0	7.4	7.4	57.2
	Aug. 13, 1974		33.0		26.0		8.5		7.5	63.3
8	Sep. 14, 1974		29.0		19.0		9.5		7.3	125.7
	Oct. 26, 1974	27.0	27.0	16.5	16.5	10.0	10.0	7.4	7.4	75.5
2	Nov. 23, 1974	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	11.8	11.8	7.5	7.5	171.7
•	Dec. 14, 1974	6.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	12.6	12.6	. 7.5	7.5	167.7
	Jan. 24, 1975	11.0	11.0	5.0	5.0	12.6	12.6	7.5	7.5	99.3
34 F	Feb. 14, 1975	10.0	10.0	7.0	7.0	9.4	9.4	7.3	7.3	154.3

÷

22.0

1 -

 \mathbf{Y}

1

а 1. 1.

145

 \mathbf{t}

PHYSICOCHEMICAL DATA (CONTINUED)

:

3

3 :

с. 4

SIV	ATION Midstream Station 2	AIR 1 Pool	<u>EMP</u> ^O C <u>Riffle</u>	WATER (Pool	Riffle	<u>D.O.</u> Pool	PPM. Riffle	Pool 1	Riffle	WATER VELOCITY Riffle	(CM/SEC)
	Hasty, Ark.	12.0	12.0	10.5	10 5	127	127	77			
	Eab 16 1974	12.0	3.0	10.5 6 0	6.0	10.3	10.3	7.7	7.7	89.3	
j	Mar. 11, 1974	15.0	ः ।५.५	14.5	14.5	9.5	9.5	7.5	7.5	118.3	17
	Apr. 6, 1974	14.0	14.0	10.0	11.0	10.2	10.6	7.6	7.5	107.8	
	May 23, 1974	19.0	19.0	20.0	20.0					82.3	
	June 17, 1974	22.0	18.0	18.5	18.5	8.3	8.4	7.5	7.5	119.1	
21	July 17, 1974	19.5	28.5	26.0	27.0	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.6	51.0	÷.
	Aug. 13, 1974	18.0	29.0	23.0	26.0	7.5	8.3	7.5	7.8	52.8	
	Sep. 14, 1974	11.5	16.0	17.5	17.5	8.0	8.4	7.6	7.5	49.3	
	Oct. 26, 1974	9.0	9.0	14.0	13.5	9.0	9.6	7.4	7.6	80.8	
	Nov. 23, 1974	7.0	7.0	11.0 🗢	11.0	10.8	11.2	7.5	7.4	71.8	
	: Dec. 14, 1974	2.0	2.0	5.0	5.0	10.2	11.8	7.4	7.5	114.3	
	Jan. 24, 1975	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	12.1	11.8	7.5	7.4	115.3	
	Feb. 14, 1975	11.0	11.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	7.5	7.5	120.8	

\$

146

94 J

PHYSICOCHEMICAL DATA (CONTINUED)

;

STA I	<u>PION</u> Downstream Station 3	AIR 1 Pool	Riffle	WATER Pool	TEMP C Riffle	<u>D.0.</u> Pool	PPM. Riffle	Pool	eH Riffle	WATER VELOCITY Riffle	(CM/S
I	Rush, Ark.										
	Jan. 18, 1974	20.5	20.5	10.9	10.9	15.6	15.5	7.7	7.7		
	Feb. 15, 1974	5.0	5.0		8.6	11.9	11.2	7.7	7.7	1115.9	
	Mar. 10, 1974	22.0	23.0	14.2	16.0	10.2	9.6	7.8	7.8	128.0	
1.00	Apr. 5, 1974	16.0	16.5	12.5	13.5	10.9	11.2	7.6	7.6	153.8	- 18
	May 22, 1974	26.0	26.0	20.0	23.8	8.6	9.0	7.5	7.5	155.1	
	June 17, 1974	22.0	22.0	20.0	20.8	8.5	8.7	7.5	7.6	178.0	
÷	July 17, 1974	29.5	34.0	28.5	29.3	7.7	8.7	8.0	7.8	127.2	
1	Aug. 13, 1974	28.0	28.0	25.0	26.0	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.5	102.8	
1	Sep. 14, 1974	17.0	17.0	20.0	21.0	8.0	8.3	7.3	7.2	120.7	
	Oct. 26, 1974	20.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	9.2	9.6	7.6	7.6	125.3	
	Nov. 23, 1974	19.0	19.0	12.0	12.0	10.4	12.2	7.5	7.5	148.2	
1	Dec. 14, 1974	12.0	12.0	6.0	6.0	11.9	12.2	7.5	7.5	148.2	
0 - 8	Jan. 24, 1975	11.0	11.0	5.0	5.0	12.3	12.8	7.6	7.7	118.0	
2.1	Feb. 14, 1975	16.0	16.0	8.0	8.0	12.2	12.6	7.5	7.5	166.0	

147

٩.

Table 37. Smallmouth Bass Collections.

SMALLMOUTH BASS COLLECTIONS

Collection Stations

<u>Date</u> 1974	Rush	Hasty	Ponca	Total
Jan.	2	4	1	7
Feb.	2	0	0	2
Mar.	3	7	2	12
Apr. 6	1	8	1	10
Apr. 26	··· _	7	-	7
May 23	1	6	2	9
May 28	- II	11	-	11
June	6	5	-	11
July	5	4	-	9
Aug.	l	5	1	7
Sept.	4	4	2	10
Oct.	5	5	2	12
Nov.	5	5	- 13	10
Dec.	5	5	1	11
Total	40	76	12	128

149

١

. .

1.4.4.

C ... 11"

الوجاء فيحجب فالجمعين ساريطين وفوجان المتحادين وأريان المراجا السوم المانان والمنوا المراجر والمحاج واركان الأرجان

A 14 14

in a charged ward

Section access

By: Paul D. Kittle, Research Assistant (Principal Investigator: Eugene H. Schmitz)

Introduction

In many aquatic habitats benthic macroinvertebrates play an important role in food chains which lead to products of interest to man or are essential for the orderly function of aquatic ecosystems. Benthic macroinvertebrates, then, are especially significant in the trophic structure of stream ecosystems (Koslucher and Minshall, (23)). Gaufin and Tarzwell (24) state that little reliance can be placed upon the occurrence of a particular species at a given location and that few definite statements can be made concerning the indicator values of specific aquatic animals; however, Gaufin and Tarzwell also assert that "...definite conclusions can be formulated as to the value of certain associations or populations of aquatic invertebrates for indicating the severity and extent of pollution and the degree of stream recovery."

Schmitz (25) reported the results of a study of the shallow-water benthic macroinvertebrates at seven stations along the Buffalo River and one station on the Little Buffalo River from May 22 through June 25, 1973. The purpose of the present report is to contribute further to our knowledge of the benthic macroinvertebrates of the Buffalo National River; the results of a continuation of the 1973 study conducted on June 24 and 25, 1974, are reported here. Schmitz (26) has presented a preliminary report on the present investigation.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Eugene Schmitz for his guidance and assistance during this study. The assistance of Mr. John McCraw in the field and laboratory and the assistance of Mr. Edgar Short in the field are gratefully acknowledged.

Methods and Materials

The Buffalo National River and Little Buffalo River, Arkansas, were sampled for shallow-water benthic macroinvertebrates on June 24 and 25, 1974. Organisms were collected from eight sampling stations as described by Schmitz (25) and as shown in Figure 1.

Both a riffle and shallow pool habitat were sampled qualitatively at each station where possible. Qualitative sampling consisted of hand-picking organisms from submerged rocks and sticks, hand-netting flying adult forms (e.g. damselflies), and disturbing the substrate in front of a dip net so that dislodged organisms were carried into the net by the current. Numbers of individuals collected during qualitative sampling (Table A4) are recorded for reference purposes only. These numbers may be

indicative of availability in terms of collecting methods used, but they should not be interpreted as indices of relative abundance.

Quantitative sampling was conducted with a Surber squarefoot bottom sampler, and sampling was limited to riffles, deep runs, or faster-flowing shorelines of pools. Six square-foot samples were taken at each station and the samples were subsequently pooled. Samples were taken by forcing the square-foot frame of the Surber sampler into the substrate so that the opening of the net faced upstream. The substrate within the frame was then disturbed by washing and stirring the rocks and gravel. The dislodged organisms were carried into the net by the current. The contents of the net were emptied into a white enamel pan and the organisms were separated from debris by hand-picking with forceps.

Small organisms were preserved in 70% ethyl alcohol and larger specimens (e.g. crayfishes and mussels) were preserved in 5% formalin. Samples were transported to the laboratory, sorted by stations and major taxonomic categories, and identified to the lowest taxon possible.

<u>Results</u> and Discussion

Results are reported on a station-by-station basis. Detailed descriptions of the stations are presented by Schmitz (25). Changes in the habitats of the sampling stations which

occurred during the 1973-1974 interim are discussed. Results of the 1974 qualitative samples are presented in Table 38 and Appendix A4. Quantitative (numerical) results for 1974 are presented in Table 2, Figure 37; quantitative results from 1973 also are presented in Figure 37.

<u>BR-1-State Highway 21 Bridge, Boxley</u>. It was discovered that extensive bulldozing activity had occurred in the 1973 sampling area during the preceding year; therefore, the station was moved downstream about 100 feet to an area where it appeared that no direct disturbance had occurred. The river was almost dry on June 25, and a very shallow, slow-flowing riffle was sampled. A long pool below this riffle was sampled qualitatively.

One of the least diverse faunas was found at BR-1; only 17 taxa were collected (Table 38; Appendix A4). Schmitz (25) reported a moderately diverse fauna for this site. The reduction in diversity from 1973 to 1974 may be due in large part to the bulldozing activity mentioned above. The following major groups were recorded:

> Hirudinea Decapoda Ephemeroptera Odonata Plecoptera

Coleoptera Trichoptera Diptera Hydracarina Gastropoda

Two major taxa collected during the present study, Hirudinea and Hydracarina, were not recorded for this site during the 1973 survey, while three major groups, Oligochaeta, Isopoda, and Neuroptera

(=Megaloptera), recorded in the 1973 survey were not collected from this station during the present study. BR-1 was the only station from which Hirudinea, <u>Bidessus</u> sp. (Coleoptera), and trichopteran pupae were taken (Table38). As in the 1973 study (Schmitz, (25)), Ephemeroptera were dominant in terms of diversity and seven genera were recorded (Table 38).

Station 1 was a moderately productive site in quantitative (numerical) terms, 15.0 organisms/ft.² being recorded (Table 39; Figure 37). As in the 1973 survey (Schmitz, (25)), Ephemeroptera were dominant numerically and accounted for 67% of the total quantitative sample (Table 39).

<u>BR-2-Confluence with Mill Creek, Pruitt</u>. Sampling was conducted along a 50-yard stretch below the confluence of Mill Creek and the Buffalo River. The water level was lower than during the 1973 sampling period, and extensive beds of water willow, <u>Justicia americana</u>, were present.

BR-2 supported the most diverse fauna and 32 taxa were recorded (Table 38; Appendix A4). The fauna at this station was also one of the most diverse during the 1973 study (Schmitz, (25)). The following major groups were noted:

Oligochaeta	Coleoptera
Decapoda	Trichoptera
Ephemeroptera	Diptera
Odonata	Gastropoda
Plecoptera	Pelecypoda
Neurontera	

Pelecypoda were not recorded during the 1973 survey. This station was the only site from which <u>Rhithrogena</u> sp. (Ephemeroptera), adult plecopterans, and adult trichopterans were collected (Table 38). <u>Neurocordulia</u> sp. and <u>Gomphus</u> sp. (Odonata), two taxa not recorded during the 1973 survey, were taken only from this station. In terms of diversity, Ephemeroptera were dominant and eight genera were collected; the Odonata were sub-dominant, six genera being recorded (Table 38).

Relative to other stations, BR-2 was a very productive station quantitatively and 20.6 organisms/ft.² were recorded (Table 39; Figure 37). Numerically, Schmitz (25) reported that Ephemeroptera and Diptera were the two most common groups collected during the 1973 study. These two groups also were numerically dominant during 1974. Ephemeroptera and Diptera accounted for 48% and 25% of the total, respectively (Table 39).

<u>BR-3-State Highway 7 Bridge, Jasper</u>. This station is located on the Little Buffalo River, and the 1973 sampling area was located just upstream from the bridge. Bulldozing activity for the construction of a new bridge adjacent to the old bridge had completely altered the 1973 site; therefore, a new sampling site was chosen about 150 feet downstream from the present bridge. Slow-flowing shallow areas along the shore of a deep run were sampled.

Qualitatively, BR-3 supported a fauna low in variety of

organisms, and only 19 taxa were obtained at this site (Table 38; Appendix A4). Schmitz (25) reported that this sampling station supported one of the most diverse faunas during the 1973 survey. The change from a fauna of high diversity in 1973 to one of low diversity in 1974 may be due in part to the bulldozing activity mentioned above and in part to the fact that the new sampling area which had to be chosen contained fewer microhabitats for benthic macroinvertebrate utilization. Major groups of benthos recorded were as follows:

TurbellariaNeuropteraOligochaetaColeopteraDecapodaTrichopteraEphemeropteraDipteraOdonataGastropodaPlecopteraPelecypoda

Three major taxa collected during the present study, Turbellaria, Oligochaeta, and Pelecypoda, were not recorded from this site in 1973. <u>Dugesia</u> sp. (Turbellaria) and <u>Anax</u> sp. (Odonata), organisms not found in the 1973 study, were collected only from this station (Table 38). As in the previous survey (Schmitz, (25)), <u>Tropisternus</u> sp. (Coleoptera) was recorded only from BR-3 (Table 38). In terms of diversity, no major group was clearly dominant. The Ephemeroptera were represented by four taxa, and the Odonata, Coleoptera, and Trichoptera each had three taxa represented (Table 38). Schmitz (1973) reported that the Ephemeroptera were clearly dominant, being represented by 12 genera.

15 6

Schmitz (25) noted that BR-3 was the most productive station quantitatively. This station was only moderately productive during the present study, and 17.6 organisms/ft.² were collected (Table 39; Figure 37). The reduction in quantity of organisms from 1973 to 1974 is probably due to a combination of bulldozing activity and the less desirable downstream sampling habitat selected. Co-dominant groups were the Ephemeroptera and Oligochaeta, accounting for 31% and 27% of the total, respectively (Table 39). Schmitz (25) reported that Ephemeroptera were clearly dominant quantitatively, accounting for 53% of the organ-The shift in abundance of Ephemeroptera (decrease) and isms. Oligochaeta (increase) from 1973 to 1974 may reflect the shift of sampling areas. The 1974 sampling habitat contained much more organic and sandy substrate than did the 1973 area; this organic and sandy habitat supported a substantial population of burrowing oligochaetes and such is not favored by most forms of ephemeropterans.

<u>BR-4-Low-water Bridge, Hasty</u>. Both quantitative and qualitative samples were collected from the shallow riffle area immediately downstream from the bridge. A pool and a backwater area just upstream from the bridge were qualitatively sampled. The water level was lower than during the 1973 sampling period, and some of the riffle area sampled during the 1973 survey was dry.

One of the most diverse faunas was found at BR-4, and 30

taxa were recorded (Table 38; Appendix A4). Schmitz (25) also recorded a diverse fauna from this site. The following major groups of benthic macroinvertebrates were represented:

Oligochaeta	Neuroptera
Amphipoda	Coleoptera
Decapoda	Trichoptera
Ephemeroptera	Diptera
Odonata	Gastropoda
Plecoptera	

Two major groups noted during the present study, Oligochaeta and Amphipoda, were not recorded from BR-4 in the 1973 study, while one major group, Pelecypoda, was not recorded from this station during the present study. <u>Polycentropus</u> sp. (Trichoptera) was only taken from BR-4 (Table 38). As Schmitz stated (25), Ephemeroptera were dominant in terms of diversity and eight genera were recorded (Table 38).

BR-4 and BR-5 were the two most productive stations during the present study, and 25.4 organisms/ft.² were enumerated from BR-4 (Table 39; Figure 37). Ephemeroptera were dominant numerically and accounted for 74% of the total quantitative sample (Table 39). Schmitz (25) reported that on June 15, 1973, Ephemeroptera were dominant while on June 24, 1973, Coleoptera were dominant and Ephemeroptera were sub-dominant.

<u>BR-5-Gravel Bar and Launch Area, Gilbert</u>. Sampling at this site was conducted at the riffle, deep run, and pool areas located at the upstream extremity of the gravel bar. Three quantitative samples were taken in a shallow riffle area and three were taken along shore of a deep run. One of the riffle areas sampled during the 1973 investigation was dry during the present study.

A moderately diverse fauna was collected at this site and 23 taxa were recorded (Table ³⁸; Appendix ^{A4}). The survey by Schmitz (²⁵) reported that BR-5 supported the least diverse fauna of any station. Major groups of benthos recorded were as follows:

Oligochaeta	Coleoptera
Decapoda	Trichoptera
Ephemeroptera	Diptera
Odonata	Hydracarina
Plecoptera	Gastropoda
Neuroptera	Pelecypoda

Two major taxa observed during the present study, Oligochaeta and and Hydracarina, were not recorded for this site in the 1973 survey, while two major groups, Hirudinea and Isopoda, recorded in the 1973 survey were not noted at this station during the present study. <u>Enochrus</u> sp. and <u>Helochares</u> sp. (Coleoptera) were taken from only this station (Table 38). In terms of diversity, no major group was clearly dominant. The Ephemeroptera and Coleoptera were each represented by four taxa, and the Odonata and Trichoptera were each represented by three taxa (Table 38). Schmitz (25) reported that Ephemeroptera were represented by six taxa during the 1973 study.

Station 5 and Station 4 were the two most productive stations during the present study, and 25.5 organisms/ft.² were collected

from BR-5 (Table 39; Figure 37). Ephemeroptera (43%) were dominant in numbers and Trichoptera (24%) and Diptera (20%) were subdominant (Table 39). Schmitz (25%) reported that on June 24, 1973, Ephemeroptera were dominant and Diptera were sub-dominant.

<u>BR-6—State Highway 14 Bridge</u>. The water level was lower than during the 1973 survey, and quantitative sampling consisted of three samples taken along shore of a deep run and three samples from a deep, swift riffle area on the north side of the river. The lower water level during the present study permitted access to a greater variety of microhabitats than during the 1973 study.

This station supported a moderately diverse fauna and 20 taxa were recorded (Table 38; Appendix A4). Major groups of benthic macroinvertebrates recorded from this site were as follows:

> Oligochaeta Decapoda Ephemeroptera Odonata Plecoptera Neuroptera

Coleoptera Trichoptera Diptera Gastropoda Pelecypoda

Representatives of three major taxa recorded during the present study, Neuroptera (=Megaloptera), Gastropoda, and Pelecypoda, were not collected during the 1973 study. No organisms were unique to this station (Table 38). Schmitz (25) reported that Ephemeroptera were the most diverse group in 1973 and were represented by nine genera. During the present study, no group was clearly dominant and only four genera of Ephemeroptera were collected (Table 38).

F1

BR-6 was one of the least productive sites and a density of only 11.1 organisms/ft.² was observed (Table 39; Figure 37). Schmitz (²⁵) reported that this station was the least productive of all locations. As was the case at several other stations, Ephemeroptera were the dominant organisms numerically, comprising 81% of the total quantitative sample (Table 39). Schmitz (²⁵) reported that Ephemeroptera constituted 72% of the total standing crop on June 24, 1973.

<u>BR-7-Buffalo Point</u>. This station, the former Buffalo River State Park, is characterized by a long pool with no riffle area present at the sampling site. The small riffle area reported by Schmitz (25) was dry during the present investigation. The substrate at this site is quite monotonous (Schmitz, (25)). Quantitative sampling consisted of a longitudinal transect of six samples along a 30-yard stretch of shoreline.

Faunal diversity at BR-7 was among the lowest of any station, and only 18 taxa were recorded (Table 38; Appendix A4). Representatives of the following major groups were found:

Oligochaeta	Coleoptera
Amphipoda	Trichoptera
Ephemeroptera	Diptera
Plecoptera	Gastropoda

Two major taxa noted during the present study, Oligochaeta and Amphipoda, were not recorded from this site during the 1973 survey, while two major groups, Decapoda and Neuroptera (=Megaloptera),

were not collected from this station during the present study. The absence of Decapoda from qualitative samples during the present study may be due to sampling error, as suggested by Schmitz (26). BR-7 was the only station from which <u>Potamanthus</u> sp. (Ephemeroptera) and <u>Hydrovatus</u> sp. (Coleoptera) were recorded (Table 38). Ephemeroptera and Coleoptera were the most diverse orders, exhibiting six and five genera, respectively (Table 38). Schmitz (25) reported that these two groups also were the most diverse during the 1973 study.

Station 7 was the least productive of all sites in quantitative terms and only 9.9 organisms/ft.² were enumerated (Table 39; Figure 37). Schmitz (25) reported that this site was among the poorest in productivity. As in the 1973 survey, Ephemeroptera were dominant in numbers and accounted for 74% of the total quantitative sample (Table 39).

<u>BR-8-Clabber Creek Shoals, Rush</u>. This sampling site had changed little since the 1973 survey. Quantitative sampling consisted of a longitudinal transect of three samples and a horizontal transect of three samples in the exposed riffle area just below the confluence of Clabber Creek. The pool just above the riffle area was qualitatively sampled.

A moderately diverse benthic macroinvertebrate community was found at Station 8, and 24 taxa were collected (Table 38; Appendix A4). Schmitz (25) also reported a moderately diverse

fauna from this site. Major groups of benthos recorded were:

Oligochaeta Ephemeroptera Odonata Plecoptera Neuroptera Coleoptera Trichoptera Diptera Hydracarina Gastropoda Pelecypoda

Two major groups collected during the present study, Oligochaeta and Hydracarina, were not recorded at BR-8 during the 1973 study, and one major group, Decapoda, was not collected during the present investigation. Schmitz (26) suggested that the absence of Decapoda may be due to sampling error. BR-8 was the only station from which <u>Paraleptophlebia</u> sp. (Ephemeroptera) was recorded (Table 38). Ephemeroptera were the most diverse group and six genera were recorded (Table 38). Four genera of Odonata and three taxa each of Trichoptera and Diptera also were collected (Table 38). The Ephemeroptera also were the most diverse group in the survey of the previous year (Schmitz, (25)).

This station supported a moderate standing crop of 16.2 organisms/ft.² (Table 39; Figure 37). This site was the second most productive area during the 1973 survey (Schmitz, (25)). The Ephemeroptera and Trichoptera were dominant numerically, accounting for 41% and 39% of the total, respectively (Table 39). Schmitz (25) reported that Ephemeroptera were clearly dominant and Trichoptera were a relatively minor group.

General Observations and Comments. The Ephemeroptera were

the most diverse group at most stations during the 1973 survey, while Coleoptera and Trichoptera were occasionally sub-dominant (Schmitz, (25)). As noted by Schmitz (26), Ephemeroptera also presented the greatest diversity during the 1974 survey. Other groups which demonstrated considerable diversity during the present study included Odonata, Coleoptera, Trichoptera, and Diptera. BR-2, BR-3, and BR-4 exhibited the most diverse faunas in 1973 (Schmitz, (25)), while BR-2 and BR-4 supported the most diverse benthic communities during the present survey. The reduction in diversity at BR-3 from 1973-1974 may be due to the bulldozing activity and change in sampling area discussed above.

Although Coleoptera were dominant numerically at BR-4 during the 1973 survey, Ephemeroptera were dominant at all other stations and Diptera were sometimes a sub-dominant group (Schmitz, (25)). During the present study, Ephemeroptera were dominant at all stations except BR-3 and BR-8, where Oligochaeta and Trichoptera were co-dominant, respectively. Numerically sub-dominant groups in 1974 included Diptera, Oligochaeta, and Trichoptera. As noted by Schmitz (26), the great majority of ephemeropteran nymphs taken were early instars and "...therefore, the standing crop biomass contributed by Ephemeroptera could not be interpreted to be as great as that contributed by certain other groups characterized by lower densities and diversity..." Figure 37 demonstrates that, in general, the relative abundance of standing crops at the

various stations from 1973-1974 remained somewhat constant.

Schmitz (25) reported that the Buffalo River supported an extremely low standing crop of benthic macroinvertebrates during the 1973 study period. Although Figure 37 demonstrates that standing crops during the present study were considerably higher than in 1973, these numbers still indicate a relatively low standing crop during the sampling period. As mentioned by Schmitz (26), the 1973 sampling dates immediately followed the scouring effects of flooding and fluctuating water levels, while the present sampling period occurred at least two weeks after significant rainfall. Whether this difference in flooding accounts for the observed differences in standing crops from 1973-1974 is not known.

Thirteen new taxa were taken in 1974 which were not recorded in 1973; however, most benthic forms recorded in 1974 were also collected in 1973 (Schmitz, (26)). Thus, it appears that the shortterm summer surveys have served their purposes, and if more extensive knowledge of the benthic fauna is needed, more intensive work throughout the year should be conducted (Schmitz, (26)).



	BR-1	BR-2	BR-3	BR-4	BR-5	BR-6	BR-7	BR-8
TURBELLARIA								
***Dugesia sp.			Х					
OLIGOCHAETA		Х	Х	Х	Х	х	X**	X**
HIRUDINEA	Х							
AMPHIPODA								
*Hyalella azteca				. X			Х	
DECAPODA								
Orconectes sp.	Х	x	X	X	х	х		
EPHEMEROPTERA								
Isonvchia sp.		x		х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Baetis sp.	Х	Х	Х	х	X**			
**Centroptilum sp.	Х	x	х	х	Х			Х
Pseudocloeon sp.	Х	X**		X**				X**
Heptagenia sp.	Х			х			X**	
Rhithrogena sp.		х						
Stenonema sp.	X**	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Paraleptophlebia sp.								Х
Ephemerella sp.	Х	X					Х	
Tricorythodes sp.		X**		х		х		
Caenis sp.	X**		X**	X				
**Potamanthus sp.							х	
Ephoron sp.						х	х	Х
unidentified adults		x			х			
ODONATA								
***Anax sp.			Х					
*Gomphus sp. (adult)		х						
*Hagenius sp.				Х		Х		X**
*Macromia sp.		х	2.5	х	X			
*Neurocordulia sp. (adult)		Х						

Table 38. Qualitative distribution of shallow-water benthic macroinvertebrates at selected sampling sites on the Buffalo National River, June 24 and 25, 1974.

	BR-1	BR-2	BR-3	BR-4	BR-5	BR-6	BR-7	BR-8
ODONATA (continued)				3				
Hetaerina sp. (nymph)					х	x		x
(adult)		Х				x		21
Argia sp. (adult)	х	Х		X	х			x
*Enallagma sp. (nymph)			х					x
(adult)		x =	х	х				
PLECOPTERA								
Acroneuria sp.		Х				X**		х
Neoperla sp.		Χ**		х	X**		X**	
Perlesta sp.	х	х	х					
unidentified adults		Х						
NEUROPTERA(=Megaloptera)								
**Chaulioides sp.				х	х			
<u>Corydalus</u> sp.		х	X**	х		х		х
COLEOPTERA								
* <u>Bidessus</u> sp.	х							
<u>Hydrovatus</u> sp.							х	
Enochrus sp.					х			
* <u>Helochares</u> sp.					х			
* <u>Hydrochus</u> sp.							х	Х
<u>Tropisternus</u> sp.			Х					
Lutrochus sp.		Х		Х	X**	X**	х	
<u>Psephenus</u> sp. (larva)	х	X	Х					
(adult)	х	Х		X		63		
* <u>Dubiraphia</u> sp.		Х					х	
<u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (larva)		x	X**					X**
(adult)		X	X**			x	х	Х
TRICHOPTERA sp. (adult)				Х	Х	х		
<u>Chimarra</u> sp.		х		X**	X**			
Table 38. (continued).	4.							

· 🔲 • 🛛

	BR-1	BR-2	BR-3	BR-4	BR-5	BR-6	BR-7	BR-8
TRICHOPTERA (continued)								
Psychomyiidae								
*unidentified larva				Х		Х		Х
***Polycentropus sp.				Х				
Cheumatopsyche sp.	х	х	x	х	х	х	X**	Х
Hydropsyche sp.		x	x	X**	x	x	X**	X**
unidentified larva		x	x					
unidentified pupa	х							
unidentified adult		x						
DIPTERA								
Chironomidae (larva)	x	x	X **	x	X **	x	X **	х
	X **		 X**	 X **		x	46	
(pupa) Simuliidao	A	v	21	Y	V**	N V		V **
SIMULIUde Tabanidaa		A V		V 4-4- V	A	Δ -		V
~labanituae	1 .	Λ		V~~				A ^ ^
*HYDRACARINA	X**				X			X**
GASTROPODA	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х
PELECYPODA		Х	Х		Х	х		Х

Table 38. (continued).

* not recorded in 1973 survey
** taken only in quantitative samples
*** both of the above

169

	BR-1	BR-2	BR-3	BR-4	BR-5	BR-6	BR-7	BR-8
TURBELLARIA			0.3					
OLIGOCHAETA		0.5	4.8		1.2	0.7	0.3	0.7
DECAPODA		0.2	0.2					
EPHEMEROPTERA	10.0	9.8	5.5	18.8	11.0	9.0	7.3	6.7
PLECOPTERA		0.2			0.2	0.2	0.2	
ODONATA			0.3					0.2
NEUROPTERA		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2			
COLEOPTERA		2.2	1.8	0.2	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.8
TRICHOPTERA	2.0	2.3	1.5	1.7	6.2	0.5	1.5	6.3
DIPTERA	2.8	5.2	1.7	4.5	5.2		0.3	0.8
HYDRACARINA	0.2							
GASTROPODA			1.3		0.7			
PELECYPODA								0.2
(TOTAL ORGANISMS/FT. ²)	15.0	20.6	17.6	25.4	25.5	11.1	9.9	16.2
Table 39. Standing crops o (organisms/ft. ²)	f major ta: •	ka repre	sented	during Ju	ne 24-25	, 1974,	sampling	period

170;
GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

(See each section for specific conclusions.)

An analysis of the results of water samples on the Buffalo National River to date indicates that, with one exception, the water quality in the river is good. The one exception is the possible fecal contamination present in the river as indicated by high fecal coliform concentrations. Human fecal contamination can be caused by many different factors including:

1. Direct body contact - recreational use of the river

2. Improper or inadequate sewage treatment facilities (including improper septic tank and pit toilet installations)

3. The absence of sanitary facilities in remote areas

The problem of high fecal bacteria is the concern of one phase of the research to be conducted during FY 76.

Because rain showers were experienced throughout the watershed prior to and during the 1973 study, it is likely that the heterogeneous pattern observed for these constituents is produced by these constituents entering the river in surface runoff. The homogeneous pattern observed during the 1974 study may simply indicate that the river is approaching base flow conditions with essentially no runoff component.

The trends of element concentrations in the water and bottom sediment along the river correspond with rock type changes and abundance of the rock types in the river valley and mineralization. Unique sediments from tributaries are quickly diluted by mainstream sediments. However, perhaps attention should be given to ensuring stabilization of ore and tailings piles in the old lead and zinc mining areas in order to minimize pollution of the Buffalo River sediments. Water composition is also affected by flow which has a seasonal fluctuation. During low flow (August) the major element content of the water increases because of lack of dilution by rain. The trace element concentrations do not correlate with season. Suspended sediment element concentrations (measured during non-storm periods) are very low. Suspended sediment element concentration levels are generally less than those for the water, except in the case of Fe which is approximately 10 times more abundant in the suspended sediments than in the water. Because of the narrow concentration range and very low element concentrations in the water, relationships between the sediments and water are not easily defined. However, the sediments from the Buffalo River can possibly be treated as a rough indicator of long term river water quality.

After a seasonal qualitative analysis of the algae there are certain patterns which have been discovered. Most populations are substrate specific, for instance, those epiphytic genera which occur only in the spring and summer when vascular plants are growing in the river. These epiphytic populations, when they occur, are more or less consistent along the length of the river. Other patterns are formed by genera which are seasonal because their growth is stimulated by temperature and light. There are also algae which occur exclusively in riffle or pool habitats. With all of these kinds of restrictions present, the total aspect of the river appears quite complex.

The standing crop of benthic macroinvertebrates during the 1974 study was considerably higher than in 1973. The 1973 sampling dates followed the effects of flooding and fluctuating water levels, while the 1974 sampling period occurred at least two weeks after significant rainfall. No conclusions can be drawn from this one-shot study. The general observations and comments made on p. 163-165 are as adequate as the data permits.

REFERENCES

- (1) <u>Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater</u>, 12th Edition, New York, American Public Health Association, 1965.
- (2) <u>Arkansas Water Quality Standards, Regulation No. 2, as amended</u>, Arkansas Dept. of Pollution Control and Ecology, September, 1973.
- (3) Geldreich, E. E. and Kenner, B. A., "Concepts of Fecal Streptococci", Water Pollution Control Federation Journal, R336, August, 1969.
- (4) Nix, J. F. 1973, Intensive "one shot" survey in Preliminary Reconnaissance Water Quality Survey of the Buffalo National River: Water Resources Research Center, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Publication No. 19, p. 16-50.
- (5) Arkansas Geological Survey, Geologic Map of Arkansas, 1929.
- (6) Cleaves, Emery T., Andrew E. Godfrey, and Owen P. Bricker, Geochemical Balance of a Small Watershed and its Geomorphic Implications, <u>Geological Society of America Bulletin</u>, Vol. 81, 3015, 1970.
- (7) Wagner, G. H., Sodium, Potassium, Calcium, and Magnesium Content of Northwest Arkansas Rain Water in 1974, <u>Arkansas Water Resources</u> <u>Research Center Publication Number 29</u>, 1975.
- (8) Nix, J. F., Richard Meyer, Eugene Schmitz, Jimmy Bragg, and Richard Brown, Collection of Environmental Data on DeGray Lake and the Watershed of the Caddo River, Arkansas, report on contract number DACW39-75-C-0025, Waterways Experiment Station, U.S. Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg, Mississippi, 1975.
- (9) McKnight, Edwin T., 1935, Zinc and lead deposits of northern Arkansas: U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 853, 311 p.
- (10) Wagner, G. H., 1974, Trace eléments in the sediments of the Buffalo River, Arkansas: M.S. Thesis, University of Arkansas, 80 p.
- (11) Hawkes, H. E. and J. S. Webb, 1962, Geochemistry in mineral exploration: Harper and Row, New York, 415 p.
- (12) Steele, K. F. and G. H. Wagner, 1975, Trace metal relationships in bottom sediments of a fresh water stream - the Buffalo River, Arkansas. Jour. Sed. Pet., Vol. 45, p. 310-319.
- (13) Steele, K. F., 1975, Heavy metal geochemistry of bottom sediments from the Buffalo River. Transactions of Southwest National Science Conference, National Park Service, p. 171-178.
- (14) Turekian, K. K., 1972, Chemistry of the Earth: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, p. 84-85.

- (15) Nix, J., 1973, Intensive "one shot" survey <u>in</u> Preliminary Reconnaissance Water Quality Survey of the Buffalo National River: Water Resources Research Center, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Publication
- (16) Nix, J. and Tom Goodwin, 1970, The simultaneous extraction of Fe, Mn, Cu, Co, Ni, Cr, Pb and Zn from natural water for determination by atomic absorption spectroscopy: Atomic Absorption Newsletter, Vol. 9, p. 119-122.

No. 19, p. 16-50.

- (17) Meyer, R. L. 1974. Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Algae, with Associated Parameters along the Buffalo River, Arkansas, <u>in</u> Southwest Region Natural Science Conference, National Park Service. U.S. Dept. Interior. Santa Fe, N.M. 199-209.
- (18) Blum, John L. 1960. Algal Populations in Flowing Waters. In C. A. Tryon and R. T. Hartman (eds.) The Ecology of Algae. Special Publ. No. 2, Pymatunging Laboratory of Field Biology. University of Pittsburgh. Edwards Bros. Ann Arbor Mich. 11-21.
- (19) Dillard, G. E. 1969. The Benthic Algal Communities of a North Piedmont Stream. Nova Hedwigia. 17: 9-29
- (20) Ruttner, Franz. 1964. Fundamentals of Limnology. 3rd ed. University of Toronto Press. Toronto. 295 pp.
- (21) Meyer, R. L. 1971. A Study of Phytoplankton Dynamics of Lake Fayetteville as a Means of Assessing Water Quality. Water Resources Research Center Publ. No. 10. University of Arkansas, Fayetteville. 147 pp.
- (22) American Public Health Association. 1971. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Water. 13th ed. New York. 769 pp.
- (23) Koslucher, D. G., and G. W. Minshall. 1973. Food habits of some benthic invertebrates in a northern cool-desert stream (Deep Creek, Curlew Valley, Idaho-Utah). Trans. Amer. Microscop. Soc. 92(3): 441-452.
- (24) Gaufin, A. R., and C. M. Tarzwell. 1956. Aquatic macro-invertebrate communities as indicators of organic pollution in Lytle Creek. Sewage and Industrial Wastes 28(7): 906-924.
- (25) Schmitz, E. H. 1973. Bottom fauna description. p. 65-83; 108-147. In: R. E. Babcock and H. C. MacDonald (eds.). Preliminary reconnaissance water quality survey of the Buffalo National River. Water Resources Research Center, University of Arkansas. Publication No. 19.
- (26) . 1974. Shallow-water benthic macroinvertebrates
 of the Buffalo National River, 1974: A preliminary report. p. 210-217. In: Transactions of Southwest Region Natural Science
 Conference. National Park Service, Santa Fe, N.M.



STATIO	BNS	С	D	Ε	F	G	н	I	ل ا	FOR STATIONS
1	563.0	750.0	500.0	623.0	444.0	469.0	337.0	674.0	612.0	552.4
2	375.0	313.0	470.0	435.0	264.0	393.0	312.0	424.0	600.0	398.4
3	476.0	313.0	405.0	426.0	469.0	564.0	524.0	524.0	537.0	470•9 ₀
4	525.0	294.0	388.0	624.0	417.0	410.0	312.0	399.0	337.0	411.8
5	363.0	313.0	250.0	291.0	173.0	187.0	287.0	286.0	237.0	265.2
6	613.0	563.0	936.0	488.0	704.0	298.0	787.0	886.0	350.0	625.0
7	500.0	608.0	625.0	353.0	379.0	144.0	474.0	336.0	375.0	421.6
8	888.0	205.0	1620.0	874.0	2738.0	444.0	687.0	1574.0	762.0	1088.0
R	0.0	250.0	2940.0	0.0	4275.0	4624.0	4374.0	3125.0	4062.0	3378.6
С	3650.0	300.0	5750.0	0.0	5875.0	6199.0	8749.0	8750.0	5937.0	5651.3
_ P	0.0	0.0	0.0	560.0	0.0	486.0	462.0	335.0	475.0	463.6
ME AI	N FOR RIV 537.9 N FOR CRE	ER BY DA 419.9	TE: 649.3 ATE:	5]4.3	698,5	363 . 6	465.0	637.9	476.3	
	3650.0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	4345.0	560.0	5075.0	3769.7	4528.3	4070.0	3491.3	

TABLE AJ. Concentration of elements in bottom sediments. Mg in ppm.

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17,
R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

(continued)

STATIONS	ß	C	D	E	F	G	н	I	J F	OR STATIONS
1	0.9	1.7	1.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.9
2	0.1	1.5	0.9	0.0	0.4	4.8	8.0	1.0	1.6	1.4
3	0.5	≥ 1.5 %	1.4	0.0	0.7	0.9	2.0	1.0	0.5	1 • 1
4	0.3	1.3	0.7	0.0	2.8	0.1	0.5	1.3	5.0	0.9
5	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.1	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.1	0.7
6	0.6	1.7	0.9	0.0	0.4	2.3	0.8	1.8	0.7	1.1
7	0.6	0.5	1.3	0.0	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.8
8	2.2	5.0	3.3	0.0	7.7	1.4	0.8	9.5	0.7	3.8
R	0.0	34.0	19.6	0.0	15.2	17.2	18.5	35,5	24.1	23.4
С	1.8	6.3	4.6	0.0	6.0	3.3	5.0	8.0	0.7	4.5
Р	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	7.4	1.3	4.3	1.2	3.5
ME AN F	OR RIVER	R BY DATE:	1.3	-0.0	1.6	1.4	1.0	2.2	0.7	
MEAN F	OR CREEK	(S BY DATE		3.5	10.6	с э	l R a	15 0	Ω.7	
	=	r. v • 1	12 • 1	0.0	10.0	7 . J	0.5	ĮJe 7	0.01	
2 1 - 8	REPRESEN	NTS STATIC	NS SHO	WN IN FIGU	JR E 17.					
R - RU	ISH CREEK	<, c −	CLABBE	R CREEK,	P"- PON	CA CREEK.				
0.0 -	INDICATE	ES NO SAMP	LES CO	LLECTED.						

TABLE A' (CONTINUED) . CONCENTRATION OF CD IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

(continued)

\$

HILLIST AN EXTREM. TRACKING AND THAT IN A REPORT THAT

STATIONS	s ⁸ .	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	OR STATIONS
1	15.0	20.0	17.0	23.0	18.0	16.0	16.0	21.0	19.0	18.3
2	6.0	6.0	11.0	15.0	10.0	17.0	9.0	9.0	17.0	11.1
3	13.0	11+0	17.0	15.0	16.0	25.0	16.0	19.0	20.05	16.9
4	9.0	6.0	13.0	15.0	9.0	13.0	9.0	14.0	12.0	11.1
5	9.0	9.0	9.0	13.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	11.0	12.0	9.9
6	6.0	4.0	9.0	8.0	11.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	11.0	8.1
7	6.0	6.0	9.0	6.0	7.0	10.0	11.0	6.0	11.0	8.0
8	6.0	2.0	9.0	11.0	14.0	9.0	11.0	9.0	12.0	9.2
R	0.0	5.0	6.0	0.0	12.0	11.0	11.0	9.0	11.0	9.3
С	6.0	5.0	6.0	0.0	11.0	10.0	19.0	36.0	8.0	12.6
P	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	0.0	22.0	14.0	19.0	6.0	16.6
ME AN	FOR RIVE	ER BY DATI 8.0	E: 11.8	13.3	11.6	13.5	10.9	12.3	14.3	
ME AN	FOR CREE	EKS BY DA	TE: 6.0	22.0	11.5	14.3	14.7	21.3	8.3	

TABLE A] (CONTINUED) . CONCENTRATION OF NI IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

(continued)

المسمعا فلمستا فسنتا فستا فستا فستا فستا فستا فسنا فسنا وسنا فسنتا فسنتا فسنتا فسنت فسنت فسنت

TABLE AT (CONTINUED). CONCENTRATION OF CR IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

STATIONS	H	С	D	F	F	G	H a	I	 J≅	MEAN FOR STATION
1	7.0	23.0	18.0	14.0	12.0	26.0	15.0	18.0	17 0	367
5	3.0	3.0	12.0	14.0	11.0	29.0	7.0	10.0	12 0	10.7
3	9.0	10.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	30.0	10.0	15.0	12.0	11.2
4	5.0	5.0	3.0	11.0	11.0	17.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	10.2
5	6.0	12.0	12.0	10.0	10.0	15.0	7.0	10.0	9.01	10.1
6	3.0	$10 \cdot 0$	10.0	8.0	10.0	14.0	7.0	18.0	7 0	10+1
7	5.0	13.0	6.0	7.0	10.0	11.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	7.1
8	5.0	6.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	5.0	0.U	1.2
R	0.0	5.0	3.0	0.0	5.0	7.0	2.0		5.U	6.7
С	7.0	4.0	7.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	28.0	4.U	5.1
μ	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	16.0	10.0	8.0	12.0	7.4 12.2
MEAN F	OR RIVE	ER BY DATE 10.3	10.1	11.3	11.1	18.8	ે કે •ેટ	10.8	10.3	
MEAN F	OR CREE 7.0	EKS BY DAT 4.5	'E ∶ 5.0	15.0	4.5	9.0	4.7	15.3	6.3	21

1 - B REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

č.

(continued)

• *12*

1

HADLE AT	(CONTINUED).	CONCENTRATION	OF	NA	IN	BOTTOM	SEDIMENT	SAMPLES	(ppm).
----------	--------------	---------------	----	----	----	--------	----------	---------	--------

4	STATIONS	8	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	MEAN FOR STATIONS
	1	9.0	2.0	136.0	4.0	29.0	12.0	16.0	5.0	15.0	25.2
	2	2.0	2.0	127.0	3.0	5.0	26.0	4.0	5.U 4.0	12.0	25.3
	3	2.0	18.0	227.0	5.0	16.0	23.0	5.0	0.0	11.0	20.7
	4	2.0	59.0	49.0	2.0	15.0	12.0	9.0	9.0	21.0	36.2
	5	23.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	9.0	0.U	6.0	4.0	17.4
	6	2.0	2.0	9.0	2.0	7.0	7.0	3.0	6.0	12.0	7.0
	7	2.0	2.0	45.0	2.0	7.0	11+0	8.0	6.0	4.0	5.7
	8.00	2.0	2 0	-J.U	2.0	5.0	8.0	11.0	6.0	4.0	9.4
	ц Ц	۲.00 ۵.0 ⁹	2.0	5.0	2.0	15.0	4.0	25.0	1.0	8.0	7.1
	n n	0.0	2.0	45.0	0.0	18.0	12.0	24.0	5.0	14.0	17.1
	C R	20.0	2.0	36.0	0.0	30.0	19.0	30.0	61.0	19.0	27)
•	ρ 	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	12.0	11.0	6.0	10.0	8.2
	ME AN F	OR RIVE	R BY DATE 11+1	75.0	2.8	12.0	13.1	10.0	5.6	9,9	
	MEAN F	OR CREE 20.0	KS BY DAT 2.0	E: 40°•5	2.0	24.0	14.3	21.7	24.0	14.3	

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.
R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

(continued)

TABLE A | (CONTINUED). CONCENTRATION OF CU IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

S F/	ATIONS	B	С	D	£. "	F	G	н	I	J For	MEAN STATIONS
	1	6.0	9.0	6.3	8.0	5.0	6.0	4 • 0	8.0	8.0	6.7
	2	3.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	6.0	3.6
	3	6.0	4.0	5.0	4 • 0	6.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	6.7
	4	4.0	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
	5	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3+2
	6	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	3.0.	2.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
	7	3.0	4.0	3.0 -	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
	8	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.4
	R	0.0	6.0	1.0	0.0	8.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	10.0	6.6
	с	6.0	5.0	9.0	0.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	12.0	8.0	8.0
	β	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	7.0	5.0	5.0	7.0	5.4
	ME AN F	FOR RIVER 3.9	BY DATE: 3.8	3.9	3.6	3.3	3.3	4.9	5.3	5.5	
	ME AN	OR CREEKS	BY DATE: 5.5	5.0	3.0	B•0	6.7	7.0	8.3	8.3	

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED,

(continued)

Tol

λ.

STATION	ы IS	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J F	MEAN OR STATIONS
1	13.0	15.0	7.0	11.0	14.0	12.0	11.0	18.0	9.0	12.2
2	3.0	4.0	7.0	9.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	8.0	13.0	6.0
3	9.0	4.0	6.0	9.0	15.0	8.0	8.0	13.0	8.0	8.9
4	7.0	4.0	6.0	7.0	9.0	11.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	6.9
5	4.0	5.0	7.0	5.0	7.0	8.0	5.0	7.0	5.0	5.9
6	4.0	5.0	6.0	3.0	12.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.2
7	4.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	4.0	4.7
8	4.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	5.0	3.0	8.0	5.7
R	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	14.0	11.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	8.4
С	4.0	6.0	8.0	0.0	16.0	8.0	20.0	30.0	6.0	12.3
Ρ	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	16.0	8.0	11.0	7.0	1,1+4
ME AN	FOR RIV	ER BY DATE	6.5	6.8	8.5	7.5	6.1	8.1	6.9	
ME AN	FOR CRE	EKS BY DAT	F: 7.0	15.0	15.0-	11.7	12.0	16.0	6.7	

TABLE A] (CONTINUED). CONCENTRATION OF CO IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (PPm).

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

(continued)

STATIONS	B	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	MEAN FOR STATIONS
1	2.0	2.5	1.9	3.1	1.9	2.3	1.6	2.4	2.8	2.3
2	8.0	1.0	0.9	1.9	1.1	1.4	0.8	1.3	1.7	1.2
3	1.7	1.4	1.7	2.0	1.9	2.4	1.2	2.2	2.4	1.9
4	1.3	0.9	1.0	1.6	1.4	1.4	0.9	1.5	1.2	1.3
5	4 • 1	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.0	1.3
6	0.3	8.0	0.7	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8
7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8	8.0	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.8
8	0.6	0.4	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.7
R	0.0	3.5	2.8	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	1.3
С	3.2	3.8	4.2	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	1.7
Ч	0.0	0•0	0.0	2.8	0.0	2.5	1.4	2.1	2.2	2.2
MEAN F	OR RIVER	R BY DATE 1.1	: 1.1	1.6	1.2	1, 3	1.0	1.3	1.4	
ME AN F	OR CREEK	S BY DATI 3.7	E: 3.5	2.8	0.5	1.1	0.8	1.1	1.1	

TABLE A] (CONTINUED). CONCENTRATION OF FE IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

(continued)

CQT

STATIO	H NS	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	MEAN FOR STATIONS
1	902.0	956.0	508.0	1137.0	682.0	704.0	925.0	1050.0	800.0	851.6
2	173.0	235.0	240.0	730.0	144.0	351.0	375.0	400.0	550.0	355.3
3	400.0	250.0	280.0	521.0	442.0	634.0	625.0	550.0	562.0	473.8
4	278.0	180.0	400.0	676.0	303.0	325.0	450.0	325.0	312.0	361.0
5	292.0	288.0	152.0	333.0	179.0	161.0	600.0	250.0	250.0	278.3
6	162.0	185.0	190.0	214.0	303.0	128.0	375.0	200.0	125.0	209.1
7	162.0	342.0	305.0	144.0	162.0	115.0	250.0	200.0	150.0	203.3
8	128.0	132.0	162.0	521.0	276.0	106.0	175.0	125.0	100.0	191.7
ĸ	0.0	240.0	187.0	0.0	564.0	236.0	200.0	150.0	500.0	296.7
С	277.0	22 7 •0	335.0	0.0	259.0	394.0	1825.0	600.0	312.0	528.6
Ρ	0.0	0.0	0.0	1154.0	0.0	848.0	1250.0	450.0	437.0	827.8
ME AI	N FOR RIV 312.1	ER BY DAT 321.0	E: 279.6	534.5	311.4	315,5	471.9	387.5	356.1	
MEA	N FOR CRE 277.0	EKS BY DA 233.5	TE: 261.0	1154.0	411.5	492,7	1091.7	400.0	416.3	

TABLE A] (CONTINUED) . CONCENTRATION OF MN IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 1%
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED,

.

(continued)

TABLE A] (CONTINUED). CONCENTRATION OF ZN IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

STATION	B 15	С	0	E	F	G	н	I	J	MEAN FOR STATIONS
1	70.0	58.0	58.0	61.0	49 • 0 ^{<}	53.0	37.0	90.0	88.0	62.7
2	42.0	58.0	78.0	52.0	25.0	53.0	39.0	90.0	245.0	75.8
3	79.0	58.0	78.0	68.0	61.0	83.0	98.0	90.0	145.0	84.4
4	48.0	44.0	58.0	53.0	58.0	48.0	34.0	115.0	195.0	73.1
5	42.0	54.0	33.0	35.0	21.0	32.0	36.0	340.0	108.0	77.9
6	67.0	113.0	104.0	69.0	76.0	72.0	73.0	515.0	108.0	133.0
7	92.0	79.0	87.0	53.0	65.0	54.0	61.0	340.0	170.0	111.2
8	343.0	430.0	350.0	172.0	183.0	92.0	98.0	1415.0	195.0	364.2
R	0.0	4050.0	2180.0	0.0	1871.0	1681.0	2148.0	4990.0	170.0	2441.4
С	136.0	500.0	365.0	0.0	500.0	166.0	273.0	640.0	2500.0	635.0
þ	0.0	0.0	0.0	1457.0	0.0	1923.0	53.0	1140.0	375.0	989.6
MEAN	FOR RI 97.9	VER BY DA 112.4	TE: 105.8	70.4	67.3	60.9	59.5	374.4	156.8	
MEAN	FOR CRI 136.0	EEKS BY D 2275.0	ATE: 1272.5	1457.0	1185.5	1256.7	824.7	2256.7	1015.0	

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

(continued)

185

٩.

STATIONS	8 S	С	D	ε	F	G	н	I	J	MEAN FOR STATIONS
1	186.0	299.0	184.0	266.0	199.0	203.0	187.0	182.0	230.0	215.1
2	163.0	77.0	99.0	184.0	81.0	130.0	72.0	22.0	360.0	132.0
3	278.0	119.0	164.0	199.0	220.0	287.0	167.0	50.0	237.0	191.2
4	204.0	74.0	124.0	169.0	150.0	132.0	92.0	50.0	120.0	123.9
5	198.0	104.0	92.0	111.0	82.0	85.0	112.0	35.0	115.0	103.8
6	159.0	99.0	74.0	91.0	144.0	95.0	107.0	2.0	90.0	95.6
7	154.0	124.0	97.0	74.0	109.0	85.0	117.0	4.0	75.0	93.2
8	138.0	59.0	87.0	166.0	112.0	74.0	92.0	7.0	110.0	93.9
R	0.0	42.0	39.0	0.0	156.0	75.0	100.0	8.0	95.0	73.6
С	160.0	47.0	87.0	0.0	142.0	11.0	130.0	52.0	88.0	89.6
Ρ	0.0	0.0	0.0	224.0	0.0	153.0	132.0	5.0	155.0	133.8
MEAN	FOR RIV 184.9	ER BY DAT	E: 115.1	157.5	137.1	136.4	118.3	44.0	167.1	
ME AN	FOR CRE 160.0	EKS BY DA	NTE: 63.0	224.0	149.0	79.7	120.7	21.7	112.7	

TABLE A 1 (CONTINUED) . CONCENTRATION OF K IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17.
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

Ę.

(continued)

TABLE A 1 (CONTINUED). CONCENTRATION OF CA IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

STATI	B ONS	С	D	E	F	G	н	in I	J	FOR STATIONS
1	605.0	970.0	640.0	585.0	1800.0	212.0	126.0	260.0	280.0	608.7
2	1302.0	3380.0	13700.0	2010.0	331.0	1120.0	1496.0	380.0	3750.0	3053.0
3	740.0	1460.0	2060.0	2105.0	745.0	1443.0	20746.0	1870.0	3750.0	3879.9
4	990.0	887.0	3040.0	3035.0	1035.0	888.0	3246.0	395.0	870.0	1598.4
5	928.0	1670.0	843.0	1135.0	610.0	393.0	11121.0	1870.0	900.0	2163.3
6	990.0	2300.0	2290.0	1443.0	2805.0	442.0	1746.0	2995+0	1000.0	1779+0
7	740.0	2725.0	3400.0	785.0	701.0	274.0	2996.0	285.0	900.0	1422.9
8	2115.0	7300.0	6800.0	3485.0	13637.0	1193.0	2746.0	6495.0	2120.0	5099.0
R	് 0 . 0	9600.0	7080.0	0.0	14507.0	16069.0	12750.0	16745.0	11125.0	12553.7
с	18230.0	2500.0	33400.0	0.0	23781.0	33238.0	* * * * * * * * * *	****	18375.0	51345.6
- Ρ	0.0	0.0	0.0	16235.0	0.0	10679.0	9496.0	9995.0	3000.0	9891.0
ME	AN FOR RI 1051.3	VER BY DA 2586.5	TE: 4096.6	1822.9	2708.0	746.6	55 27 .9	1818.8	1696.3	
⁶ ME	AN FOR CRI 18230.0	EEKS BY D 6050.0	ATE: 20240.0	16235.0	19144.0	19995.3	46997.3	63078.3	10833.3	

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE D.
 R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK.
 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

٠

(continued)

18/

STATIONS	в 5	C	D	E	F	6	н	×	J	FOR STATIONS
1	11.0	15.0	9.0	0.2	15.0	13.0	7.5	22.5	13.7	11.9
2	4.0	7.0	8.0	2.0	11.0	13.0	17.5	25.0	30.0	13.1
3	9.0≧	10.0	17.0	18.0	13.0	13.0	25.0	17.5	17.5	15.6
4	7.0	5.0	11.0	0.1	17.0	28.0	12.5	15.0	5.0	11.2
5	7.0	6.0	5.0	0.3	7.0	5.0	7.5	5.0	5.0	5.3
6	8.0	6.0	4.0	0.6	6.0	13.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	9.2
7	7.0	8.0	6.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	17.5	7.5	12.5	7.4
8	6.0	6.0	6.0	2.0	10.0	17.0	17.5	7.5	7.5	8.8
R	0.0	7.6	4.6	11.0	0.0	9.0	12.5	17.5	27.5	12.8
С	10.0	14.0	14.0	10.0	0.0	28.0	20.0	40.0	5.0	17.6
P	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	357.0	75.0	12.5	57.5	28.0	106.0
ME AN	FOR RIVI 7.4	ER BY DATE 7.9	E: 8.3	3.0	10.5	13.0	15.0	14.4	13.3	
ME AN	FOR CREU	EKS 84 DA1 10.8	9.3	10.5	357.0	37.3	15.0	38.3	20 • 5	:

TABLE AT (CONTINUED) . CONCENTRATION OF PB IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES (ppm).

1 - 8 REPRESENTS STATIONS SHOWN IN FIGURE 17. R - RUSH CREEK, C - CLABBER CREEK, P - PONCA CREEK. 0.0 - INDICATES NO SAMPLES COLLECTED.

B-J Collection dates: B (5/22-23/73), C (6/9/73, D (6/24/73), E (3/12/74), F (5/22/74), G (6/17/74, H (8/21/74, I (12/21/74), J (3/26/75).

	Table	A2. Ana	lyses of	f suspend	<u>ed sedin</u>	ent in	<u>parts per</u>	billion	(µg/lt of H	120).		
Element	Collection Date*	Station 1 Boxiey	Station 2 Pruitt	Station 3 Jasper	Station 4 Hasty	Station 5 Gilbert	Station 6 Highway 14 Bridge	Station 7 Buffalo River State Park	Station 8 Rush	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
Na	F G H J	82 55 10 3.3	8 62 6 10 10	11 3 6 10 2.8	10 8 12 10 5	9 73 10 10 11.4	8 2 11.4 10 3.4	8 14 10 10 4.8	(9) ^a 57 2 10 6.4	16.8 20 4 - 20.8	5.5 43 6 - 6	- 11 (8), ^b 10 5
K	F G H J	39.5 8 - 1 6.7	1.0 8 0.8 1 5	0.3 5.4 1 1.8	0.3 5 4 1 1.8	0.2 5 0.4 1 5.2	0.2 4 13.4 1 3.6	0.2 3 0.4 1 2.6	(1.2) ^a 4.2 0.4 1 6.2	10.1 3 - 6.5	0.2 3 - 0.7	- 3 (2) ^b 1 0.8
Ca	F G H J	32 20 - 11 9	16 16 33 18 9	22 13 75 18 19	27 13 38 22 15	40 30 39 20 26	31 21 73 20 41	35 23 79 25 40	(45) ^a 29 35 38 35	41 15 29 - 17	29 10 28 - 15	12 (34) ^b 25 30
Mg	F G H I J	8 9 - 4 6	3 14 4 3 4	3 8 6 3 5	6 8 5 4 6	7 15 4 3 7	6 9 4 3 10	7 10 5 3 9	(9) ^a - 4 7 12	14 4 12 - 15	6 3 5 - 8	2 (3) ^b 2 3
Fe	F G H J	133 242 - 96 104	106 328 64 75 105	65 194 83 81 137	137 227 65 91 119	147 338 57 80 161	108 165 20 49 218	108 178 65 66 190	(80) ^a 143 34 70 175	11 15 14 - 17	33 15 25 27	- 76 (105) ^b 75 125

(continued)

.

.

189

.

Element	Collection Date "	Station l Boxley	Station 2 Pruitt	Station 3 Jasper	Station 4 Hasty	Station 5 Gilbert	Station 6 Highway 14 Bridg	Station 7 Buffalo River State Park	Station 8 Rush	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
Co	F G H I	2.0 1.0 - 0.3	0.7 1.0 - 0.3	0.9 0.7 - 0.3	0.8 0.7 - 0.3	0.7 0.7 - 0.3	0.7 0.5 - 0.3	0.7 0.4 - 0.3	(0.7) ^a 0.45 - 0.3	0.7 0.4 -	0.6 0.4 -	0.4
Cr	J F G H I J	- 0.6 - 0.5	0.3 0.6 - 0.5	0.4 00.4 - 0.5	0.4 0.4 - 0.5 -	- 0.4 0.9 - 0.5 -	0.3 0.5 0.5	0.3 0.3 0.5	(0.4) ^a 0.3 - 0.5	0.3 0.3 -	- 0.2 0.3 - -	0.3 0.5
Ni	F G H J	3 4 1 -	1 2 0.3	1.3 1.0 _ 0.3	1.2 1.0 - 1 -	1.6 1.0 0.3	1 1 0.3	1 0.65 0.3	(4) ^a 0.7 0.3	 0.6 _ _	0.7 0.6 - -	J 0.3
Cu	F G H I J	12 _ 0.5 _	4 - 0.5 -	5 - 0.5 -	5 « - 0.5	4 0.5 	4 - 0.5	4 - 0`. 5 -	(4) ^a - 0.5	4 - - -	3	
Zn	F G H J	29 11 - 1 1	1 2 0.3 1 1	1 2 1 1	0.5 2 0.3 1 1	1 1 0.3 1 1	0,3 1 2 1 2	1 1 0.3 1 1	(1)a 1 7 1 2	1 0.3 0.3 -	5 1 1 2	3 (2) ^b 1 3
		जें ्र				(conti	nued)					

٦

190 🙄

1

Table A2 (cont'd). Analyses of suspended sediment in parts per billion (ug/lt. of H_0)

F

· _____ -

E

Table	e A2 (cont	:'d). An	alyses of	suspend	led sedin	ment in p	barts per	billion	(µg/lt. o	f H ₂ 0).		2
Element	Collection Date "	Station 1 Boxley	Station 2 Pruitt	Station 3 Jasper	Station 4 Hasty	Station 5 Gilbert	Station 6 Highway 14 Bridge	Station 7 Buffalo River State Park	Station 8 Rush	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
Cd	F G H I	0.4 0.4 - 0.15	0.4 0.3 - 0.15	0.5 0.2 	0.4 0.2 - 0.15	0.4 0.2 - 0.15	0.4 0.2 0.15	0.4 0.1 0.15	(0.4) ^a 0.13 - 0.15	0.4 0.1 -	0.4 0.13 -	0.1
РЪ	S G H I J	2.5 1 3	35 1 - 3	1 0.8 2.4	0.8	3.4 0.9 - 3	1 0.6 - 3	1 0.6 3	(1) ^a 0.5 3	1 2.3 - -	0.6 0.5 	0.5
Mn	F G H J	3.8 5.8 2.9 3.3	4.3 7.6 29 ^c 3.9 2.2	2.8 4.3 25 ^c 1.5 3.0	6.1 5.2 26 ^c 1.2 4.2	7.0 11.6 5 ^c 1.5 5.5	5.1 7.1 7 ^C 1.0 8.5	6.2 11.9 9 ^c 1.0 6.4	(6.8) ^a 6.7 7 ^c 1.8 8.1	1.2 0.8 2 ^c 1.0	2.1 1.1 1.5 ^c 1.6	1.9 (11) ^b 0.7 2.6
Li #	F G H I J	- 0.1 0.1	- 0.1 0.1 0.1	- 0.1 0.1 0.1	- 0.1 0.1 0.1	- 0.1 0.1 0.1	- 0.1 0.1 0.05	- 0.1 0.1 0.1	- 0.1 0.1 0.1	- 0.1 - 0.02	- 0.1 0.04	- (0.1) ^b 0.1 0.13

(continued)

ाव	ble A2 (cont'd).	Analyses	of susp	pended se	diment i	n parts	per billion	<u> (μg/lt.</u>	of H ₂ 0).		
	n Date*	4					4 Bridge	i ver ark	e C	че к	<u>×</u>	<u>م</u>
	<u>•</u>	-	2	ŝ	4	Ś	- 9		00	с	9	e L
E]ement	Collect	Station Boxley	Station Pruitt	Station Jasper	Station Hasty	Station Gilbert	Station Highway	Station Buffalo State	Station Rush	Clabber	Rush Cr	Ponca
				35								· · · · · -
Sr	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	G	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	н	-	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	$(0.7)^{b}$
	i	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		-	0.4
	J	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.4

*F-5/21-22/74, G-6/17/74, H-8/19-21/74, I-12/20-21/74, J-3/6/75. ^a From Buffalo River near Rush, 0.2 mile above station 8.

^b From Buffalo River 50 yards below Ponca Creek.

^c These analyses are by the standard method of filtration through a 0.45 μ m filter and analysis of the retained material. These values were checked by analyzing filtered (0.45/4 m) and unfiltered samples of water which were acidified with 8 drops of conc. HC1/100 ml. Acidification was after filtration in the case of the filtered samples. By the difference (Δ = Mn conc. in unfiltered samples minus Mn conc. in filtered sample), the concentration of suspended material was obtained. Standard values (S) are compared below to the Δ values for stations 2 to 8 and three creeks.

					Mn (p	opb)			÷.	
	St 2	St 3	St 4	St 5	St 6	St 7	St 8	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
S	29	25	26	5	7	9	7	2	1.5	11
Δ	83	31	37	4	7	7	18	0	0	0

Element	Collection Date*	Station Boxley	Station 2 Pruitt	Station 3 Jasper	Station 4 Hasty	Station 5 Gilbert	Station 6 Highway 14 Bridge	Station 7 Buffalo River State Park	Station 8 Rush	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
Na	E F G H J	860 976 973 - 934 1,084	1,240 1,105 1,110 2,200 1,160 1,297	950 1,312 1,390 3,500 1,340 1,582	1,030 1,242 1,250 2,120 1,310 1,457	1,170 1,242 1,250 1,830 1,250 1,452	1,340 1,272 1,290 1,940 1,320 1,471	1,590 1,262 1,300 2,020 1,320 1,490	(1,260) ^a (1,412) ^a 1,283 2,000 1,370 1,466	- 1,045 1,070 1,200 - 1,214	1,132 1,370 1,030 - 1,249	1,120 - 1,280 (2,250) ^b 1,290 1,495
к	E G H J	666 797 775 - 527 639	796 853 880 1,170 660 715	860 895 1,240 665 700	822 872 918 1,080 696 690	802 847 872 1,040 635 690	923 866 850 1,070 625 690	940 872 865 1,060 635 715	(842) ^a (916) ^a 865 1,080 707 725	- 900 820 1,020 - 705	- 853 805 966 - 700	947 - 1,022 (1,340) ^b 792 824
Ca	E G H J	5,100 8,000 11,500 - 7,300 5,300	21,800 20,000 37,000 39,000 22,400 22,000	15,800 24,500 37,000 42,000 25,000 20,000	18,800 23,500 44,000 42,000 28,300 27,000	23,700 38,500 49,000 39,000 30,000 33,000	24,300 40,700 49,000 37,000 31,900 32,000	25,800 41,200 49,500 37,000 31,300 32,000	(29,500) ^a (41,500) ^a 47,700 36,000 33,700 33,000	- 52,500 62,000 38,000 - 54,000	52,500 63,000 48,000 - 45,000	28,500 - 56,500 (38,000) ^b 41,700 42,000
Mg	E F H I J	862 1,120 1,040 - 1,035 919	1,460 1,950 2,250 4,200 1,970 1,900	1,300 1,700 1,740 2,900 1,670 1,485	1,312 2,000 2,250 3,850 2,090 1,884	1,425 2,800 2,700 3,530 2,250 2,112	1,750 3,300 3,250 3,950 2,550 2,560	1,850 3,250 3,400 3,950 2,550 2,547	(2,930) ^a (3,350) ^a 5,600 4,720 8,870 4,623	- 28,000 27,100 25 600 - 25,600	9.600 10,500 8,400 7,100	2,300 - 3,550 (3,550) ^b 3,220 2,800

Table A3. Water analyses in parts per billion

(continued)

Element	Collection Date*	Station l Boxley	Station 2 Pruitt	Station 3 Jasper	Station 4 Hasty	Station 5 Gilbert	Station 6 Highway 14 Bridge	Station 7 Buffalo River State Park	Station 8 Rush	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
Fe	E F G H J	41 21 13 - 19.3 11.3	40 11.5 7.0 16.0 14.4 27.7	31 11.5 8.0 8.0 6.8 3.9	36 9.5 3.0 5.0 6.8 2.8	30 8.0 4.0 6.0 4.9 1.1	30 10.0 6.0 4.0 3.0 54.3	27 10.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 1	(16) ^a (10.5) ^a 6.0 3.0 4.4 1	- 11.0 5.0 1 - 1.2	- 7.0 6.0 1 - 5.5	12 7.0 5.0 (14.0) ^b 0.2 4.1
Co	E F G H J	- 2 2 - 4.0 9.3	- 2 2.0 2.7 3.6	- 2 5.0 2.6 3.4	- 2 5.0 2.2 3.6	- 2.0 4.0 2.9 3.6	- 6 2 4.0 2.8 2.9	2 2 5.0 4.7 2.8	(2) ^a 2 5 3.1 4.3	- 2 4.0 - 4.8	- 2 5.0 - 5.7	- 2.0 (6.0)b 3.6 3.4
Cr	E F G H J	- 2 2 - 2 2 2	- 2 2 3 2 2	- 2 1 2 2	- 2 1 2 2	- 2 2 2 2 2	- 2 1 2 2 2	- 3 2 2 2 2	(2)a 2 1 2 2 2	- 2 1 - 2	- 2 1 - 2	- 2 (1)b 4.0 2
Nî	E F H J	- 4.0 8.0 - 1 2.2	- 3.0 7.0 3.0 1.5 0.1	- 7.0 2.0 3.3 0.7	6.0 6.0 2 2.1 2.2	2 4.0 4.0 2.1 2.2	- 2 2.0 2.0 3.6 1.0	2 6.0 3.0 3.9 1.6	(2) ^a 6.0 2.0 1.5 4.3	- 5.0 5.0 2 - 1.9	- 6.0 2.0 0 4.3	- 8.0 (3.0) ^b 1 2.8

Table A3 (cont'd). Water analyses in parts per billion

(continued)

194

Element	Collection Date*	Station 1 Boxley	Station 2 Pruitt	Station 3 Jasper	Station 4 Hasty	Station 5 Gilbert	Station 6 Highway 14 Bridge	Station 7 Buffalo River State Park	Station 8 Rush	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
Сы	E F G H J	8.0 1 1 - 0.3 3.5	4.0 1 1 1.8 0.8	5.0 1 2.0 1 1.6 1.0	4.0 1 1 2.4 2.0	6.0 12 1.0 1 1.2 1.2	4.0 14 1.0 1 1.3 1.2	5.0 1 2.0 1 2.4 1.3	(3.0) ^a (1) ^a 1.0 1 3.0 1.0	- 1 2.0 1 - 0.6	- 1 2.0 1 - 1.2	3 - (1)b 2.4 1.1
Zn	E F H I J	6.0 4 12.0 - 10.4 4.6	4.0 4 102 2 15 0.9	12 163 90 8 74 0.3	4 12 20 1 101 2.0	15 20 103 87 147 1.0	5 20 41 2 39 1.0	6 26 7 43 1.0	(7) ^a (9) ^a 15 3 348 1.6	- 46 3 - 4.6	- 24.5 67 36 - 35.6	10 - (3) ^b 36 7.4
Cd	E G H J	2.0 1.7 1 - 1.0 0.3	1.0 1.2 1 0.7 0.3	1.0 1.2 1 0.7 .5	2.0 1 1 0.5 0.3	1.0 1.0 1 0.4 0.2	1.0 1.0 1 1 1.5	1.0 2.0 1 1.0 1.6	(2) ^a (1) ^a 1 .1 .1 0.8	- 2 1 1 - 0.5	- 2 1 1 - 0.8	2 - (1) ^b 0.4 0.4
РЬ	E G H J	10 6.5 3.0 - • 0.8 5.3	4.0 5.5 8.0 3.0 4.0 8.8	5.0 7.5 8.0 7.0 2.5 7.9	2.0 8.5 7.0 3.0 8.1 9.4	10 5.2 3.0 3.0 2.8 0.3	3.0 15.5 3.0 3.0 2 5.3	1.0 7.7 4.0 3.0 9.5 2.1	(2) ^a 6.0 4.0 3.0 5.1 8.5	- 4.2 1.0 2 - 2.7	- 3.2 10 2.0 - 12.0	4.0 - (1.0) ^b 7.5 3.2

Table A3 (cont'd). Water analyses in parts per billion

(continued)

1

Element	Collection Date*	Station l Boxley	Station 2 Pruitt	Station 3 Jasper	Station 4 Hasty	Station 5 Gilbert	Station 6 Highway 14 Bridge	Station 7 Buffalo River State Park	Station 8 Rush	Clabber Creek	Rush Creek	Ponca Creek
Mn	E	7.0	7.5	7.0	10.5	3.0	5.0	9.0	(7) ^a	-	-	3
	6	3.5	10.5	0.5 8 g	5.U 0 h	9./	5.0	6./	()a	9.0	2.0	-
	H		7.1	8.2	J.4 4 2	17 0	5.Z 73	5.0	0.1 7 2	/.0	4.0	6.0 (20.2)b
	Ĩ.	3.1	8.0	10.2	9.2	6.2	5.6	8 4	7.5 5.7	2./	4.2	(29.3)~
	J	4.5	11.9	7.6	7.3	6.7	6.3	6.7	10.2	9.3	6.4	6.7
Li	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ն Ս	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	n t	-	2	2	2	I	l	I	1	1	1	(2)0
	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	-	-	2
	0	2	2	2	Z	2	Z	2	1	3	2	2
Sr	Ε	-	-	~	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 83	-	-
	G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H	-	54	63	47	47	51	60	44	32	35	(48) ^b
	I	7	22	33	22	29	29	33	33	-	-	18
	J	10	22	29	32	38	45	35	38	35	29	32

Table A3 (cont'd). Water analyses in parts per billion

 The letters in this column correspond to the following collection dates: E - 3/12/74, G - 6/17/74, H - 8/19-21/74, I - 12/20-21/74, J - 3/6/75.
 ^aFrom Buffalo River near Rush Creek, 0.2 mile above station 8.
 ^bFrom Buffalo River 50 yards below Ponca Creek. F = 5/21 - 22/74,

.

Appendix A4

BR-1

HIRUDINEA 1

DECAPODA

DIPTERA

Chironomidae 1

Astacidae Orconectes sp. 6

EPHEMEROPTERA

Baetidae Baetis sp. 1 Pseudocloeon sp. 4

Heptageniidae Heptagenia sp. 1

Ephemerellidae Ephemerella sp. 1

ODONATA

Coenagrionidae Argia sp. (adult) 1

PLECOPTERA

Perlidae Perlesta sp. 1

COLEOPTERA

Dytiscidae Bidessus sp. (adult) 1

Psephenidae Psephenus sp. (larva) 3 (adult) 3

TRICHOPTERA

unidentified pupa 1

Hydropsychidae Cheumatopsyche sp. 2 Qualitative Samples

GASTROPODA 1

25 June 1974

Appendix A4—continued

BR-2	25 June 1974	Qualitative Samples
OLIGOCHAETA 1		PLECOPTERA
DECAPODA Astacidae <u>Orconecte</u>	<u>s</u> sp. 13	Perlidae unidentified adult 1 <u>Acroneuria</u> sp. 1 <u>Perlesta</u> sp. 1
EPHEMEROPTERA		NEUROPTERA
unidentified Siphlonurida <u>Isonychia</u>	adults 12 e sp. 35	Corydalidae <u>Corydalus</u> sp. (larva) 4 COLEOPTERA
Baetidae <u>Baetis</u> sp	. 1	Limnichidae <u>Lutrochus</u> sp. (adult) 5
Heptageniida <u>Rhithrogen</u> Stenonema	e <u>na</u> sp. 1 _sp. 31	Psephenidae <u>Psephenus</u> sp. (larva) 3 (adult) 3
Ephemerellida Ephemerel ODONATA	ae <u>la</u> sp. 2	Elmidae <u>Dubiraphia</u> sp. (adult) 3 <u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (larva) 3 (adult) 8
Gomphidae <u>Gomphus</u> s Macromiidae <u>Macromia</u> s	p. (adult) 1 sp. (nymph) 3	TRICHOPTERA unidentified larva 1 unidentified adult 1
Libellulidae <u>Neurocordu</u>	<u>ılia</u> sp. (adult) l	Philopotamidae <u>Chimarra</u> sp. 1
Calopterygida <u>Hetaerina</u> Coenagrionida	ae sp. (adult) l ae	Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp. 14 <u>Hydropsyche</u> sp. 3
<u>Argia</u> sp. Enallagma	(adult) 3 sp. (adult) l	

198

-

[]]

<u>Appendix A4</u>—continued

BR-2—continued 25 June 1974

Qualitative Samples

DIPTERA

Chironomidae 18

Simuliidae 5

Tabanidae l

GASTROPODA 13

PELECYPODA 2

Appendix A4 -continued

]	BR-3	25 June	1974		Qual	itative	Samples	
(OLIGOCHAETA 1			GASTROPODA	10			
]	DECAPODA			PELECYPODA	1			
	Astacidae <u>Orconectes</u>	sp. 11						
I	EPHEMEROPTERA							
	Baetidae <u>Baetis</u> sp. 1	2						
	Heptageniidae <u>Stenonema</u> s _l	p. 9						
0	DDONA TA							
	Coenagrionidae <u>Enallagma</u> s _i	p. (nymph (adult	a) 1 :) 3			28) C		
I	PLECOPTERA							
	Perlidae <u>Perlesta</u> sp.	. 1						
C	COLEOPTERA							
	Hydrophilidae <u>Tropisternus</u>	s sp. (ad	ult) 1	L				
	Psephenidae <u>Psephenus</u> sp	p. (larva	.) 4					
I	TRICHOPTERA			3				
	unidentified la	arva l						
	Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyc</u> Hydropsyche	<u>che</u> sp. 2 sp. 1						

200

Ē

4

Appendix A4 - continued

	BR-4	25 June 1974	Qualitative Samples						
	OLIGOCHAETA 1		PLECOPTERA						
	AMPHIPODA		Perlidae Neoperla sp. 1						
	Talitridae <u>Hyalella</u> az	teca 2	NEUROPTERA						
	DECAPODA		Corydalidae Corydalus sp. (larva) l						
	Astacidae <u>Orconectes</u> sp. 4		COLEOPTERA						
	EPHEMEROPTERA		Dryopidae <u>Helichus</u> sp. (adult) l						
	Siphlonuridae <u>Isonychia</u> sp. 4		Limnichidae Lutrochus sp. (adult) 9						
	Baetidae <u>Baetis</u> sp. 3 Heptageniidae <u>Heptagenia</u> sp. 1 <u>Stenonema</u> sp. 8 Tricorythidae <u>Tricorythodes</u> sp. 1 Caenidae Caenis sp. 1	3	Psephenidae Psephenus sp (adult) 6						
		sp. 1	TRICHOPTERA						
		p. o <u>es</u> sp. 1 1	Psychomyiidae unidentified larva l						
			Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp. 2						
	ODONATA		DIPTERA						
	Gomphidae Hagenius sp. (nym;	. (nymph) 3	Simuliidae 2						
	Macromiidae <u>Macromia</u> sp. (nymph) 3		GASTROPODA 11						
	Coenagrionidae <u>Argia</u> sp. (<u>Enallagma</u> s	adult) l p. (adult) l							

Appendix A4-continued

BR-5	24 June 1	974		Quali	tative	Samples
OLIGOCHAETA 1			HYDRACARINA	1		
DECAPODA		GASTROPODA	23		2 5	
Astacidae <u>Orconecte</u>	<u>s</u> sp. 16	н. 	PELECYPODA	7		
EPHEMEROPTERA						
unidentified	adults 2					
Siphlonurida <u>Isonychia</u>	e sp. 6					
Heptageniida <u>Stenonema</u>	e _ sp. 1				i.	
ODONATA						
Macromiidae <u>Macromia</u>	sp. (nymph)	2				i.
Calopterygid <u>Hetaerina</u>	ae sp. (nymph)	3	а.			
Coenagrionid <u>Argia</u> sp.	ae (adult) 1				5a	
COLEOPTERA						
Hydrophilidae Enochrus Helochares	e sp. (adult) : <u>s</u> sp. (adult)	1) 1				
Dryopidae <u>Helichus</u> s	sp. (adult) 1	L				20
TRICHOPTERA						
Hydropsychid Cheumatop Hydropsycl	ae syche sp. 1 he sp. 1					

•

Π

Appendix A4-continued

25 June 1974 Qualitative Samples BR-6 TRICHOPTERA OLIGOCHAETA 2 Psychomyiidae DECAPODA unidentified larva 1 Astacidae Orconectes sp. 6 Hydropsychidae Cheumatopsyche sp. 6 Hydropsyche sp. 1 EPHEMEROPTERA DIPTERA Siphlonuridae Isonychia sp. 12 Chironomidae (larva) 2 (pupa) 1 Heptageniidae Stenonema sp. 3 Simuliidae 1 Tricorythidae Tricorythodes sp. 1 GASTROPODA 24 PELECYPODA 1 Polymitarcidae Ephoron sp. 1

ODONATA

Gomphidae <u>Hagenius</u> sp. (nymph) 2 Calopterygidae <u>Hetaerina</u> sp. (nymph) 2 (adult) 1

NEUROPTERA

Corydalidae <u>Corydalus</u> sp. (larva) 5

COLEOPTERA

Dryopidae <u>Helichus</u> sp. (adult) 1

Elmidae <u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (adult) 2

Appendix <u>A4</u>-continued

BR-7 24 June 1974

AMPHIPODA

Talitridae <u>Hyalella azteca</u> 1

EPHEMEROPTERA

Siphlonuridae <u>Isonychia</u> sp. 1

Heptageniidae Stenonema sp. 3

Ephemerellidae Ephemerella sp. 5

Polymitarcidae Ephoron sp. 1

COLEOPTERA

Dytiscidae <u>Hydrovatus</u> sp. (adult) 1 Hydrophilidae <u>Hydrochus</u> sp. (adult) 1

Limnichidae Lutrochus sp. (adult) 1

Elmidae <u>Dubiraphia</u> sp. (adult) 3 <u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (adult) 27

GASTROPODA 8

Appendix <u>A4</u>-continued

BR-8

24 June 1974

Qualitative Samples

EPHEMEROPTERA

Siphlonuridae <u>Isonychia</u> sp. 14

Heptageniidae Stenonema sp. 16

Leptophlebiidae <u>Paraleptophlebia</u> sp. 1

Polymitarcidae Ephoron sp. 3

ODONATA

Calopterygidae <u>Hetaerina</u> sp. (nymph) 4

Coenagrionidae <u>Argia</u> sp. (adult) 1 <u>Enallagma</u> sp. (nymph) 1

PLECOPTERA

Perlidae <u>Acroneuria</u> sp. 1

NEUROPTERA

Corydalidae <u>Corydalus</u> sp. (larva) 1

COLEOPTERA

Hydrophilidae <u>Hydrochus</u> sp. (adult) 1

Elmidae Stenelmis sp. (adult) 1

TRICHOPTERA

Psychomyiidae unidentified larva 1

Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp. 9

DIPTERA

Chironomidae 18

GASTROPODA 18

PELECYPODA 2

See and

Appendix A5

whengry w					•		
BR-1	25 June 1974	Quantitative	Sample	6 s	q. ft.		
EPHEMEROPTERA							
Baetida Baet Cent Pseud	e <u>is</u> sp. 4 roptilum sp. 27 docloeon sp. 1				e v		
Heptagen Hepta Stend	niidae agenia sp. l onema sp. 26						
Caenidae <u>Caeni</u>	e is sp. 1						
TRICHOPTERA							
Hydropsy <u>Cheu</u> r	vchidae Matopsyche sp. 12			5 . R			
DIPTERA							
Chironom	nidae (larva) 13 (pupa) 4		8				
HYDRACARINA	1						
SUMMARY							
EPHEMEROPTER	A 60						
TRICHOPTERA 12							
DIPTERA 17							

HYDRACARINA 1

TOTAL ORGANISMS 90

 $\{ \Box \}_{i}$
BR-2 25 June 1974	Quantitative Sample 6 sq. ft.
OLIGOCHAETA 3	TRICHOPTERA
DECAPODA Astacidae <u>Orconectes</u> sp. 1 EPHEMEROPTERA Siphlonuridae <u>Isonychia</u> sp. 1	Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp. 11 <u>Hydropsyche</u> sp. 3 DIPTERA Chironomidae 25 Simuliidae 6
Baetidae <u>Centroptilum</u> sp. 10 <u>Pseudocloeon</u> sp. 16 Heptageniidae <u>Stenonema</u> sp. 31 Tricorythidae <u>Tricorythidae</u> sp. 1	SUMMARY OLIGOCHAETA 3 DECAPODA 1 EPHEMEROPTERA 59
PLECOPTERA Perlidae <u>Neoperla</u> sp. 1	PLECOPTERA 1 NEUROPTERA 1 COLEOPTERA 13
NEUROPTERA Corydalidae <u>Corydalus</u> sp. (larva) COLEOPTERA	TRICHOPTERA 14 DIPTERA 31 1 TOTAL ORGANISMS 123

Psephenidae <u>Psephenus</u> sp. (larva) 2 (adult) 7

Elmidae <u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (adult) 4

Appendix A5-continued							
BR-3 25 June 1974	Quantitative Sample 6 sq. ft.						
TURBELLARIA	COLEOPTERA (continued)						
Planariidae Dugesia sp. 2	Elmidae <u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (larva) 2 (adult) 5						
OLIGOCHAETA 29							
DECAPODA	IKICHOPIEKA						
Astacidae Orconectes sp. 1	Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp. 8 <u>Hydropsyche</u> sp. 1						
EPHEMEROPTERA	DIPTERA						
Baetidae <u>Baetis</u> sp. 2 <u>Centroptilum</u> sp. 16	Chironomidae (larva) 9 (pupa) 1						
Heptageniidae Stenonema sp. 9	GASTROPODA 8						
Caenidae <u>Caenis</u> sp. 6	TURBELLARIA 2						
ODONATA	OLIGOCHAETA 29						
Aeshnidae	DECAPODA 1						
Anax sp. (nymph) 1	EPHEMEROPTERA 33						
Gomphidae (nymph) I	ODONATA 2						
NEUROPTERA Corydalidae <u>Corydalus</u> sp. (larva) 1	NEUROPTERA 1						
	COLEOPTERA 11						
COLEOPTERA	TRICHOPTERA 9						
Psephenidae	DIPTERA 10						
<u>Psephenus</u> sp. (larva) 4	GASTROPODA 8						
	TOTAL ORGANISMS 106						

BR-4	25 June 1974	Quantitative Sample	6 sq	. ft.
EPHEMERO	PTERA	SUMMARY		
Siph	lonuridae sonvobia sp. 45	EPHEMEROPTERA 113		
± Baot	idao	NEUROPTERA 1		27
	entroptilum sp. 5	COLEOPTERA 1		
Hent	ageniidae	TRICHOPTERA 10		
Hept H	eptagenia sp. 1	DIPTERA 27		
<u>s</u> neuropte	RA	TOTAL ORGANISMS 152		
Cory <u>C</u>	dalidae <u>haulioides</u> sp. (lar	va) l	82	
COLEOPTE	RA			
Psep <u>P</u>	henidae <u>sephenus</u> sp. (adult) 1		
TRICHOPT	ERA			
Phil <u>C</u>	opotamidae himarra sp. 1			
Psyc P	homyiidae olycentropus sp. l			
Hydr <u>C</u> <u>H</u>	opsychidae <u>heumatopsyche</u> sp. 7 ydropsyche sp. 1			
DIPTERA				
Chir	onomidae (larva) 21 (pupa) l			
Simu	liidae 4			
Taba	nidae l			

BR-5 24 June 1974	Quantitative Sample 6 sq. ft.	,
OLIGOCHAETA 7	DIPTERA	
EPHEMEROPTERA	Chironomidae 3	
Siphlonuridae	Simuliidae 28	
Baetidae	GASTROPODA 4	
Baetis sp. 3 Centroptilum sp. 7	SUMMARY	
<u>Pseudocioeon</u> sp. 3	OLIGOCHAETA 7	
Stenonema sp. 40	EPHEMEROPTERA 66	
PLECOPTERA	PLECOPTERA 1	
Perlidae Neoperla sp. 1	NEUROPTERA 1	
NEIROPTERA	COLEOPTERA 5	
Corrudalidao	TRICHOPTERA 37	
<u>Chaulioides</u> sp. (larva)	1 DIPTERA 31	
COLEOPTERA	GASTROPODA 4	
Limnichidae <u>Lutrochus</u> sp. (adult) 3	TOTAL ORGANISMS 152	
Dryopidae <u>Helichus</u> sp. (adult) 2		
TRICHOPTERA		
Philopotamidae <u>Chrimarra</u> sp. 2		
Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp. 7 Hydropsyche sp. 28		

ŧ.

П

BR-6	24 June 1974	Quantitative Sample	6 sq. ft.
OLIGOCHAET	A 4	TRICHOPTERA 3	
EPHEMEROPTI	ERA	TOTAL ORGANISMS 66	515 200
Siphlon <u>Iso</u>	nuridae nychia sp. 15		
Heptago Ster	eniidae <u>nonema</u> sp. 38		
Tricor <u>Tric</u>	ythidae corythodes sp. l		
PLECOPTERA			
Perlida <u>Acro</u>	ae oneuria sp. l		4
COLEOPTERA	52 18 18		
Limnicl Lutr	hidae rochus sp. (adult) I	1 -	
Elmidae <u>Ster</u>	e nelmis sp. (adult) (3	310
TRICHOPTERA	A		
Hydrops <u>Che</u> u	sychidae <u>matopsyche</u> sp. 3		-
SUMMARY			
OLIGOCHAETA	A 4		
EPHEMEROPTE	ERA 54		
PLECOPTERA	1		
COLEOPTERA	4		

B R- 7	24 June 1974	Quantitative Sample	6 sq. ft.
OLIGOCHAETA	A 2	COLEOPTERA 2	
EPHEMEROPTE	ERA	TRICHOPTERA 9	61
Heptage	eniidae	DIPTERA 2	
Ster	nonema sp. 27	TOTAL ORGANISMS	60
Potamar <u>Pota</u>	nthidae amanthus sp. 1		
Polymit <u>Ephc</u>	pron sp. 13		

PLECOPTERA

Perlidae <u>Neoperla</u> sp. 1

COLEOPTERA

Elmidae <u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (adult) 2

TRICHOPTERA

Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp. 2 <u>Hydropsyche</u> sp. 7

DIPTERA

Chironomidae 2

SUMMARY

OLIGOCHAETA 2

EPHEMEROPTERA 44

PLECOPTERA 1

212

B R- 8	24 June 1974	Quantitative Sample	6 sq.	ft.
OLIGOCH	AETA 4	HYDRACARINA 3		
EPHEMER	OPTERA	PELECYPODA 1		27
Sip	hlonuridae <u>Isonychia</u> sp. 8	SUMMARY		
Bae	tidae	OLIGOCHAETA 4		
	<u>Pseudocloeon</u> sp. 1	EPHEMEROPTERA 40		
Нер	tageniidae Stopopoma en 27	ODONATA 1		
:	Scenonema sp. 27	COLEOPTERA 5		
Polymitarcidae <u>Ephoron</u> sp. 3		TRICHOPTERA 38	9	
ODONATA		DIPTERA 5		
Gomphidae		HYDRACARINA 3		
<u>Hagenius</u> sp. 1	PELECYPODA 1			
COLEOPT	ERA	TOTAL ORGANISMS 97		
E 1m	idae <u>Stenelmis</u> sp. (larva) 1 (adult) 4	L 4		
TRICHOP	TERA			
Psy	chomyiidae unidentified larva l	6 © ,,, 11 ≡		
Hyd	ropsychidae Cheumatopsyche sp. 19			

Hydropsyche sp. 18

DIPTERA

Chironomidae 2

Simuliidae 2

Tabanidae 1

APPENDIX B

WATER QUALITY-INTENSE USE PERIOD (OWRT Funding)

R. W. Raible University of Arkansas Graduate Institute of Technology

The following report contains information which is part of the preliminary Reconnaissance Water Quality Survey of the Buffalo National River by the Arkansas Water Resources Research Center. The readings were taken at a time when peak visitor use occurs and at a site where maximum changes in the water quality of the river would be expected. This information is intended as part of a baseline study to provide for future comparisons as river use increases.

Data were taken for seven consecutive days beginning on Saturday, July 7, 1973 at a station located fifty yards upstream from the outfall of the Buffalo River National Park sewage treatment plant as indicated on the map in Figure B1. Readings of dissolved oxygen, water temperature, specific conductance, and pH were taken at four-hour intervals and are plotted in Figures B2,B3,B4, and B5. Frequent measurements were also taken below the sewage plant for the Buffalo River National Park, but these readings were not significantly different from the ones above the plant. The ammonia level was measured frequently; however, with the exception of one reading of 0.1 part per million taken on the morning of July 11, the ammonia level was undetectable since it was less than 0.05 part per million which was the limit of sensitivity of the method of measurement involved. Sulfide, chloride, sulfate, turbidity, total hardness, alkalinity, nitrates, nitrites, nitrogen, and orthophosphates were measured, on a spot check basis, and the

results of these tests are tabulated in Table B1.

At the time of the study, July 7 through July 13, some construction was taking place around the sewage treatment plant at the Buffalo River National Park. The soil at the sewer outfall was sandy and had accumulated a quantity of ammonia from the outfall. In the course of the construction, some of this soil was dislodged and rolled into the stream. This soil disturbance accounted for the one detectable ammonia reading of 0.1 part per million which was obtained on July 11 a few hours after the soil fell into the stream. However, as soon as the turbidity caused by the soil disturbance cleared, the ammonia level dropped back to the previous undetectable level.

At the midpoint of the investigation, on July 11, a canoe trip was made from the Buffalo River National Park to Rush and samples were taken along this length of the river. The data obtained on the canoe trip were not significantly different from the noon and 4:00 P.M. readings taken on July 11 at the Buffalo River National Park. During the study no significant changes in the water quality occurred that could not be attributed to natural causes. For example, the increase in turbidity which was measured at 8:00 P.M. on July 9 followed a shower which occurred about 5:00 P.M.. By the morning of Tuesday, July 10, turbidity had returned to its previous level.

Due to the diurnal pattern of shallow streams, all the data recorded on one day at a specific time should correspond well to the data taken at the same time on another day, <u>i.e.</u> 4:00 P.M. readings taken on July 7 through 13 should be in close agreement, assuming there were no perturbing activities such as a rain shower. Thus, the data for each time of the seven-day period were averaged and the averaged data areplotted in Figures B6, B7, B8, and B9. These graphs indicate the normal diurnal pattern for a shallow stream such

Q

as the Buffalo River.

During the investigation considerable flow existed and flushing action was good as indicated by the river level readings. It was noted that during the period of this study the water level of the stream dropped about 4 inches. Table B2 contains the river level at Gilbert, Arkansas, as indicated by the U.S. Weather Bureau's river gauge at the time of the study. It is anticipated that during dry summers the flushing action would be reduced since the river level would drop considerably below that observed during the test period, and under conditions of decreased rainfall the characteristics of the water would differ considerably from those obtained during the test period.

The park was operating at capacity during the period of these tests, and since the weather was favorable, large numbers of people were swimming each day. The occupancy figures are given in Table B3.

TABLE B1

	12 Noon July 7	4 P.M. July 7	12 Noon July 8	4 P.M. July 8	8 A.M. July 9	12 Noon July 9	8 P.M. July 9	12 Midnight July 9	8 A.M. July 11	12 Noon July 11	8 P.M July
							<i>il</i>				
Cloride		≈0	80						5 mg/1		
Sulfate		3 ppm	4 ppm		10 ppm	4 ppm	:				
Turbidity		2 JTU	10 JTU	52	2 JTU	2 JTU	10 JTU*	5 JTU			
Total Hardness (CaCO ₃)		140 mg/1	140 mg/ì								
Alkalinity (Total)				90 mg/1							150 m
Nitrate Nitrogen	~	543 -		.05 mg/1					£.		
litrates				undetectable						None	
Ammonia						33					
Orthophosphate									.1 ppm		

MISCELLANEOUS READINGS

*Light shower at 5:20 P.M.

477

а.

TABLE B2

RIVER DEPTH AT GILBERT, ARKANSAS AT THE TIME THE DATA WERE TAKEN

Date	Depth
July 6	1.2 feet
July 8	1.2 feet
July 9	1.0 feet
July 10	1.0 feet
July 11	1.0 feet
July 12	1.0 feet
July 13	1.0 feet

218

TABLE B3

Campground Cabins Day Use Total July 6 July 7 July 8 July 9 July 10 July 11 July 12 s 909 July 13

OCCUPANCY OF BUFFALO RIVER NATIONAL PARK DURING THE STUDY



AT WHICH READINGS WERE TAKEN.



SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE OF THE BUFFALO RIVER MEASURED AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.



pH OF THE BUFFALO RIVER MEASURED AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.



DISSOLVED OXYGEN CONCENTRATION OF THE BUFFALO RIVER MEASURED AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.



WATER TEMPERATURE OF THE BUFFALO RIVER MEASURED AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.



AVERAGE FOR TIME OF DAY READINGS OF SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE OF THE BUFFALO RIVER TAKEN AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.

C77



AVERAGE FOR TIME OF DAY READINGS OF pH OF THE BUFFALO RIVER TAKEN AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.



[.....]J.

177

FIGURE B8

AVERAGE FOR TIME OF DAY READINGS OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN CONCENTRATION OF THE BUFFALO RIVER TAKEN AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.



-

FIGURE B8

AVERAGE FOR TIME OF DAY READINGS OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN CONCENTRATION OF THE BUFFALO RIVER TAKEN AT THE STATION INDICATED IN FIGURE B1.

227
